1 2	PUBLIC MEETING
3	
4	DISCUSSIONS BETWEEN
5	CITY OF JACKSONVILLE
6	AND
7	JACKSONVILLE POLICE AND FIRE PENSION FUND
8	
9	
10	
11	MODERATOR, RODNEY WARREN SMITH, Esquire
12	Avera & Smith, LLP 2814 Southwest 13th Street
13	Gainesville, Florida 32608
14	DATE TAKEN: May 2, 2014
15	TIME: 10:03 a.m 10:40 a.m. PLACE: City Hall
16	St. James Building Lynwood Roberts Room
17	117 West Duval Street Jacksonville, Florida 32202
18	
19	meeting reported by: Karen Adair Ruiz
20	Florida Professional Reporter Registered Merit Reporter
21	
22	
23	RILEY REPORTING & ASSOCIATES, INC.
24	1660 Prudential Drive, Suite 210 Jacksonville, Florida 32207
25	(904)358-1615 info@rileyreporting.com

APPEARANCES
MAYOR ALVIN BROWN City Hall
Office of the Mayor St. James Building 117 West Duval Street
Jacksonville, Florida 32202
CHRISTOPHER JOHN HAND, Esquire Chief of Staff
Office of the Mayor
St. James Building 117 West Duval Street Jacksonville, Florida 32202
DERREL Q. CHATMON, Esquire Chief Deputy General Counsel Office of the General Counsel
117 West Duval Street, Suite 480 Jacksonville, Florida 32202
PATRICK GREIVE
Treasurer, City of Jacksonville St. James Building
117 West Duval Street, Suite 300 Jacksonville, Florida 32202
JOHN KEANE
Executive Director-Administrator Police and Fire Pension Fund
One West Adams Street, Suite 100 Jacksonville, Florida 32202

- - -

MAYOR BROWN: Good morning, everyone. Thank you all for being here. I want to now call this meeting to order. And I want to acknowledge Police and Fire Pension Fund Executive Director John Keane. John, we're pleased to meet with you and your team in the hopes of achieving retirement reform now. It's appropriate that we are starting these meetings in the Lynwood Roberts Room at City Hall.

I want to acknowledge all the council members who are here. Councilman Bill Bishop is here. Councilman Clay Yarborough is here. Councilman Anderson, Greg Anderson, is here. Thank you all for being here.

In this room, the 17 dedicated members of
Jacksonville Retirement Task Force met over many
months to help the City of Jacksonville solve our
biggest financial challenge. Chairman Scheu and
several of the task force members are here today.
I want to thank them again for their hard work and
dedication. As a task force they helped to
provide the final burst of momentum needed to
achieve retirement reform, and I'm very grateful
for their efforts.

We can all agree on one thing. The stubborn issue of retirement reform has persisted far too long. And I think now is the time to make sure that we achieve retirement reform.

Taxpayers need us to achieve reform so we can stabilize our budget and provide quality services to the citizens of our community. Sheriff Rutherford and Chief Senterfitt need us to achieve reform so they can retain and recruit without uncertainty about pensions. Public safety employees need us to achieve reform so that they can focus on their important work. The rating agencies need us to achieve reform so that the City maintains its excellent credit rating.

Let us achieve reform now. We must finish
this as soon as possible so that taxpayers, City
employees, and the rating agencies know that
Jacksonville means business. Taxpayers need
closure. Our City employees need closure. And if
we move quickly, we can send a strong message of
resolve from Wall Street to Main Street. Let us
achieve retirement reform now.

Before I introduce the individual who will moderate these discussions, let me make one thing clear. These discussions will be held in the

Sunshine. The meetings between the parties will be noticed to the public in advance. They will be open for the public to attend.

In order to ensure all members of the public have access, these discussions will be recorded, and a court reporter will also be a part of this to record it. The meeting videos and written transcript will be placed on the City of Jacksonville Retirement Reform website.

Before I turn it over to John Keane for a few introductory comments, I want to introduce the moderator for these discussions, Rod Smith. Rod Smith has a distinguished career practicing employment law, criminal law, and civil justice law. From 1993 to 2000, Mr. Smith served as the state attorney of the Eighth Judicial Circuit Court based in Gainesville. As a state attorney, he prosecuted the Danny Rolling case and was nationally recognized by the Association of Capital Litigators as one of the nation's preeminent prosecutors.

Since 2000, he has practiced law in the Gainesville firm of Avera & Smith. Smith served as a member of the Florida Senate from 2000 to 2006. He represented nine North Florida counties.

Senator Smith served as chair of the

Agricultural Committee and as vice chair of the

Criminal Justice and Justice Appropriations

Committee. During his senate service, he worked

closely with the late Senator Jim King of

Jacksonville. In fact, Senator King selected Rod

to deliver one of two eulogies at his memorial

service.

In the senate, Rod was known as a bridge-builder, someone who brought people together, helped them overcome their differences and achieve results. We are confident he will help us do the same thing here.

With that, I want to now turn it over to my good friend, John Keane, who believes, like I believe, that now is the time to have pension reform done once and for all. He's someone who's working very hard to make sure that at the end of the day everyone in our City is treated fair, the taxpayers, the City employees, all of us working together to make this a win-win for everyone. And with that, I'd like to turn it over to my good friend, John.

MR. KEANE: Thank you, Mayor, the City Council members, Mr. Moderator, Chief. Good

morning.

For the record, I am John Keane, the executive director and administrator of the Jacksonville Police and Fire Pension Fund. Our office is at One West Adams Street in Downtown Jacksonville. On behalf of the Pension Trustee Board Chair, Walt Bussells, trustees Bobby Deal, Nat Glover, Dr. Adam Herbert, and Richard Tuten, III, I extend their greetings to you.

This meeting today is authorized by Article 22.07(b) of the City Charter and Paragraph 32 of the restated settlement agreement between the City of Jacksonville and the Police and Fire Pension Fund. The agreement calls for meeting periodically to review the provisions of the agreement, and that our discussions shall be guided by the mutual desire to ensure continued application of the terms and conditions that are fair, equitable, and -- given the current fiscal financial circumstances that have presented themselves that were not addressed or anticipated by the parties upon execution of the restated agreement.

By our participation here, the fund is not waiving any of the arguments or rights in any of

the pending litigation. The discussions here today and in the future are not collectively bargaining, and neither the Board nor I have been authorized to act as a bargaining agent for any bargaining unit.

The settlement agreement is not a collective bargaining agreement. Rather, it is a legal contract between the City and the Pension Board. Today's meetings are held in this public setting solely to comply with the order issued by Judge Wallace, which is currently on appeal to the First District Court of Appeal.

As you may be aware, Judge Morales has found that she has jurisdiction in the case of Wyse versus the City, which includes the Board's cross claims. We believe a resolution of those issues, as well as the implementation of comprehensive pension reform, is in the best interests of all.

The Board will be conducting a special meeting on Monday, which will include a session with our legal counsel, to receive advice concerning the Wyse litigation and its relationship to the issues which will be discussed at these upcoming meetings.

We look forward to fruitful and meaningful

discussions and a mutually acceptable resolution
on the important issue of comprehensive pension
reform.

Thank you.

MR. HAND: Mr. Moderator, if I might just before we begin, I also want to put on the record on behalf of the City that obviously there is litigation pending in federal court, Wyse v. City of Jacksonville, et. al. We are also not waiving any of our rights or arguments under that litigation, as well. I just wanted to add that on the record.

THE MODERATOR: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Mayor, thank you.

John, thank you.

MR. KEANE: Good to see you, Senator.

THE MODERATOR: It's good to see all of you again. I had hoped perhaps our last time together was our last time together, but we are back here for the purpose of trying to reach an agreement on pension reform that we think everybody would be pleased with.

And I want to echo several things that were brought up by both parties. Number one, I couldn't be more in agreement with you, John, that

this is not collective bargaining, in the sense that I was once, you know, in a former life, familiar with collective bargaining. That's not what we're here doing. That's not what we're here to do.

But it does have some guidance to us in one way. And that is, unlike mediation, which we earlier engaged in, this, we've all agreed, will be done in the Sunshine, which is nothing new to those who have been engaged in collective bargaining. Collective bargaining in Florida has been carried on that way now since the mid 1970s, and for the police and firefighters, even earlier than that. So I don't see that as an impediment to our being able to reach agreement.

I note that we are here where there are issues of pending litigation, both in the federal court and, of course, the appeal and I think some of the cross appeal issues that have been raised as it relates to the Denton case, which we'll talk about in just a moment. But, again, I'm still -- while that's on appeal, as a guy who's been practicing law for now approaching 40 years, I'm going to follow the order until the order is no longer the order. And right now that's the order

and we're going to abide with it. And it will serve as guidance on some of the proceedings and ways in which we proceed. And I'll talk about those in just a moment.

I wouldn't be here as moderator, a title that

I find unusual, having been -- I've been called so

many things over my career and --

MR. KEANE: Myself.

THE MODERATOR: And, actually, moderator is in the top two or three percent. But I wouldn't be doing this if I didn't know that both sides have genuinely expressed, over a long period of time, an interest in trying to resolve these issues and a hope that these issues could be resolved. And that's what I'm here to do. I'm here for no purpose -- I do not favor the City, nor do I favor the Pension Board. I favor our being able to get an agreement, if it can be accomplished.

I favor that because I believe that we've told the public that's what we're here to do, and that's what we ought to give our very best efforts at. That doesn't mean everybody is going to agree on everything. I think we know from our past experiences and from our common life experiences

that's not going to happen.

What I'd hope is that everybody will, much as we charge juries to do, keep an open mind, listen to the other side, understand what the other side's trying to accomplish. And if we don't agree with it, be prepared to address your concerns, your concerns, and look for ways to reach accommodation, look for ways and search for ways together to come up with a roadmap that could lead us to success.

A couple of ground rules, I want to go ahead by way of disclosure and tell you that, thus far -- as most people know, I brought a very small part of the file. I am familiar with many of the issues that bring you together because we've spent much time together last year in an unsuccessful effort. But, certainly, I come without having forgotten all of that and, certainly, with a file a show dog can't jump over, to remind me of all of it. So that's where we are.

I also will tell you that, the executive summary, I have prepared. I've looked at the report of the Jacksonville task force. I not only have read that and intend to read it more closely, but I also followed that while it was being

arrived at and once it was arrived at. I also have reviewed the final judgment in the Denton case, Judge Wallace's ruling, which we will address a little bit more.

And I've gotten some other issues before me, some guidance. I think one is a letter from -- one was a letter that was prepared and sent to the City Council regarding the fire and pension back in March of 2014 on certain proceedings. And, likewise, I've got an opinion letter from the General Counsel's Office bearing date April of 2014, which has to do with really just procedural issues.

And what I would tell you is, if there's anything else anybody wants me to look at or feels like I need to look at, I'm open to reading, reviewing everything. But that's kind of our guide.

Some ground rules, when I agreed to take this assignment, I promised that we were going to have a set period of time to meet, a set period of time towards reaching an agreement, and that I would give it my full attention for a period of time, but that period of time was going to be relatively defined and relatively short because I feel like

1 | that we are not here to rewrite the pension.

We're not here to rewrite even agreements that you guys have already arrived at. We're here to write, from the beginning, those issues of reform which you or you believe are appropriate to moving this process forward and ending up with pension reform that both sides will see as beneficial to their interests over a long period of time.

Having said that, I also wanted to talk a little bit about how I think we're going to proceed. Number one, I believe that part of my responsibilities is to make this thing move orderly. I've determined that the responsibility for advancing proposed changes initially, I believe, lies with the City, not with the Board. Therefore, I think it's the responsibility of the City to come forward with the initial package of reforms that you would see as necessary and that you want to have discussed, each, and be prepared to explain fully each of your positions and the reasons for those positions.

And so I hereby tell you that, unless otherwise objected to, that's how we'll proceed. The City will have the responsibility of bringing to the Board its package of proposed reforms.

1 Is that okay with everyone? 2 MR. KEANE: Acceptable. MAYOR BROWN: 3 Acceptable. Secondly, I know that next 4 THE MODERATOR: 5 week we have agreed to meet on Tuesday and 6 Thursday. We haven't agreed to meet yet, but I 7 know that I've asked everybody to clear their calendar. I'm available Tuesday and Thursday. 8 9 Is that available to the City? 10 MR. HAND: Yes. 11 MAYOR BROWN: Yes. 12 THE MODERATOR: Is that available to you, 13 John? 14 MR. KEANE: It is. 15 THE MODERATOR: Okay. Based on that, we 16 will -- and I do this because I think it's 17 important for public notice purposes, that we at 18 least give people -- we will have meetings next 19 week. And the times of those meetings will be 20 Tuesday -- at Tuesday I have 8:30, but since I 21 know I'm coming over that morning and I just faced 22 traffic -- all due respect, Mayor -- it's going to 23 be nine because at 8:30 I was sitting dead still 24 this morning. So we're going to make it nine. 25 At nine o'clock on Tuesday, we will meet back in this room. Unless otherwise told, this will be the meeting. This is for the public's benefit.

The meetings will be here unless we're otherwise directed. So we'll be here at nine o'clock, at which time I will expect the City of Jacksonville to advance its package of proposed changes to the Board.

I would also say that, please -- it was the responsibility of the advancing party -- make sure there are some additional -- at least one additional copy available in some format for the public because I want to be clear on this. Unlike some ways mediation works, I believe that the sense of the order from the Circuit Court will require that every proposal and counterproposal that is made will be made at this table or its equivalent. That is, we will not -- we will not and I will not carry side messages.

We may talk separately, and both sides may talk to me separately, but anything in the form of a proposal or an advancement will be made at the table. And any counterproposals will be made at the table. That way, there will be no sense that the public has in any way been deprived of the same information that we're working on.

1 Again, there will be times -- and I think 2 just commonsense and experience tells you this. There will be times that you two may -- I may want 3 you two to break off and think things over 4 5 separately. And you may want to talk amongst 6 yourselves and I may talk with you. But I will 7 not carry messages back and forth to one another. I will not take proposals back and forth to one 8 9 another.

I may listen to your concerns, or you may tell me you don't want me to listen to your concern, and I will sit here and wait until you come back in the room. So everybody understand there will be -- proposals will only be advanced that way. And everybody agrees to that?

Do you agree to that --

MR. KEANE: Agreed.

THE MODERATOR: -- John?

MR. KEANE: Agreed.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

20 THE MODERATOR: City?

MAYOR BROWN: Yes, I agree.

THE MODERATOR: Okay. All right. We have Monday at nine o'clock -- I mean, Tuesday at nine o'clock. And we have Thursday, and Thursday I didn't have set at a specific time. I would

1 recommend again nine o'clock for Thursday. Does 2 that work for everyone? MR. HAND: Mr. Smith, if we could start a 3 4 little later on Thursday just because I know the mayor has a conflict first thing in the morning. 5 6 So if it's possible to start, say, either at 9:30 7 or, even better, ten o'clock, I think that would be preferable to us. 8 9 Ten o'clock, John? THE MODERATOR: 10 MR. KEANE: I have a conflict at ten o'clock, 11 but I'm going to get out of it. 12 THE MODERATOR: Well --13 MR. KEANE: This is just very important. 14 THE MODERATOR: Okay. Ten o'clock is good. 15 MR. KEANE: 16 Ten o'clock will work? THE MODERATOR: 17 MR. KEANE: Ten o'clock. 18 THE MODERATOR: Okay. Let's make it, then --19 to be clear, we're going to be Tuesday at nine and 20 Thursday at ten. 21 Now, I want to move into the next week for 22 scheduling purposes. This would be -- so that

scheduling purposes. This would be -- so that we're clear on this, this would be the week that I believe begins the 12th; is that correct? Is that what you have?

23

24

25

1 MR. HAND: Correct. 2 That would be the week THE MODERATOR: beginning the 12th. I'd like to schedule at least 3 two days out of the first three of that week, if 4 5 possible. How does Monday look for everyone, on 6 the 12th? 7 I have a conflicting meeting I MR. KEANE: 8 cannot change. 9 THE MODERATOR: Okay. 10 It lasts between eleven and two. MR. KEANE: 11 We can start, take the break, take a longer lunch 12 period, and then take up after that. 13 THE MODERATOR: I think what we will do, 14 John, in respect to that, is that -- let me check 15 Tuesday. How is Tuesday for everyone? 16 MR. HAND: We have flexibility on both Monday 17 and Tuesday. What I'd suggest is -- just to sort of, again, keep it moving forward, if -- John, 18 19 what time is your conflict on Monday? I'm sorry. 20 THE MODERATOR: Eleven to two. 21 MR. KEANE: Eleven to two. 22 It might be appropriate if we were MR. HAND: 23 able to start, say, at nine on Monday, at least 24 work for a couple hours --25 MR. KEANE: Sure.

1 -- until Mr. Keane's conflict --MR. HAND: 2 THE MODERATOR: Okay. -- and then if we have to 3 MR. HAND: return -- now, just to be clear, there is -- the 4 Jacksonville Port Task Force is meeting, I 5 6 believe, in this room on Monday afternoon, so we 7 might need to make some alternative arrangements for the afternoon, but we can start here at nine. 8 THE MODERATOR: 9 I will leave that up to the 10 parties as to location, but as soon as you arrive 11 at a location, please put out a press release on 12 that so the public will know that there's been a 13 change of location. We will then -- we are going to meet at nine 14 15 on Monday. We know we're going to have a break in 16 the Monday proceedings for some -- few hours. We 17 will plan to reconvene at two o'clock that 18 afternoon, or 2:15, whatever you need to get back 19 over here. 20 Then on Tuesday, we will again start at nine 21 o'clock, and we will try to go through Tuesday. 22 That will be the --23 MR. KEANE: 13th. 24 THE MODERATOR: That would be the 13th.

And I'm going to pick one more day because I

25

```
1
     plan on that week, hopefully, being our last.
 2
     Wednesday better or is Thursday better for your
     one more day that week?
 3
                      Thursday is better for us, but --
 4
          MR. KEANE:
 5
          THE MODERATOR:
                          Okay.
 6
          MR. KEANE: -- we can do Wednesday too, if
 7
     that's better with the chief.
          MR. HAND: We can do either -- I think
 8
 9
     Wednesday would be slightly preferable. We'd have
10
     to start a little bit later. It might be that
11
     whatever we do, Wednesday or Thursday, our
12
     preference would be to start in the early
13
     afternoon.
          THE MODERATOR: On Wednesday?
14
15
          MR. HAND: We could do early afternoon
16
     Wednesday or Thursday.
17
          THE MODERATOR: Okay. Let's do the afternoon
    Wednesday and then Thursday. And that's what
18
19
     we're going to calendar. And on Thursday, we'll
20
     start at nine o'clock, unless otherwise provided.
21
          MR. HAND: Again, both of those days,
22
     Mr. Smith, if we could start in the afternoon --
23
          THE MODERATOR:
                          Okay.
24
          MR. HAND: -- both Wednesday and Thursday.
          THE MODERATOR: We'll start -- I'm going to
25
```

show Wednesday at one o'clock, and I'm going to show Thursday at one o'clock. Now --

MR. HAND: We will confirm. Obviously, we need to go back. We will confirm these because our plan would be to notice -- once we nail down the exact date and location, we can notice all these today.

THE MODERATOR: Unless we otherwise provide, we know now that we have a Tuesday and Thursday of next week, and we have a Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday of the following weeks, that some portion of those days will be dedicated to this process. That's as far out as I'm willing to go because my goal was, as I told you in the beginning, that I wanted to be available by the middle of the month. I think that kind of gets -- that kind of gets me where I want to go.

I want to make one suggestion -- I'll just make sure of something on my calendar.

That's okay. Yep. All right.

Okay. Now, with that housekeeping, I want to talk about a couple of rules that I suggest that we use for operating because I think they are important for our orderly accomplishment of our work. Number one, John, I don't know what your

plans are to have anybody else with you at various times, but anybody that you bring on your side of the table, you just designate who that is. I don't know if it'll be Bob or somebody else, but whoever you have, bring with you, just introduce them as part of it, and they will be recognized as your side.

The reason I say that is because I believe that -- while this is a public meeting, I believe that the time for public discussion of the matters that we raise in this meeting as part of this process is not going to occur. By that I mean, we're not going to take public input on proposals or counterproposals, and we're not going to hear people talk about what they think we should and shouldn't do.

Ultimately, if you-all are able to reach agreement, there will be a time by which the public will have dramatic ability to impact that, the final product. And that final product will be when it will go before your City Council. And your City Council, of course, is governed by its comments and its allowing for public input.

I think that to allow outside comment in this process when we're talking about trying to forge

an agreement is counterproductive to our purposes,
and so it is my intention to -- and I have -- I am
familiar with this area of the law somewhat,
although I don't hold myself out as an expert, and
I believe there are times when things are open to
the public without requiring public comment beyond
that of the participants at the table.

That does not mean that you can't bring somebody else in an area of expertise if you want to on your side of the table. You absolutely can. Just at the beginning of each meeting, we'll declare who's going to be speaking.

And the same for you, John.

Is that satisfactory with everybody?

MR. KEANE: Satisfactory to us.

MAYOR BROWN: Satisfactory.

THE MODERATOR: Mr. Mayor? Okay.

All right. By way of background, I would suggest the following: I have before me a reform task force report bearing date March 19th, 2014. I know you guys are well aware of this report. What I would like the City and the Board to do is I want you to take the agreements that we have in place -- I think that would be an agreement that

you referenced earlier in your presentation, John.

Without conceding whether or not that's your legal position or not -- for purposes of our discussion that would be the agreement that you referred to and sometimes called the 30-year agreement. We have agreements that we've previously reached, which have been not passed and accepted by the Council. And then we have this reform task force.

I would like to see your proposals be based on the task force reports or responses. I mean, we could use any one of those things as our starting point, but it seems to me that there's been a great deal of effort expended by people who spent a lot of time, took a lot -- had a lot of public input, gave a broad base to their ideas.

So what I'd like you to do next week is we will work off of the report of the task force.

That doesn't mean that anybody is bound to accept the task force conclusions in total. But that's the way we will proceed, is kind of working from that point.

We could go back to what we had agreed to earlier that has some issues, or we could go back to -- that does not mean that what's been earlier agreed to may not very -- I've reviewed this, and sometimes they are exactly the same thing, and

reached are already encompassed in this. We just have to have a starting point. And I'm going to use this as a starting point, unless somebody sorely objects, the task force report.

Your proposals should be based on what the task force reported. Your responses should be based on that. That does not suggest for a moment that we can't go back to any of these other, including status quo, as your position or your response or your counterposition or counterresponse.

Everybody okay with that?

MR. KEANE: I would note that the task force was careful not to make any recommendations on benefit changes. And if that's what we're going to be talking about, we're going to have to take a second path at that. The main recommendations in the task force had to do with governance. And I think we're going to be more inclined to be talking about long-term benefit changes. Is that not correct --

THE MODERATOR: Well, let me respond --

MR. KEANE: -- both of them?

THE MODERATOR: Let me respond to that. I'm

not going to restrict anybody from bringing up anything. I'm not sure that I read the report exactly that way, but I will certainly say there was an emphasis on governance in the report. There's no question about that.

MR. KEANE: Correct.

THE MODERATOR: But there were other issues that were covered in this report. And once again, when I start saying things like benefits, it's such a broad-ranging term. I'm not going to really get into that debate, but what I am going to say is that we have to have a starting point. We're going to use it as a starting point, the recommendations of the task force.

That does not bind, limit, constrain -- what other terms there will be -- anybody from talking about things that we previously have tried to agree to in past sessions or from talking about things that have been agreed to with past administrations. All of those things are on the table. You're never going to get there if you turn a blind eye to what got us here.

But for purposes of our starting point, we're going to start and discuss proposals either that were specifically included in the task force or

1 were inculcated in the task force findings because 2 they reference previous agreements; okay? Everybody okay with that? 3 4 MR. KEANE: Okay. 5 THE MODERATOR: All right. So that will be 6 your responsibility. And you understand your 7 responsibility Monday would be you'll put a package on the table. And, Mr. Mayor, when I say 8 9 you-all, I'm talking to you-all --10 MAYOR BROWN: Sure. 11 THE MODERATOR: -- collectively. The City, I get it. 12 Sure. MAYOR BROWN: MR. HAND: Did you mean Tuesday, Mr. Smith? 13 I mean Tuesday. 14 THE MODERATOR: Did I say 15 Monday? Tuesday, our first session. 16 The other thing I wanted to kind of touch 17 base on, because I thought it was important, is that either side can, at any time, ask to caucus. 18 19 And let me give you an example. You shall, I 20 hope, bring in your proposals, and you're going to 21 be explaining those proposals -- and, John, either 22 you alone or you in conjunction with some people 23 who may not be ready to immediately respond.

may be; you may not be. All you have to do is

request a period of time to caucus and we'll do

24

25

1 that.

The same with the City. When John comes back -- or if John comes back with counterproposals or responses to your stuff, same thing. I don't expect people -- you can ask questions across the table. I would ask you to -- because of orderliness, let's try to address me first so we don't get into yelling across the table at one another, which sometimes happens. We don't want that to occur. But my point is to moderate and facilitate, so we're going to do it that way.

Also, it is not my role to take sides, but I can tell you in advance I will try to formulate questions that I think are questions that ought to be asked about every one of the proposals or counterproposals because I think that goes back to things that -- we certainly want to make sure we don't leave anything undone. If I ask a question or if I refrain from asking a question, that should not be read by either side as somehow my trying to take the other side's position.

I think, like anybody who's trying to moderate something, I want to make sure that we get a full fleshing of discussions so that later,

when we reach an agreement, if that happens, and I'm hopeful that it will, that everybody had a chance to fully consider what they were agreeing to.

And finally, as I understand it, between these two parties it is agreed -- and this was a condition of my accepting to do this -- is that between these two parties and recognizing these two parties -- don't limit anybody else -- but as between these two parties, in playing my role as moderator, I will not later, by either of these parties, be considered as a witness as to any discussions that I've had with either side or as -- for purposes of my giving an interpretation of what the intention was of any of the provisions that are agreed upon.

The intention will be as stated from the parties. The intention will be as stated in the plain language that is used. And the intention -- and my role here is not to make a judgment about whether or not it's a wise decision or who it favors. My role here is simply to try to facilitate agreement.

The City agrees with that?

25 MAYOR BROWN: Yes.

1 MR. KEANE: Sure.

THE MODERATOR: The Board agrees with that?

3 MR. KEANE: Certainly.

THE MODERATOR: All right. With that, questions from you guys for today, anything else that organizationally you think that I should address today that I've not addressed today in guiding how we're going to proceed?

MR. HAND: One other thing I wanted to put on the record, just expanding what I said previously, I mentioned that, obviously -- and Mr. Keane's made this clear, and we have -- in terms of not waiving our rights or arguments under the Wyse litigation, it's in federal court. As you referred to, there's a separate piece of legislation, the Denton case --

THE MODERATOR: Uh-huh.

MR. KEANE: -- in state court. Again, I just want to put on the record the City is not waiving any arguments or rights it has as pertains to that litigation, which is currently on appeal before the First District Court of Appeal.

THE MODERATOR: Yeah. So that we're clear on this, when I decided to do this -- I consider this to be a public effort to reach an agreement.

```
1
     Nobody who's participating in this -- I'm not
 2
     reading that as -- and I don't read that as a
     waiver, and I think both parties have said their
 3
 4
     participation is to preserve any rights they
     otherwise have, that they are here solely for the
 5
 6
     purposes of what would be called settlement
 7
     discussions.
          Is that correct from the City's perspective?
 8
 9
          Yes?
10
          MR. HAND:
                     Yes.
11
          THE MODERATOR: And from yours, the Board's,
12
     perspective?
13
          MR. KEANE:
                      That's our position.
14
          THE MODERATOR: Okay. So with that, I think
15
     we're clear that we're trying to facilitate
16
     agreement. And nobody's abandoning any arguments.
17
     Nobody's endorsing any arguments that might
18
     otherwise be advanced. This is settlement
19
     discussions to see whether or not between these
     parties we might effectuate pension reform.
20
21
          Anything else procedurally that you think we
22
     need to cover today?
23
                     There's one scheduling matter I
          MR. HAND:
24
     wanted to raise, Mr. Smith.
25
          THE MODERATOR:
                          Please.
```

```
1
          MR. HAND: We had sort of talked about
 2
     starting at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday. I note the
     mayor's got a conflict. I wondered if it works
 3
     for Mr. Keane to start at ten on Tuesday, as
 4
     opposed to nine? That's Tuesday May the 6th.
 5
 6
          MR. KEANE: Much better time.
 7
          MR. HAND: Okay. Great. So if we could plan
     to start at ten on the 6th, as opposed to nine,
 8
 9
     that would be very helpful. And then we also
10
     start at ten on Thursday, the 8th.
11
          THE MODERATOR: Got them both. And the
12
     others are at one, on --
13
          MR. HAND: 9:00 a.m. --
14
          THE MODERATOR: Two -- 9:00 a.m. is --
15
          MR. HAND: -- is on May the 12th --
16
          THE MODERATOR: -- on Monday, and then the
17
     other two are at one.
18
          MR. HAND: Yeah, Wednesday and -- Wednesday,
19
     the 14th, Thursday --
20
          THE MODERATOR: Do you think we've scheduled
21
     enough sessions here, Gentlemen?
22
          MR. KEANE: Yes, sir.
23
          MAYOR BROWN:
                       Yes.
24
          THE MODERATOR: Okay. John, is there
25
     anything -- Mr. Mayor, either one, is there
```

anything that we haven't addressed today

organizationally that you think will be important

to our success?

MR. KEANE: No, sir. I think that the outline that you prepared is a good one. The Police and Fire Pension Board has long been committed to comprehensive pension reform. And now is the time and this is the place to start the journey to wrap it up.

THE MODERATOR: I was raised Baptist, so I'd normally say "amen" at that point, so --

MR. KEANE: All right. You may.

MAYOR BROWN: Well, first of all, I agree with John, you know. As mayor, I made a commitment that I would try to get the issue of retirement reform settled once and for all. I'm committed to seeing it through. And I think the timelines and the scheduled meetings, I think, are enough. I think the goal is to get it done.

And as you said and you noted, a lot of work has been done. And as I said before, this is more than about numbers. It really is about the quality of life in our City. It's about all the hopes, dreams, and aspirations of every kid in the City. It's really about making sure that at the

end of the day we have a City where people want to live, work, and play and raise a family.

And there are a lot of public safety officers, first responders, who work hard every day on our behalf so that we can enjoy our freedom, we can live in peace. And I think, as mayor, I want to make sure that maybe we -- we have five meetings scheduled. Maybe we'll get it done in four.

But I think we've got -- I think this should be it. I think we can do this. I am very optimistic about it because we, both parties, love this City, believe in this City, care about this City. And I think we have an opportunity to get it done.

MR. KEANE: And also before we adjourn -THE MODERATOR: Go ahead.

MR. KEANE: -- you referenced the

Jacksonville Retirement Reform Task Force report.

The chairman of that group is here. He led 16 of our citizens for a seven-month period on the long journey. And on behalf of the Board and our members, we appreciate the time and talent that they gave to that effort.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1 THE MODERATOR: Thank you.

Madam Court Reporter, please -- do this, gentlemen -- or ladies the next time if we have ladies with us -- please make sure that each of you identifies yourself to the court reporter before the beginning of each session, or, John, anybody new that you bring, make sure they introduce themselves so that she can go ahead and get down correctly the names of the people because I don't really like to play that thing where we announce our name every time before we speak. In this small group she'll know who you are once she gets it down. She's absolutely effective at doing this.

And also, if you could, when you see me use grammar that's not going to look good, please fix that.

With that, if there's no further matters for today, we will start on Tuesday. And our first order of business on Tuesday will be the City advancing its proposed reform changes.

Gentlemen, thank you.

(The meeting was concluded at 10:40 a.m.)

24 - - -

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST JOHNS)
3	
4	I, Karen Adair Ruiz, Registered Merit
5	Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, certify that I
6	was authorized to and did stenographically report the
7	foregoing meeting and that the transcript is a true and
8	complete record of my stenographic notes.
9	I further certify that I am not a relative,
10	employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties,
11	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
12	attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am
13	I financially interested in the action.
14	Dated this 7th day of May, 2014.
15	
16	KAREN ADAIR RUIZ
17	Registered Merit Reporter Florida Professional Reporter
18	FIOLIGA FIOLESSIONAL REPOLCEL
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	A	advance 5:2 16:6 29:14	approaching 10:23	36:6
<u> </u>		advanced 17:14	Appropriations	begins 18:24
10:40 36:23	a.m. 33:2,13,14 36:23	32:18	6:3	behalf 7:6 9:7 35:5,22
12th 18:24 19:3,6 33:15	abandoning	advancement 16:21	April 13:11	believes 6:15
13th 20:23,24	32:16	advancing 14:14	area 24:3,9	beneficial 14:7
1 4th 33:19	abide 11:1	16:9 36:21	arguments 7:25 9:10 31:13,20	benefit 16:2
16 35:20	ability 23:19	advice 8:21	32:16,17	26:16,21
17 3:16	absolutely 24:10 36:13	afternoon 20:6,8,	arrangements	benefits 27:9
1970s 10:12	accept 25:17	18 21:13,15,17,22 agencies 4:13,17	20:7 arrive 20:10	biggest 3:19 Bill 3:12
1993 5:15	acceptable 9:1	agent 8:4	arrived 13:1 14:3	bind 27:15
19th 24:20	15:2,3	agree 4:1 11:23	Article 7:10	Bishop 3:12
	accepted 25:6	12:6 17:16,21	aspirations 34:24	bit 13:4 21:10
2	accepting 30:7	27:18 34:13	•	
2000 5:15,22,24	access 5:5	agreed 10:8 13:19 15:5,6 17:17,19	assignment 13:20	blind 27:22
2006 5:25	accommodation	25:21,24 27:19	Association 5:19	Board 7:7 8:3,8,19 11:17 14:15,25
2014 13:9,12 24:20	12:8	30:6,16	attend 5:3	16:7 24:22 31:2 34:6 35:22
22.07(b) 7:11	accomplish 12:5	agreeing 30:3	attention 13:23	Board's 8:15
2:15 20:18	accomplished 11:19	agreement 7:12, 14,16,23 8:6,7	attorney 5:16,17	32:11
	accomplishment	9:20,25 10:15	authorized 7:10	Bob 23:4
3	22:24	11:18 13:22 23:18 24:1,24 25:3,4	8:4	Bobby 7:7
30-year 25:4	achieve 3:24 4:4,	30:1,23 31:25	Avera 5:23	bound 25:17
32 7:11	5,8,11,13,15,22 6:12	32:16	aware 8:13 24:21	break 17:4 19:11
	achieving 3:7	agreements 14:2 24:23 25:5 26:1	В	20:15
4	acknowledge	28:2		bridge-builder 6:10
40 10:23	3:4,11	agrees 17:15	back 9:19 13:8 15:25 17:7,8,13	bring 12:15 23:2,5
	act 8:4	30:24 31:2 Agricultural 6:2	20:18 22:4 25:21,	24:8 28:20 36:7
6	Adam 7:8	_	22 26:9 29:3,17	bringing 14:24
6th 33:5,8	Adams 7:5	ahead 12:11 35:17 36:8	background 24:18	27:1
	add 9:11	allowing 23:23	Baptist 34:10	broad 25:14
8	additional 16:10, 11	alternative 20:7	bargaining 8:3,4,	broad-ranging 27:10
8:30 15:20,23	address 12:6 13:4	amen 34:11	5,7 10:1,3,11	brought 6:10 9:24
8th 33:10	31:7	Anderson 3:14	base 25:14 28:17	12:13
	addressed 7:21	announce 36:11	based 5:17 15:15	BROWN 3:2 15:3, 11 17:21 24:16
9	31:7 34:1	anticipated 7:21	25:8 26:6,8	28:10,12 30:25
9:00 33:2,13,14	adjourn 35:16	appeal 8:11,12	bearing 13:11 24:20	33:23 34:13
9:30 18:6	administrations 27:20	10:18,19,22 31:21, 22	begin 9:6	budget 4:6
10.0	administrator 7:3	application 7:18	beginning 14:4	burst 3:23
			19:3 22:15 24:11	business 4:18

36:20	City's 32:8	conflicting 19:7	date 13:11 22:6 24:20	dreams 34:24
Bussells 7:7	civil 5:14	conjunction 28:22	day 6:19 20:25	due 15:22
C	claims 8:16	considered 30:12	21:3 35:1,5	E
	Clay 3:13	constrain 27:15	days 19:4 21:21	
calendar 15:8 22:19	clear 4:25 15:7 16:12 18:19,23	continued 7:17	22:12	earlier 10:8,13 24:25 25:22,23
-	20:4 31:12,23	contract 8:8	dead 15:23	
call 3:3	32:15	copy 16:11	deal 7:7 25:12	early 21:12,15
called 11:6 25:4 32:6	closely 6:5 12:24	correct 18:24 19:1	debate 27:11	echo 9:23
calls 7:14	closure 4:19	26:22 27:6 32:8	decided 31:24	effective 36:13
Capital 5:20	collective 8:6 10:1,3,10,11	correctly 36:9	decision 30:21	effectuate 32:20
care 35:13	collectively 8:2	council 3:11 6:25	declare 24:12	effort 12:17 25:12 31:25 35:24
career 5:13 11:7	28:11	13:8 23:21,22 25:7	dedicated 3:16 22:12	efforts 3:25 11:22
careful 26:15	comment 23:24	Councilman 3:12,	dedication 3:22	Eighth 5:16
carried 10:12	24:6	counsel 8:21	defined 13:25	eleven 19:10,20,
carry 16:18 17:7	comments 5:11 23:23	Counsel's 13:11	delined 13:25	21
case 5:18 8:14	commitment			emphasis 27:4
10:20 13:3 31:16	34:15	counterposition 26:11	Denton 10:20 13:2 31:16	employees 4:11,
caucus 28:18,25	committed 34:7,	counterproductiv	deprived 16:24	17,19 6:20
chair 6:1,2 7:7	17	e 24:1	designate 23:3	employment 5:1
chairman 3:19	Committee 6:2,4	counterproposal	desire 7:17	encompassed 26:2
35:20,25	common 11:25	16:15	determined 14:13	end 6:18 35:1
challenge 3:19	commonsense	counterproposal s 16:22 23:14 29:4,	differences 6:11	ending 14:6
chance 30:3	17:2	17	directed 16:4	endorsing 32:17
change 19:8 20:13	community 4:7	counterresponse	director 3:5 7:3	engaged 10:8,10
charge 12:3	comply 8:10	26:12	disclosure 12:12	enjoy 35:5
Charter 7:11	comprehensive 8:17 9:2 34:7	counties 5:25	discuss 27:24	
check 19:14	conceding 25:1	couple 12:11 19:24 22:22	discussed 8:23	ensure 5:4 7:17
	concern 17:12	-	14:19	equitable 7:19
chief 4:8 6:25 21:7	concerns 12:7	court 5:6,17 8:12 9:8 10:18 31:14,	discussion 23:10	equivalent 16:17
Circuit 5:16 16:14	17:10	18,22 36:2,5	25:2	eulogies 6:7
circumstances 7:20	concluded 36:23	cover 32:22	discussions 4:24,25 5:5,12	exact 22:6
citizens 4:7 35:21	conclusions	covered 27:8	7:16 9:1 29:25	excellent 4:14
City 3:9,18 4:14,	25:18	credit 4:14	30:13 32:7,19	execution 7:22
16,19 5:8 6:19,20,	condition 30:7	criminal 5:14 6:3	distinguished 5:13	executive 3:5 7:3 12:21
24 7:11,12 8:8,15 9:7,8 11:16 13:8	conditions 7:18	cross 8:15 10:19		expanding 31:10
14:15,17,24 15:9	conducting 8:19	current 7:19	District 8:12 31:22	expect 29:5
16:5 17:20 23:21, 24:22 28:12 29:2	confident 6:12		dog 12:19	expect 29.3 expended 25:12
30:24 31:19 34:23,	confirm 22:3,4	D	Downtown 7:5	experience 17:2
25 35:1,13,14 36:20	conflict 18:5,10 19:19 20:1 33:3	Danny 5:18	dramatic 23:19	experiences

11:25 Florida 5:24,25 governed 23:22 25:22 27:7 ı 10:11 expert 24:4 grammar 36:16 J **focus** 4:12 expertise 24:9 grateful 3:24 ideas 25:14 follow 10:24 explain 14:20 great 25:12 33:7 identifies 36:5 Jacksonville force 3:17,20,22 3:17, 4:18 5:9 6:6 explaining 28:21 **Greg** 3:14 **III** 7:9 12:23 20:5 24:20 7:4,6,13 9:9 12:23 expressed 11:12 25:7,9,16,18 26:5, ground 12:11 immediately 20:5 35:19 7,14,19 27:14,25 13:19 28:23 extend 7:9 Jim 6:5 28:1 35:19 group 35:20 36:12 **impact** 23:19 eye 27:22 **John** 3:6 5:10 forge 23:25 guidance 10:6 impediment 6:15,23 7:2 9:15, forgotten 12:18 11:2 13:6 10:14 25 15:13 17:18 F 18:9 19:14,18 form 16:20 **guide** 13:18 implementation 22:25 24:13.25 faced 15:21 8:17 **format** 16:11 guided 7:17 28:21 29:2,3 33:24 34:14 36:6 facilitate 29:11 important 4:12 formulate 29:14 guiding 31:8 9:2 15:17 18:13 30:23 32:15 journey 34:9 forward 8:25 14:6, guy 10:22 22:24 28:17 34:2 35:22 **fact** 6:6 17 19:18 quys 14:3 24:21 inclined 26:20 **Judge** 8:10,13 fair 7:19 **found** 8:13 31:5 include 8:20 13:3 familiar 10:3 freedom 35:6 judgment 13:2 included 27:25 12:14 24:3 Н friend 6:15,23 30:20 includes 8:15 family 35:2 fruitful 8:25 Judicial 5:16 **Hall** 3:10 including 26:10 favor 11:16,17,20 full 13:23 29:25 jump 12:19 **HAND** 9:5 15:10 inculcated 28:1 **favors** 30:22 18:3 19:1,16,22 fully 14:20 30:3 **juries** 12:3 individual 4:23 federal 9:8 10:17 20:1,3 21:8,15,21, fund 3:5 7:4,14,24 jurisdiction 8:14 24 22:3 28:13 31:9 31:14 information 16:25 32:10,23 33:1,7, future 8:2 justice 5:14 6:3 feel 13:25 13,15,18 **initial** 14:17 **feels** 13:15 happen 12:1 initially 14:14 G Κ file 12:14,18 hard 3:21 6:18 input 23:13,23 Gainesville 5:17, final 3:23 13:2 35:4 25:14 **Keane** 3:6 5:10 23 23:20 6:15,24 7:2 9:16 hear 23:14 intend 12:24 11:8 15:2,14 finally 30:5 gave 25:14 35:24 held 4:25 8:9 intention 24:2 17:17,19 18:10,13, financial 3:19 General 13:11 30:15,17,18,19 15,17 19:7,10,21, helped 3:22 6:11 7:20 25 20:23 21:4,6 gentlemen 33:21 interest 11:13 helpful 33:9 24:15 26:14,24 find 11:6 36:3,22 interests 8:18 27:6 28:4 31:1,3, Herbert 7:8 findings 28:1 genuinely 11:12 14:8 18 32:13 33:4,6,22 hold 24:4 34:4,12 35:16,18 interpretation finish 4:15 **give** 11:22 13:23 15:18 28:19 hope 11:14 12:2 30:14 **Keane's** 20:1 **fire** 3:5 7:4,13 13:8 28:20 31:11 34:6 **giving** 30:14 introduce 4:23 **hoped** 9:18 5:11 23:5 36:8 kid 34:24 firefighters 10:13 Glover 7:8 hopeful 30:2 introductory 5:11 kind 13:17 22:16, goal 22:14 34:19 firm 5:23 17 25:19 28:16 hopes 3:7 34:24 issue 9:2 34:15 fiscal 7:19 good 3:2 6:15,22, King 6:5,6 **issued** 8:10 25 9:16,17 18:15 **hours** 19:24 20:16 fix 36:16 34:5 36:16 housekeeping **issues** 8:16,23 L fleshing 29:25 governance 22:21 10:17,19 11:14 26:19 27:4 flexibility 19:16 12:15 13:5,13 14:4 ladies 36:3,4

language 30:19	maintains 4:14	moderator 5:12	notice 15:17 22:5,	parties 5:1 7:22
lasts 19:10	make 4:3,24 6:18,	6:25 9:5,13,17 11:5,9 15:4,12,15	6	9:24 20:10 30:6,8, 9,10,12,18 32:3,20
late 6:5	21 14:12 15:24 16:9 18:18 20:7	17:18,20,22 18:9,	noticed 5:2	35:12
law 5:14,15,22	22:18,19 26:15	12,14,16,18 19:2, 9,13,20 20:2,9,24	Number 9:24 14:11 22:25	party 16:9
10:23 24:3	29:18,24 30:20 35:7 36:4,7	21:5,14,17,23,25	numbers 34:22	passed 25:6
lead 12:10	making 34:25	22:8 24:17 26:23, 25 27:7 28:5,11,14		past 11:24 27:18,
leave 20:9 29:19	March 13:9 24:20	30:11 31:2,4,17,23	0	19
led 35:20	matter 32:23	32:11,14,25 33:11, 14,16,20,24 34:10		path 26:18
legal 8:7,21 25:1	matters 23:10	35:17 36:1	objected 14:23	peace 35:6
legislation 31:16	36:18	moment 10:21	objects 26:5	pending 8:1 9:8 10:17
letter 13:6,7,10	mayor 3:2 6:24	11:4 26:8	occur 23:12 29:10	pension 3:5 6:16
lies 14:15	9:14 15:3,11,22	momentum 3:23	office 7:5 13:11	7:4,6,13 8:8,18
life 10:2 11:25	17:21 18:5 24:16, 17 28:8,10,12	Monday 8:20	officers 35:4	9:2,21 11:17 13:8
34:23	30:25 33:23,25	17:23 19:5,16,19, 23 20:6,15,16	open 12:3 13:16 24:5	14:1,6 32:20 34:6, 7
likewise 13:10	34:13,14 35:7	22:10 28:7,15	operating 22:23	pensions 4:10
limit 27:15 30:9	mayor's 33:3	33:16		people 6:10 12:13
listen 12:3 17:10, 11	meaningful 8:25	month 22:16	opinion 13:10	15:18 23:15 25:12
litigation 8:1,22	means 4:18	months 3:18	opportunity 35:14	28:22 29:5 35:1 36:9
9:8,11 10:17	mediation 10:7 16:13	Morales 8:13	opposed 33:5,8	percent 11:10
31:14,21	meet 3:6 13:21	morning 3:2 7:1 15:21,24 18:5	optimistic 35:12	period 11:12
Litigators 5:20	15:5,6,25 20:14	move 4:20 14:12	order 5:4 8:10	13:21,23,24 14:8
live 35:2,6	meeting 3:4 5:7	18:21	10:24,25 16:14	19:12 28:25 35:21
location 20:10,11,	7:10,14 8:20 16:2 19:7 20:5 23:9,	moving 14:5	36:20	periodically 7:15
13 22:6	24:11 36:23	19:18	orderliness 29:7	persisted 4:2
long 4:3 11:12 14:8 34:6 35:21	meetings 3:9 5:1	mutual 7:17	orderly 14:13 22:24	perspective 32:8,
long-term 26:21	8:9,24 15:18,19	mutually 9:1	organizationally	12
longer 10:25	16:3 34:18 35:8		31:6 34:2	pertains 31:20
19:11	member 5:24	N	outline 34:5	pick 20:25
looked 12:22	members 3:11,16, 20 5:4 6:25 35:23	nail 22:5	overcome 6:11	piece 31:15
lot 25:13 34:20	memorial 6:7	names 36:9		place 24:24 34:8
35:3	mentioned 31:11	Nat 7:8	P	plain 30:19
love 35:12	message 4:20	nation's 5:20	package 14:17,25	plan 20:17 21:1 22:5 33:7
lunch 19:11	messages 16:18	nationally 5:19	16:6 28:8	
Lynwood 3:9	17:7	needed 3:23	Paragraph 7:11	plans 23:1
	met 3:17	nobody's 32:16,	part 5:6 12:14	play 35:2 36:10
M	mid 10:12	17	14:11 23:6,11	playing 30:10
Madam 36:2	middle 22:16	North 5:25	participants 24:7	pleased 3:6 9:22
made 16:16,21,22	mind 12:3	note 10:16 26:14	participating	point 25:11,20 26:3,4 27:12,13,23
31:12 34:14	moderate 4:24	33:2	32:1	29:10 34:11
main 4:21 26:18	29:11,24	noted 34:20	participation 7:24 32:4	police 3:5 7:4, 10:13 34:6

Port 20:5	provide 3:23 4:6 22:8	reasons 14:21	resolve 4:21 11:13	Rutherford 4:8
portion 22:12	provided 21:20	receive 8:21	resolved 11:15	
position 25:2 26:10 29:22 32:13	provisions 30:15	recognized 5:19 23:6	respect 15:22	
positions 14:20,	public 4:10 5:2,3,4	recognizing 30:8	19:14	safety 4:10 35:3
21	8:9 11:21 15:17 16:12,24 20:12	recommend 18:1	respond 26:23,25 28:23	satisfactory 24:14,15,16
practiced 5:22	23:9,10,13,19,23	recommendation	responders 35:4	schedule 19:3
practicing 5:13 10:23	24:6 25:14 31:25 35:3	s 26:15,18 27:14 reconvene 20:17	response 26:11	scheduled 33:20 34:18 35:8
preeminent 5:21	public's 16:2	record 5:7 7:2 9:6,	responses 25:9	scheduling 18:22
preferable 18:8	purpose 9:20 11:16	12 31:10,19	26:7 29:4 responsibilities	32:23
preference 21:12	purposes 15:17	recorded 5:5	14:12	Scheu 3:19
prepared 12:6,22	18:22 24:1 25:2	recruit 4:9	responsibility	search 12:8
13:7 14:19 34:5	27:23 30:14 32:6	reference 28:2	14:13,16,24 16:9 28:6,7	selected 6:6
presentation	put 9:6 20:11 28:7 31:9,19	referenced 24:25 35:18	restated 7:12,22	senate 5:24 6:4,9
24:25		referred 25:3	restrict 27:1	Senator 6:1,5,6 9:16
presented 7:20 preserve 32:4	Q	31:15	results 6:12	send 4:20
press 20:11	quality 4:6 34:23	reform 3:8,24 4:2,	retain 4:9	sense 10:1 16:14,
⁻	question 27:5	4,5,9,11,13,15,22 5:9 6:17 8:18 9:3,	retirement 3:7,17,	23
previous 28:2	29:19,20	21 14:4,7 24:19	24 4:2,4,22 5:9 34:16 35:19	Senterfitt 4:8
previously 25:5 26:1 27:17 31:10	questions 29:6,15	25:7 32:20 34:7,16 35:19 36:21		separate 31:15
procedural 13:12	31:5	reforms 14:18,25	return 20:4 review 7:15	separately 16:19,
procedurally	quickly 4:20	refrain 29:20	reviewed 13:2	20 17:5
32:21	quo 26:10	relates 10:20	25:24	serve 11:2
proceed 11:3 14:11,23 25:19	R	relationship 8:23	reviewing 13:17	served 5:15,23 6:1
31:8		release 20:11	rewrite 14:1,2	service 6:4,8
proceedings 11:2	raise 23:11 32:24 35:2	remind 12:19	Richard 7:8	services 4:6
13:9 20:16	raised 10:19 34:10	report 12:23	rights 7:25 9:10	session 8:20 28:15 36:6
process 14:6 22:13 23:12,25	rating 4:12,14,17	24:20,21 25:16	31:13,20 32:4	sessions 27:18
product 23:20	reach 9:20 10:15	26:5 27:2,4,8 35:19	roadmap 12:9	33:21
promised 13:20	12:8 23:17 30:1	reported 26:7	Roberts 3:9	set 13:21 17:25
proposal 16:15,21	31:25	reporter 5:6 36:2,	Rod 5:12 6:6,9	setting 8:9
proposals 17:8,	reached 25:5 26:2	5	role 29:13 30:10, 20,22	settled 34:16
14 23:13 25:8 26:6	reaching 13:22	reports 25:9	20,22 Rolling 5:18	settlement 7:12
27:24 28:20,21 29:16	read 12:24 27:2 29:21 32:2	represented 5:25	room 3:9,16 16:1	32:6,18 seven-month
proposed 14:14,	reading 13:16	request 28:25 require 16:15	17:13 20:6	35:21
25 16:6 36:21	32:2	requiring 24:6	rules 12:11 13:19 22:22	she'll 36:12
prosecuted 5:18	ready 28:23	resolution 8:16	ruling 13:3	Sheriff 4:7
prosecutors 5:21	reason 23:8	9:1		short 13:25

show 12:19 22:1,2	SUCCES 12:10	10.24.22.2.0.11	unlike 10:7 16:12	16:25 25:19
,	success 12:10 34:3	19,24 22:2,9,11 33:10,19		
side 12:4 16:18 23:2,7 24:10 28:18	suggest 19:17	time 4:3 6:16 9:18,	unsuccessful 12:16	works 16:13 33:3
29:21 30:13	22:22 24:19 26:8	19 11:13 12:16	unusual 11:6	wrap 34:9
side's 12:5 29:22	suggestion 22:18	13:21,23,24 14:8 16:5 17:25 19:19	upcoming 8:24	write 14:4
sides 11:11 14:7	summary 12:22	23:10,18 25:13		written 5:7
16:19 29:13	Sunshine 5:1	28:18,25 33:6 34:8 35:23 36:3,11	V	Wyse 8:14,22 9:8 31:13
simply 30:22	10:9	timelines 34:18	versus 8:15	
sir 33:22 34:4	Т	times 15:19 17:1,3		Y
sit 17:12	<u> </u>	23:2 24:5	vice 6:2	Vanlaganavala 0.44
sitting 15:23	table 16:16,22,23 23:3 24:7,10 27:21	title 11:5	videos 5:7	Yarborough 3:1: year 12:16
slightly 21:9	28:8 29:6,9	today 3:20 7:10	W	years 10:23
small 12:13 36:12	talent 35:23	8:2 22:7 31:5,7 32:22 34:1 36:19		-
Smith 5:12,13,15, 23 6:1 18:3 21:22	talk 10:20 11:3	Today's 8:9	wait 17:12	yelling 29:8
28:13 32:24	14:9 16:19,20	told 11:21 16:1	waiver 32:3	you-all 23:17 28:
solely 8:10 32:5	17:5,6 22:22 23:15	22:14	waiving 7:25 9:9 31:13,19	
solve 3:18	talked 33:1	top 11:10	Wall 4:21	
sorely 26:5	talking 23:25 26:17,21 27:16,18	total 25:18	Wallace 8:11	
sort 19:17 33:1	28:9	touch 28:16	Wallace's 13:3	
speak 36:11	task 3:17,20,22	traffic 15:22	Walt 7:7	
speaking 24:12	12:23 20:5 24:20 25:7,9,16,18 26:5,	transcript 5:8	wanted 9:11 14:9	
special 8:19	7,14,19 27:14,25 28:1 35:19	treated 6:19	22:15 28:16 31:9	
specific 17:25	taxpayers 4:5,16,	Trustee 7:6	32:24	
specifically 27:25	18 6:20	trustees 7:7	ways 11:3 12:7,8,9 16:13	
spent 12:15 25:13	team 3:7	Tuesday 15:5,8,	website 5:9	
stabilize 4:6	tells 17:2	20,25 17:23 18:19 19:15,17 20:20,21	Wednesday 21:2,	
start 18:3,6 19:11,	ten 18:7,9,10,15,	22:9,10 28:13,14,	6,9,11,14,16,18,24	
23 20:8,20 21:10, 12,20,22,25 27:9,	16,17,20 33:4,8,10	15 33:2,4,5 36:19, 20	22:1,11 33:18	
24 33:4,8,10 34:8	term 27:10	turn 5:10 6:14,	week 15:5,19 18:21,23 19:2,4	
36:19	terms 7:18 27:16	27:22	21:1,3 22:10 25:15	
starting 3:8 25:11	31:12	Tuten 7:8	weeks 22:11	
26:3,4 27:12,13,23 33:2	thing 4:1,24 6:13 14:12 18:5 25:25		West 7:5	
state 5:16,17	28:16 29:5 31:9 36:10	U	win-win 6:21	
31:18	things 9:23 11:7	Uh-huh 31:17	wise 30:21	
stated 30:17,18	17:4 24:5 25:10	Ultimately 23:17	wondered 33:3	
status 26:10	27:9,17,19,20 29:18	uncertainty 4:10	work 3:21 4:12	
Street 4:21 7:5		understand 12:4	18:2,16 19:24 22:25 25:16 34:20	
strong 4:20	thought 28:17	17:13 28:6 30:5	35:2,4	
stubborn 4:1	Thursday 15:6,8 17:24 18:1,4,20	undone 29:19	worked 6:4	
stuff 29:4	21:2,4,11,16,18,	unit 8:5	working 6:18,20	