## JACKSONVILLE POLICE AND FIRE PENSION FUND BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING

December 18, 2015 DATE:

TIME: 9:04 to 10:56 a.m.

Jacksonville Police and Fire Pension Fund PLACE:

One West Adams Street

Suite 100

Jacksonville, Florida 32202

## BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Larry Schmitt, Board Chair Richard Tuten, III, Secretary Nathaniel Glover, Jr., Trustee Richard Patsy, Trustee

William E. Scheu, Trustee (via telephone)

## ALSO PRESENT:

Beth McCaque, Interim Executive Director Debbie Manning, Executive Assistant Robert Klausner, Board Counsel Joey Greive, Fund Treasurer Dan Holmes, Summit Strategies Devin Carter, Fund Controller

These agenda matters of the JPFPF Board of Trustees Regular Meeting came on to be heard at the time and place aforesaid, when and where the following proceedings were reported by:

> Denice C. Taylor, FPR AAA Reporters 233 East Bay Street, Suite 912 Jacksonville, Florida 32202 904.354.4789

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		PAGE
3	Public speakers Curtis Lee, Bill Gassett	3
4	Consent Agenda	10
5	Financial Investment Reports	10
6	Personnel Committee, In re: Devin Carter	46
7	Annual Retiree Pension Bonus Report	52
8	Resolution to appoint fifth trustee,	32
9	Willard Payne	56
10	John Keane Transition Agreement	66
11	Independent Contractor Agreement, Beth McCague	67
12	New Business, update on lawsuit	68
13	Future legal services with OGC	69
14	Siedle Report, Klausner firm	74
15	Tower Group settlement	75
16	Share Plan policies and procedures	79
17	State Attorney letter regarding fraud	82
18	DROP Enrollment Statistics	83
19	Interim Executive Director projects	83
20	Financial Advisory Committee update	88
21	Monthly Financial Report projections	89
22	PFPF Holiday meeting schedule	92
23	Recommendations from Trustee Scheu	92
24	Certificate of reporter	99
25		

## 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 December 18, 2015 9:04 a.m. 3 4 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: It's 9:04. We call the 5 meeting to order. We have Trustee Scheu via 6 telephone. 7 We will start out with a moment of silence to remember deceased member William Dewitt, III, 8 retired police sergeant. 9 (Pause) 10 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All rise. 11 We pledge allegiance to the flag of the 12 United States of America, and to the Republic for 13 which it stands, one nation, under God, 14 indivisible, with liberty and justice for all. 15 I apologize for my voice. I'll speak up as 16 much as I can. 17 We'll start with the public speaking period. 18 Debbie, do we have some public speakers? 19 Mr. Gassett and Curtis Lee. 20 MS. MANNING: 21 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mr. Gassett. MR. GASSETT: He was first. 22 23 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Sure. Mr. Lee. 24 MR. LEE: First thing, I want to speak on 25 the frequent flyer points issue.

Before this Board -- my name is Curtis Lee. 1 My address is on file. 2 Before this Board digs itself into a deeper 3 4 hole regarding the proposed --5 THE REPORTER: Could you speak up, please. 6 MR. LEE: My name is Curtis Lee. My address 7 is on file. I'm speaking on the frequent flyer points issue. 8 Before this Boards digs itself into a deeper 9 hole regarding the proposal to try to 10 retroactively bless Mr. Keane's prior criminal 11 conduct related to frequent flyer points, et 12 cetera, consider these points. 13 Number 1: The related Police and Fire 14 Pension Fund documents were falsified in an 15 effort to confer pecuniary advantage. This can 16 constitute a crime. 17 18 Number 2: I have complained to the state 19 attorney's office and the inspector general and 20 the complaints are, I believe, still pending. Ιf 21 you approve proposed changes to the proceedings at any level, it would appear that you are aiding 22 23 and abetting. You will appear culpable.

Number 3: Crimes are committed against

would you want to do this?

24

people. That's how the law works. The Police and Fire Pension Fund cannot today make uncriminal that which was formally criminal.

To analogize, if John Doe beats me up and I forgive John Doe, the people can still prosecute John Doe to obtain a conviction.

Also, remember, the general public, we would collectively pay about 90 percent of the contributions to the Police and Fire Pension Fund. We have a strong interest that crimes against the people, against us, against 99 percent of us who do not benefit from the fund, shall be prosecuted. So please switch sides and join me.

Number 4: The proposed changes to the procedures manual have a false premise. If the law says that frequent flyer points are not taxable, that does not mean they lack value.

The law says most gifts are not taxable, yet if any of you would like to give me \$5,000, neither of us pays taxes on that gift. But, of course, that gift would have cost to you and value to me.

Number 5: The fund still violates my public records law rights. After over one year I still

have been denied records regarding what Mr. Keane purchased with those points, perhaps even cashed them out. I don't know. That's because you're not giving me the documents.

Number 6: Someone wrote to the SAO, state attorney's office, about these matters, but the document was not posted last night. I would like this document. I have been copying the Police and Fire Pension Fund on my documents. So it would be fair and appropriate to copy me.

Number 7: It is in the financial interest of the Police and Fire Pension Fund that Mr. Keane be indicted and convicted. The fund could save over \$2 million that way. As fiduciaries, you must consider this. Let's recover \$2 million.

Thank you. I don't -- unless you give me another three minutes to talk on my other topic, I'm out of time.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any questions?

MR. GASSETT: My name is Bill Gassett and my address is a matter of record and I speak as a taxpayer.

The first page, of course, I've been before you on, bonds are death. Now they're dead. I

will say if you can find a bond that will pay 10 percent, certainly go into it. That's the only one that will give you the 7 1/2 percent compounded that you need to succeed, which kind of forces into a high-yield bond market.

I know Dan will talk about earlier today -or later today, but that gets kind of tough
because that's a junk bond issue, but,
nonetheless.

Now, what is getting critical here is that I would like to recommend a two-tier analysis program that perhaps -- sorry guys -- could file for us because forewarned is forearmed. And here's what we're beginning to face.

We need separate reports: One that shows what the active employees retirement program is doing and one that shows what the retiree requirements are. And I use the term R square for retirement performance.

But the reason why these guys must be separated in your analysis is because each year they get paid 7 1/2 percent -- we have to make 7 1/2 percent to pay them what they're allowed to take out, plus the 5 percent inflation increase.

So let's start year one. The market was

flat, which it was in many of the years, 2010. Suddenly the account is down to 93 1/2 percent. Cash has to come out each year, and when you take money out in a down market, as those of you in the investment business know, you really are deepening the guicksand.

So what happens next year, just to get back to even, we've got to go back up 23 percent.

Devin, you can check me on the mathematics, but the logic is quite correct.

So that kind of analysis needs to be done because most of the folks who are -- of the 1.57 billion you've got right now, is to take care of the retirees.

Same thing applies going the other way. You see with the active employees, you don't have to wait about a year-to-year CD-type thing because you have 20 and 25 to 30 years to make the 7 1/2 compounded rate, and that's okay. That report should be done also.

But, again, this has been showing before year one, the funds goes down to 85 percent because the market went down 15 percent. In the next year, in the shortened time frame, you've got to come back up to 33 percent.

So, again, I would stress to please develop a cash flow analysis right here so you know what's going on.

The other problem we've got, and this is from an initial study, indicates that the general market required future growth may not be -- over the next several years may not be sufficient to fund the next several years of the amount needed to fund the coming retirement class of the 20, 25 and 30 year group.

In some cases, using the Dow Jones as an indicator -- I know, you know, you have to have something that says what's going on. It may take a 14 percent compounded growth rate for the next four years just to get it up from the classics to fund those retired in the 20th year coming up.

So that kind of, how are we really facing the future, needs to be analyzed, I think, as part of the ongoing requirements of reports from -- to the Board.

So I would encourage, Mr. Chairman, if you would, to consider an extra reporting system that let's you know just how -- because you've got -- you don't need another five years from now what's happened in the last two or three years. And by

1	having this kind of knowledge, you can prepare
2	for it.
3	Merry Christmas.
4	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Do we have any other
5	public speakers?
6	MS. MANNING: No, sir.
7	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Public speaking period of
8	closed.
9	First we have the Consent Agenda items.
10	MR. KLAUSNER: Mr. Chairman, the Consent
11	Agenda items can all be taken as a single item.
12	MR. GLOVER: I make a motion.
13	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. I have a
14	motion. Second?
15	MR. TUTEN: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All in favor.
17	(Responses of "aye.")
18	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Opposed?
19	(No responses.)
20	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We're going to move to
21	the Financial Investment Reports. Dan Holmes.
22	MR. HOLMES: Good morning. I appreciate you
23	letting me go first. It will allow me to make
24	our office holiday party back home tonight.
25	I have a number of things to go through.

First I will take up the monthly economic and capital market review, very quickly, just to give you have a highlight of what happened during the course of November. And as we live in a 24-hour news cycle these days, a lot of this information is now stale, as the fed has moved to raise rates.

The bottom line is with regard to the economy, during the month of November, we saw the US labor market improve with over 200,000 new jobs being added. Unemployment rates stay at 5 percent.

In addition to that, real GDP was annualized at a 2.1 percent. That is restated. That's a second estimate. So that's on top of a real GDP estimate from the second quarter at 3.9 percent. So, again, the economy is growing, adding new jobs.

And, finally, what prompted the fed to take its action earlier this week was the fact that we're seeing wage inflation. And that was the final -- the final issue, what the fed had been looking for. So they raised rates.

Market not being impacted in terms of returns in the market, I think a more important

thing going forward is monitoring the path of interest rates going forward as well as the magnitude of change.

For the month of November, we basically saw domestic equities basically flat in large cap, about 3 percent positive in small cap, and slightly negative in the international due to the rise in the dollar.

In fixed income, we saw yields increase -strike that. Yeah, increase a bit. And so as a
result, bonds were flat to negative with
structured debt being the better performing
sector.

So that gives you a quick background in terms of what happened in terms of the market.

I'll ask you now to flip to the Flash
Report. It's a report on performance for the month and fiscal year-to-date.

Page 1 shows market value at the end of November. November's market value ended at basically 1.5 billion. And to be precise --

MS. McCAGUE: Dan, excuse me.

MR. HOLMES: Yes, ma'am.

MS. McCAGUE: Let's let everybody get to the Flash Report.

MR. HOLMES: Okay. Thank you. 1 MS. McCAGUE: We're welcoming a new member 2 3 today, and so we just need a little bit of time 4 to get oriented here. 5 MS. MANNING: Actually, that is the monthly report. That was not listed on here. So that's 6 7 why it was handed out. The Flash Report is listed in the agenda, but not the monthly. 8 So that is a new report to be added. 9 The market value ended 10 MR. HOLMES: precisely at \$1.499 billion. We'll note on page 11 1 of the Flash Report that the allocation to US 12 Equities is 6 percent above its target weight. 13 That's outside the parameters of a permitted 14 15 range around that weight. As a result, we're recommending reallocating 16 17 1 percent from US Equity to fixed income, which 18 is under its target by about 1 1/2 percent, 19 approximately. 20 So that would mean taking \$15 million from 21 the S&P Index Fund and giving it to the active manager, Thompson Siegel, in core fixed income. 22 23 MR. TUTEN: Dan, is it -- I mean, something

we're talking about, that small amount of money,

I mean, is it worth the transaction cost and

24

everything to do that right now, or is it better 1 to just let it ride for -- because, you know, 2 3 with the fed and everything, maybe just give it a 4 little time? 5 MR. HOLMES: Well, Rich, I think it's more 6 of an issue of staying within the -- following 7 the guidelines, following the investment policy. We're at that point where we have to rebalance to 8 stay in compliance with the investment policy. 9 MR. TUTEN: I gotcha. 10 And that's taking into 11 MS. McCAGUE: consideration the allocation and the investments 12 that were made in the last ten days with the city 13 making its annual contribution. 14 MR. HOLMES: That was done -- the difference 15 between stocks and bonds was done more or less on 16 17 a pro rata basis. So it's going to be about the We will need a rebalance. Yes. 18 same. 19 MS. McCAGUE: Okay. So do we need action on that? I'll ask our attorney. 20 21 If you're going to MR. KLAUSNER: Yes. reallocate a -- I've made a note that you would 22 23 need to approve the recommendation of the 24 consultant and direct you to proceed accordingly. 25 MS. McCAGUE: Would you restate the

1 recommendation, please? MR. HOLMES: Yes, ma'am. 2 That would be to reduce the S&P 500 Index 3 4 Fund by \$5 million -- or some \$15 million, and to 5 contribute that to Thompson Siegel, which is a 6 core bond manager. 7 MR. KLAUSNER: Will that still keep you within the guideline for the core bonds? 8 MR. HOLMES: 9 Yes. MR. GLOVER: Move. 10 MR. PATSY: I have a question, Dan. 11 How much do we normally keep in cash? 12 this representative of the amount we normally 13 keep? 14 MR. HOLMES: Cash is usually kept fairly 15 16 low. 17 MR. PATSY: Okay. 18 MR. SCHEU: Mr. Chairman, could I ask a 19 question? CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yes. Go ahead, 20 Mr. Scheu. 21 MR. SCHEU: Dan, if we knew that the fixed 22 23 income with the rates going up, will that result in a decline in asset value over the next six 24 25 months to a year?

MR. HOLMES: I'm sorry. I didn't hear the 1 full question. 2 3 MR. SCHEU: With asset allocation, if we 4 move out of the equities and into fixed income, 5 with interest rates beginning to move up, won't that result in a decline in the value -- of the 6 7 asset value of the fixed income side of the portfolio? 8 MR. HOLMES: Not -- it may, but not 9 necessarily. It depends on what's priced into 10 the market and how much rates move. 11 But the issue is more in terms of, we have 12 to rebalance to stay within investment policy 13 guidelines. And so whether you go to fixed 14 15 income or go to cash over a 12-month period, my expectation is that the core bond manager is 16 17 going to outperform the cash account. 18 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any follow-up, Mr. Scheu? 19 I have one question here. You know, we're also underweight in real estate --20 21 MR. KLAUSNER: Mr. Scheu, can you still hear 22 us? 23 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Trustee Scheu, can you 24 still hear us? 25 Yes. Can you hear me? MR. SCHEU:

MS. MANNING: I took the phone off thinking 1 it might help with the sound, and we lost you for 2 a minute. 3 4 MR. SCHEU: Okay. Yeah, I heard you. Thank 5 you. 6 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Did you have any 7 follow-up, Mr. Scheu? No. That answered my question. 8 MR. SCHEU: Thank you. 9 MR. PATSY: How much do we normally pay out 10 in benefits on a monthly basis? Where does that 11 cash come from to do that? 12 13 MS. McCAGUE: Devin. 14 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Devin or Joey. Through the Chair to 15 MR. GREIVE: Sure. 16 Trustee Patsy. The city makes the benefit payments for the 17 18 fund throughout the year and keeps track of it 19 from an accounting perspective, and at the end of the year, just like we do with general 20 21 employees --22 MR. PATSY: Okay. I got you. Okay. 23 MR. GREIVE: -- there's a netting at the end 24 of the year. 25 MR. PATSY: Okay. Thank you.

MR. KLAUSNER: And as you know, Mr. Patsy, 1 our payroll is biweekly. I think we're on the 2 3 off week from the city employee payroll, right? 4 MR. GREIVE: It's biweekly. The same thing. 5 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Question, Dan. 6 We're also underweighted in real estate, but 7 you're recommending going into bonds instead of real estate? 8 MR. HOLMES: Yes, because the two real 9 estate managers are closed to new contributions 10 at this time. 11 12 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. MR. HOLMES: If we could put it into real 13 estate, I would put it into real estate. 14 MR. GREIVE: Mr. Chair, if I may. 15 I made this comment a couple times over the 16 17 last few months. But, you know, one thing we've 18 done -- and, Rick, you know that from your service over at the GEPP -- but over the last 19 year or two, we were short on real estate as 20 21 well, so we added some noncore real estate 22 managers. 23 Right now you have two great core real 24 estate managers, but to round out that real

estate bucket and give you another tool to

utilize to help when you get a little light on 1 real estate, you probably need to do a search in 2 the next six to nine months. 3 4 Just make it a Board goal over the next six 5 to nine months to do a search to add a new tool 6 in the noncore real estate space so that when you 7 do get underweight, and you've got overweights elsewhere, instead of -- I don't want to say 8 being forced into; but, you know, you lack a took 9 that you would otherwise like to have in your 10 portfolio. So you task your consultant with 11 various ideas if you wanted to. 12 MR. HOLMES: That was one of the issues we 13 talked about yesterday. 14 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We have a motion. 15 Do we have a second? 16 MR. PATSY: The motion is to do a real 17 estate search? 18 19 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: No. The motion was to move \$15 million from the equity to --20 MR. HOLMES: From the S&P 500 index fund. 21 To the Thompson Siegel's core 22 MR. KLAUSNER: 23 fund. 24 MR. GLOVER: The motion was to move the

recommendation (inaudible).

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Do we have a second? 1 MR. PATSY: I'll second it. 2 3 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any further discussion? 4 (No response.) 5 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All in favor, aye. 6 (Responses of "aye.") 7 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Opposed? 8 (No responses.) 9 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: That passes. 10 MR. HOLMES: Thank you. Touching base on page 2 with regard to 11 returns for the month, as I mentioned before, 12 equities were basically flat to negative except 13 for small cap during the month. 14 So the total fund performance for the month 15 of November was down 5 basis points. That's 16 17 almost 90 basis points above the policy index. 18 For the fiscal year-to-date, the plan is up 19 5.2 percent, specifically 5.23 percent. On a net-of-fees basis, it's up 5.14 percent. And in 20 21 both cases you're over 1 percent above the policy 22 index. 23 Domestic equities finished strongly in the month of November, primarily due to the returns 24 25 of the small cap managers.

The domestic equity composite was 1 percent above its benchmark, up 1 1/2 percent, and that was mainly from contributions from DePrince Race & Zollo up over 4 percent, and Pinnacle up about 3.3 percent.

In addition to that, Brown had another good month, so they continue their relative performance comeback.

Fiscal year-to-date, the domestic equity composite is up over 9 percent and, again, above its benchmarch.

International equities above their benchmarch for the month, but negative in absolute return space as the dollar increased, and that took away from international returns about 40 basis points above its index.

On the fiscal year-to-date, it's up almost 6 percent and 70 basis points above. And that's primarily on better performance both from Silchester and Baillie Gifford.

The Fixed Income composite was down 33 basis points for the month, about 7 basis points below its index. Fiscal year-to-date, it's about even with its benchmarch, the Barkclays Aggregate Index. That's not surprising, given the majority

of the monies in the index fund.

Real estate. The real estate portfolio was up over 1 percent for the month and also about --well, actually there's no relative return shown because the index was not priced monthly. It's only priced -- the index there is only priced quarterly. So we have placeholders with zero there.

And in both cases both managers had positive returns, both up about 1 1/2 and 1 percent, respectively.

And then finally the MLP sector had a -continued to have a negative month after a
positive October. It was off 6 percent, 6.6
percent. Again, active management continues to
add value there with returns of 1.8 percent and 3
percent above the index over the month and the
fiscal year-to-date basis.

I've got another presentation to give the Board, an update on MLPs, that I'll talk to you in more detail there.

But that's it for the Flash Report unless there's any questions.

MR. PATSY: Dan, back up for a second.

MR. HOLMES: Sure.

MR. PATSY: I know we already approved this, 1 moving equities and fixed income. But MLPs are 2 3 down almost effectively the same amount versus 4 (inaudible) in the fixed income range. 5 MR. HOLMES: Why not rebalance the MLPs? 6 MR. PATSY: Yeah. Why not the MLPs? 7 MR. HOLMES: Because it's up about 6 percent. Right now we think that the current 8 policy target is a bit high. In February we're 9 going to bring back an asset allocation review. 10 At that time I would expect that we would 11 probably lower the target to MLPs going forward. 12 And so right now we want to see some more 13 traction before we add anything to MLPs 14 regardless of what the policy level is. 15 Okay. That makes sense. 16 MR. PATSY: 17 this Eaton Vance Senior Loan Trust, that's in the 18 same category? That's in a different 19 MR. HOLMES: No. 20 category. 21 No, I know, but as far as your MR. PATSY: 22 opinion. 23 MR. HOLMES: Yeah. I think that there are 24 better opportunities there going forward. 25 MR. PATSY: Okay. Does Thompson use -- is

that core --1 MR. HOLMES: That's core fixed income. 2 MR. PATSY: 3 There's no high-yield; there's 4 no emerging market there? 5 MR. HOLMES: They have the ability to hold a 6 small portion of the portfolio in high-yield, 7 basically fallen angels, where there's a split rate or downgrade. 8 The idea is when an entire sector gets paid 9 in time with a negative broad brush and the 10 sector trades on technicals, they're able to 11 invest a small amount of the portfolio and some 12 of those downgraded bonds in anticipation of them 13 being subsequently upgraded. Buy them cheap and 14 then getting the price up on appreciation. 15 16 MR. PATSY: Okay. 17 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any other follow-up 18 comments? 19 (No responses.) 20 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I'll move to the Asset Class Review on MLPs. 21 22 Mr. Chairman, with your MR. HOLMES: Okay. 23 permission, there's a couple things I want to 24 make sure that you approve that need to get done. 25 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay.

MR. HOLMES: I can go through those first. 1 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: 2 Sure. 3 MR. HOLMES: In case we're in danger of 4 losing any kind of quorum. 5 One issue on the agenda is there was a 6 letter from Silchester regarding a change in the 7 firm's cost-trading policies. The background is, the past, the firm had 8 received a prohibitive transaction exemption from 9 the Department of Labor, allowing cross-trading 10 between their commingled vehicles. 11 Because of changes in regulations in the 12 United Kingdom, they need to change their 13 cross-trading rules internally. The only thing 14 they're asking for is a verification from the 15 system that there's over a hundred million 16 17 dollars in system assets. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And what document number 18 is that? 19 20 MR. KLAUSNER: 12-10. 21 MR. HOLMES: Thank you. 22 MR. PATSY: How are we invested now, a 23 commingled-type vehicle? 24 MR. HOLMES: Commingled fund, yes. 25 MR. PATSY: And how does --

MR. HOLMES: You're not -- the bottom line 1 is the system is not affected. They're just 2 3 simply asking for verification of asset size in 4 excess of \$100 million. 5 But you need to give that to them so you can 6 remain invested in the fund that you are. 7 MR. GREIVE: And I believe we did this at the General Employee Pension Fund last month. 8 It's been approved. 9 MR. KLAUSNER: For certain types of 10 investments, there are SEC regulations and 11 internal compliance regulations that an investor 12 has to be of a certain size, and you're simply 13 confirming based on their benchmarch that you are 14 of size. 15 16 MR. HOLMES: Exactly. 17 Do you find anything in the MR. KLAUSNER: change of the cross-trading that's problematic? 18 19 MR. HOLMES: No, no. And this is something that we're doing across all our Silchester 20 clients. 21 22 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I need a motion. 23 MR. PATSY: I'll make the motion. 24 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Second? 25 MR. GLOVER: Second.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any further discussion? 1 (No responses.) 2 All in favor? 3 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: 4 (Responses of "aye.") 5 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Opposed? 6 (No responses.) 7 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Passes. MR. HOLMES: Thank you. Now I'll go back to 8 the Asset Class Review for Master Limited 9 Partnerships. 10 Let me know when everybody has found the 11 pass-out document. 12 So this is the asset class that we've 13 been talking about and monitoring for the 14 majority of this calendar year. 15 The reader's digest version or the executive 16 17 summary is that basically there's a big disconnect between what the fundamental value and 18 19 outlook for Master Limited Partnerships look like 20 versus the fact -- where they're trading now. 21 The point of this is basically provide 22 information in support of our recommendation, 23 continuing to hold MLPs, in anticipation that 24 they will do better when the market comes around. 25 So on page 1, basically we're in about the

12th month of an energy -- or 13th month of a broad sell-off in the energy sectors. Basically anything related to energy has sold off because of the decline in the commodity price.

Traditionally MLPs don't have a direct correlation with changes in the commodity prices, but in this particular case where you have the commodity price sell-off by over 60 percent, the entire sector has been affected.

So, for instance, energy holdings in equity manager's portfolios have been negative. The high-yield bond market has been negative because a lot of the high-yield bonds issuers are energy companies.

MLPs, really, anything either public or private having to do with energy has basically been broadly marked down.

We do believe that there is a disconnect from current fundamentals and we'll show you that. Current valuation levels are very attractive. The yield has continued to be very attractive, in the 7, 8, 9 percent range, depending on which month it is.

We still believe in the asset class, obviously. There's a couple other important

things that you need to know.

First of all, the ownership of MLPs is highly concentrated with retail owners. The retail market individuals, if you will, tend to move more on emotion. And so the retail market pulling money out is exacerbating the decline in price and the overall volatility to the portfolio -- or, I'm sorry, to the market.

In addition to that, right now you have a lot of tax loss selling going on where individuals are selling off portfolios or securities for tax purposes that have negative returns, and then usually what you see is a January effect where a lot of those securities are subsequently repurchased in January. And so that continues to be a wind in the face of MLPs.

And then, finally, not all MLPs are created equal. And so what we're talking here about is high-quality MLPs and MLPs that are not cutting their distribution rates. And so we think that there's a big different in between what's being held or not held, with the former being more attractive.

Page 2. The Board knows what the asset class is, but just as a quick reminder, I think

the more important point on this page is the bottom right-hand corner, and that is it shows up the sectors of the MLP index. And the main point is, is that what the system is invested in are those high-quality holdings.

It's the oil and gas pipelines, storage facilities, transfer facilities, that make up the gathering of processing oil transport and natural gas transport part of the market.

And so the bottom line is that it's -they're more conservative and generally less
volatile than other parts of the index.

If you turn to page 3, if you look at the change in earnings between the MLP index, the S&P energy index, and then just the broad S&P, you can see that.

For instance, at the bottom -- this is the top left-hand corner -- the S&P earnings are off 6.5 percent so far this year. The energy sectors earnings are off 176 percent, and MLPs are down 13.6 percent in terms of earnings.

Yet if you look at what the return on the index is, the index for MLPs are down more. So in other words, the earnings are down in between, but they're down the majority more than the other

indices. That shows the disconnect between what fundamentals are telling us and where the pricing of the actual security is.

Page 4. The main take-away here is the ownership base and the fact that the institutional ownership of the MLP marketplace is less than 50 percent.

For various reasons, institutions have a harder time holding MLPs. In a lot of cases, not your case, but in a lot of cases pension funds, especially corporate pension funds or other institutional entities subject to unrelated business, income tax, may avoid holding them.

But the bottom line is, MLPs -- the majority of the MLPs are held by retail investors and they're going through a period of tax loss selling right now, and that is providing that extra wind in the face.

MR. TUTEN: Dan, while we're still here, I've got a question for you.

On page 2 with the graph, the market cap number of MLPs, the far number on the right, is that the actual number of MLPs that are in existence now or out -- I see how it goes from 120 to 80, the far bar graph, the last --

MR. HOLMES: 1 Yes. MR. TUTEN: -- is that the number of 2 3 companies that are out there? 4 MR. HOLMES: That's the number of MLPs, 5 yeah. Number of MLPs securities. 6 MR. TUTEN: Okay. That leads to my next --7 and I see it's dropped quite a bit. But my next question was, on page 3, it says 8 the number of subsectors -- I guess my confusion 9 is how many -- are there a lot of people forming 10 11 MLPs anymore, or are they pretty much steady? Because there's only a certain amount of pipes 12 and stuff that are available. 13 In other words, my fear is that some of 14 these companies may -- can they do like ETFs have 15 done and start, you know, branching off in a sort 16 of niche type of -- is there such a thing as a 17 niche MLP? 18 19 Do you understand what I'm trying to get across as far as that? 20 21 MR. HOLMES: Well, the MLP structure itself is not a niche. The MLPs -- well, the MLP 22 23 structure is similar to the outer REITs 24 structure. 25 I think what you're getting at is -- and you

correct me if I'm wrong -- can renewable energy 1 companies form an MLP and be traded in that type 2 3 of format? Is that -- am I correct in that 4 assumption? 5 MR. TUTEN: Yeah. That's what I'm -- what 6 I'm trying to do is -- and I'm not against MLPs, 7 don't get me wrong; I think we need them -- but the only other question I have and the reason I 8 asked as far as what type of companies these are, 9 because when you put in here the -- you know, we 10 do these investments to sort of -- you don't want 11 to be correlated to this, that, so we kind of 12 hedge our bets when stuff goes up and down. 13 But, I mean, it looks to me like the MLP is 14 highly correlated to the S&P, you know, US 15 Energy. I mean, is it something that --16 17 Well, you would expect a MR. HOLMES: 18 positive correlation because they're both in the 19 energy sector. 20 MR. TUTEN: Correct. But in other words, 21 would we be better served just, you know, through investment with S&P, you know, type of a deal 22

with a manager, energy manager, or would -
MR. HOLMES: No, because the yield on these
securities provides a good buffer against

23

24

volatility. These are -- although they trade on 1 the New York Stock Exchange, like equity 2 3 securities, they operate like REITs, where 90 4 percent of income has to be paid out. 5 MR. KLAUSNER: I'm sorry. I was going to 6 ask, are you concerned that they're going to 7 branch off into an unrelated business? MR. TUTEN: Well, no. It's just I think 8 there's a lot of confusion as far as -- my 9 concern is there's to many people in these area, 10 11 to me, as far as the MLP. When you've got a -- I guess I should back up. 12 My concern started when I noticed -- it's 13 14 with ETFs, in other words. ETFs have gone from, 15 okay, this is a gold ETF. Now there are literally thousands of just 16 crazy iterations of what it started off to be. 17 And I'm kind of curious as to these MLPs. 18 19 they ever get to that point where people call it an MLP, but they're really not what the original 20 21 MLP was started off to be, and are we investing in that, is what I'm kind of concerned about. 22 23 MR. HOLMES: The answer is no and no. 24 MR. TUTEN: Okay.

MR. HOLMES: So if you look at the sectors,

you can see that MLPs are particular tax structure, more -- bottom of page 2, right-hand side. The MLP is a particular tax structure.

There are more MLPs coming to the market.

You can see that the majority of it is energy related, but you can see that, for instance, 1 percent is in coal, 2 percent in shipping, 3 percent is propane.

And so they are somewhat energy related, but it's not directly energy related. And so that's the part that you're not investing in.

MR. TUTEN: Okay. I apologize. Like I say, I -- the question I was trying to come up with was sort of diluted.

MR. HOLMES: The bottom line is there are more security opportunities for the managers, but it hasn't exploded like ETFs.

So that's why, for instance, at the last meeting the Board approved changes to Tortoise's guidelines, allowing them to hold up to 40 securities in the portfolio because they are finding new opportunities and using those opportunities to further diversify the portfolio.

But with them, it's all in the long haul of gas and oil pipelines, transfer facilities and

storage facilities. Okay? 1 MR. TUTEN: All right. 2 Thanks. 3 MR. GLOVER: Hold on, Dan. 4 But when we first started these, was it all 5 in transport? And now I see we've got about 20 6 percent in processing. Has it always started off 7 like that? MR. HOLMES: It's always been in these three 8 9 sectors. Really? 10 MR. GLOVER: MR. HOLMES: Yes, sir. 11 12 MR. GLOVER: 20 percent? MR. HOLMES: This is the index weight. 13 This is the index weight that's being shown here, not 14 the portfolio weight. 15 The portfolio will differ from this based on 16 the managers' use of what's attractive from a 17 18 bottom-up standpoint. MR. GLOVER: Joey (inaudible) 19 20 MR. GREIVE: No, no. You know, as far as 21 the MLP exposure that you do have, I know it came up over the last few months with some of the 22 23 troubles that we've had with energy markets over 24 the last year. 25 But it is a pretty diversified space, like

Dan's pointing out on that chart. You-all have the exposure within the MLPs to the more safe side of the space in that people pay for this capacity of being able to ship through these pipelines whether they're doing it or not.

So, you know, if you're worried about energy demand, it would take a very long, very sustained economic downturn before these contracts are repriced, because they've got -- it's like buying cable. It's like Comcast.

Whether you watch ESPN or not, you're paying for it. And, you know, you would have to revalue your opinion of ESPN before you shut off your cable subscription, if you want to lose all the other stuff.

So, no, you know, the MLP space, it would have been ideal to throttle back a year ago, you know, pull a little bit off the table when things got a little high, and then reinvest. But you don't have a crystal ball. It's tough to -tough to do that. Easy in hindsight.

MR. HOLMES: We actually did.

MR. GREIVE: You pulled a little bit out.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, we didn't pull all out. But it had appreciated. And I think it was

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

somewhere around September of last year, we 1 pulled back on the weight to the MLP market 2 3 within the portfolio. 4 I would hate to divest now, I guess is what 5 I'm saying. 6 MR. PATSY: So you're not seeing the market 7 changing materially in the next 36 months? The market or pricing of the 8 MR. HOLMES: securities? 9 MR. PATSY: Pricing of the securities and 10 MLPs, because it seems to me they've been beaten 11 down pretty heavily. 12 Yeah. No, the market -- you 13 MR. HOLMES: know, we've talked about a lot of stuff. 14 We see 15 price appreciation. As a matter of fact, what we 16 did is we modeled here -- you know, with yields 17 where they are right now, we modeled the fact that -- and this is on page 5 -- if spreads 18 19 reprice to the normal positive, reprice the 20 normal spreads versus the ten-year treasury, the 21 rate over the next five years would be over 25 percent, 24, 25 percent return, the IRR. 22 23 If we just went to historical distribution 24 growth rate, it would be about 14 1/2 percent.

So the point of this presentation is to urge

25

patience. We think that there's a turn around coming. There's a big disconnect between how they traded here and what the economic underlying fundamentals of these securities look like going forward.

And the same way that the market recognized the securities in Brown's portfolio at some point in time where the market recognized quantitative easing is finished, we're looking for economic growth, here are sectors that have sustainable growth and are extremely cheap in the market rotated out of the expensive defensive sectors into the sustainable growth sectors, which were really cheap, we think the same thing is going to happen with MLPs.

Investors are going to realize there's nothing wrong with the fundamentals or the economics of these companies. They're extremely cheap. You'll see more money flow into it and prices will appreciate.

MR. PATSY: So to cut to the chase, you're not recommending us or encouraging us to reduce our exposure to MLPs, but at the same time, you're not encouraging us to increase our allocation?

MR. HOLMES: That's correct. Not at this time.

We produced this because it's been a hot

We produced this because it's been a not topic for all of our clients. And Trustee Tuten and I have had a number of conversations about it as well. I'm providing information for education and support of the position.

MR. GREIVE: Through the Chair, Dan, you shared an interesting statistic with me. You talked about tax (interrupting cough) harvesting in December.

MR. HOLMES: Yes.

MR. GREIVE: What was the statistic you shared with me about January's returns for MLPs, historically? Not that tax results --

MR. HOLMES: Not that as a guarantee, but historically there has been a January effect. January is a big distribution month where basically think of it as dividends being paid out. And in the past, over 90 percent or about 90 percent of returns come in January.

And so we do believe that there's a January effect. But, again, that's something that can be fleeting.

MR. GREIVE: Right.

MR. HOLMES: The underlying fundamentals are 1 not fleeting. They will be recognized. 2 3 MR. TUTEN: Dan, how long have people, like, pension funds, invested in MLPs? 4 5 MR. HOLMES: Institutional ownership goes back to the mid-2000s. I think our first client 6 7 invested in MLPs around 2006. MR. TUTEN: Okay. Now --8 MR. HOLMES: The asset class hasn't been 9 around as long as stock (inaudible). 10 MR. TUTEN: Well, that goes to my question. 11 2006 has only -- like, less than ten years. 12 mean, what kept them from being invested with 13 institutional clients before that? And, you 14 know, why the big boom after that; in other 15 words, outside of just wanting to diversify like 16 we did? 17 18 MR. HOLMES: Relatively unknown asset class not regularly followed by Wall Street. Initially 19 few MLPs -- or few companies took advantage of 20 21 the MLP structure. More have come to market obviously since then. (Inaudible) traded at the 22 23 beginning. And then you have the issue of 24 unrelated taxable income, in which you -- if you 25 do get unrelated business taxable income, you

would have to file more tax forms.

And then, finally, traditionally viewed as a tax shelter for individuals as opposed to a security traditionally held in -- broadly held in institutional portfolios.

Sometimes you would see them pop up in small cap value manager portfolios along with REITs.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: If I could make a suggestion here, although very interesting and exciting, if we would like to expand this, we could do a workshop just on MLPs, but I think we need to move along in the agenda here.

MR. HOLMES: Understood. And I appreciate it.

MR. KLAUSNER: Did you have other action items that you need to address?

MR. HOLMES: The only action item is, I have -- pursuant to the Board's direction, I have drafted amendments showing in redline to the investment policy.

It the Board would like to take action on those, we can do it, or if the Board wants to read through it and take action later on, we can do that as well.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I'm going to make a

recommendation that we review those, defer to the 1 next meeting and vote on it at the next meeting. 2 3 MR. KLAUSNER: I'll give you a brief memo on 4 any legal compliance issues. 5 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Very good. 6 MR. SCHEU: I appreciate that, Mr. Chairman. 7 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. You're still That's good to hear. 8 with us. MR. KLAUSNER: I have done a quick review. 9 I didn't see any compliance issues, but I want to 10 read it more thoroughly. 11 The majority of the changes are 12 MR. HOLMES: incorporating the language directly from the 13 ordinance, and that also -- the language adopted 14 last month with regard to fees, in terms of the 15 negotiating fee discounts where the managers used 16 17 by both the city system and the police and fire 18 system, that languages has been added as well. Is that available? 19 MR. GREIVE: 20 MS. MANNING: That came from the last 21 meeting, and I'm not sure that I have anything on 22 it from the last meeting. 23 MR. HOLMES: Okay. 24 MS. MANNING: Is that something --25 MR. GREIVE: So for the January Board

meeting, the Brown Advisory Fee Amendment will be before the Board for a vote.

If you recall, last month we talked about negotiating a discount with them because the city is adding a ton of money to them right now.

So between the two of us, we'll meet the next breakpoint level, and they've agreed to lower the fees for both funds. So that amendment will be in front of the Board for the next meeting.

MR. KLAUSNER: I've read that contract.

That's one is fine, but I think an explanation of why there is a value to working closely with the General Employee Retirement System to achieve economy is appropriate.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I think that's another great example of fee reduction through mostly Joey's good work and hard work with making sure we get the lowest fees possible by combining the strength of other pension plans.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah. And then in addition to that, since then I've also negotiated a fee discount or aggregation of fees with Eagle as well.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Good.

MR. HOLMES: So we'll show that at the next 1 meeting as well. 2 3 MR. GREIVE: We've already signed our 4 amendment with them, I believe. Maybe, maybe 5 not. We'll talk later today. 6 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Anything else for Mr. Holmes? 7 MS. McCAGUE: Baillie Gifford. Anything on 8 Baillie Gifford? 9 MR. HOLMES: I'm not seeing that on the --10 MR. KLAUSNER: It's right at the top of the 11 12 report. Baillie Gifford reduced the 13 MR. GREIVE: fees for both of our funds, didn't they, 14 15 recently? MR. KLAUSNER: It's 12-9. It was changed as 16 to broker commission, equity trading. 17 So what that is -- I 18 MR. HOLMES: Yeah. don't believe -- that was a notice. I don't 19 20 believe any action is necessity. 21 What it is -- and actually I met with them 22 yesterday morning. They are moving from a 23 traditional way of trading the portfolio where they're using commissions to pay for research to 24 25 an execution-only operation. They are going to

pay for research out of their own pocket going 1 forward. 2 3 So this is increased transparency and a 4 benefit to the system, but that was simply a 5 notice. No other action is necessary. MS. McCAGUE: And our fees reduced? 6 7 MR. HOLMES: No. This has nothing to do with fees. It has to do with costs that are 8 netted out in performance. 9 10 MS. McCAGUE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any other questions for 11 12 Dan? All right. Thank you for being here today. 13 14 We appreciate it. MS. McCAGUE: Thank you, Dan. 15 16 MR. HOLMES: My pleasure. Thank you. 17 hope everyone has good holidays. 18 MR. KLAUSNER: Thank you. Enjoy the holidays. Safe travels. 19 20 MR. HOLMES: Thanks. 21 (Mr. Holmes exited the Board meeting.) 22 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. We'll move on 23 with the agenda. The next item is Personnel Committee. 24 25 Recommendations from the committee.

MR. GLOVER: Okay. Personnel Committee -- and we had some discussion about this earlier when our controller, Devin, was hired.

He was actually inadvertently put in the wrong step class. And we -- and I think when that happened, Mr. Keane indicated that we can go ahead and correct that when he finishes probation, which is the beginning of the year, January 1st.

And so what we are recommending is that we go ahead and do that. He's in 22 now, and at the end of January, we want to put him in 23. And that would be the first action. And just to bring it all in context, we also are further recommending that, you know, after we do that, we go ahead and make him CFO, Chief Financial Officer.

And this might take two actions. And, of course, when that happens, he will just move up to step class 24, which would be consistent with his duties and responsibilities in that area, because he actually is already performing many of the duties and responsibilities that John was doing consistent with the memo that you-all have.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So the first motion is to

move from class 22 to class 23. 1 MR. GLOVER: January 1st. 2 3 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: That's the motion. Do we 4 have a second? 5 MR. PATSY: Educate me. 6 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yes. 7 MR. PATSY: Can you go into a little more detail? 8 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: With the city employees, 9 they are set up in different classes, and the 10 class basically dictates what pay range they can 11 be in. 12 In this situation, Devin came over from the 13 city. He was with city budget and class 22. 14 He's actually functioning -- when he first came 15 here, he should have been in class 23, but 16 somehow he got classified as class 22. 17 MR. GLOVER: It was inadvertent. 18 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Since he's been here, 19 we've given him additional responsibilities to 20 include the duties of Chief Financial Officer, 21 but we still have him as a class 22. 22 And since I've become chairman, I've loaded 23 24 him up quite a bit with additional 25 responsibilities. And as needed, you know, with

the retirement of John, some of those 1 responsibilities had to go to different 2 3 personnel. And Devin has stepped up every single 4 time he's given additional responsibilities. 5 He's done a very good job at that. 6 In addition to that, to remain competitive, 7 I want to keep him here because I think it's beneficial to the Board to keep him here. 8 order to keep him there, we've got to keep him at 9 a competitive pay scale. 10 That makes sense. 11 MR. PATSY: Okav. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I agree with your 12 recommendation. 13 MR. GLOVER: Right. And so I would think, 14 just to make it clean, that we do it in two 15 steps. Okay? Put him in the correct class 16 17 first, which will be effective January 1st, and then come back to CFO. 18 19 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So you want to do just class 22 to class 33 --20 21 MR. GLOVER: First. 22 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: First. 23 MS. McCAGUE: As of January 1st. 24 MR. GLOVER: As of January 1st. 25 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So that's the motion. Do

1 we have a second? MR. PATSY: I'll second it. 2 3 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any further discussion? 4 (No responses.) 5 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Bill, are you still with 6 us? 7 MR. SCHEU: Yes, I am. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. All in favor? 8 (Responses of "aye.") 9 10 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Opposed? 11 (No responses.) 12 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So that passes. MR. GLOVER: And, of course, the second step 13 would be to -- as you have so correctly 14 indicated, be consistent with his duties and 15 responsibilities to move him to the status of 16 CFO, Chief Financial Officer. 17 18 And that will also happen January 1st and, 19 of course, that would be the appropriate next step additional increase to 24. 20 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. So the motion 21 is to move from class 23 to class 24 to CFO 22 23 January 1, 2016. That's the motion. Do we have 24 a second? 25 MR. PATSY: Second.

1	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. We have a
2	second. Discussion?
3	(No responses.)
4	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All in favor?
5	(Responses of "aye.")
6	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Bill? Mr. Scheu, are you
7	still there?
8	We may have lost him.
9	MR. SCHEU: I'm still here. I had another
10	call come in that wouldn't let me talk. I voted
11	yes.
12	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. Great.
13	Opposed?
14	(No responses.)
15	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. The motion
16	passes.
17	MS. McCAGUE: Congratulations.
18	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I expected to lose you
19	when Dan was speaking, but not this portion.
20	MR. GLOVER: We want to initiate another
21	conversation on MLPs.
22	(Laughter)
23	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. We'll move on
24	to Old Business. The first item under Old
25	Business is the 2015 Annual Retiree Pension Bonus

Calculation Report. That's 2015-10-2SM.

MS. McCAGUE: That's for information. Devin will speak to that.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. The bonus calculation for the retirees.

MR. CARTER: This is attachment 2015-10? CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yes.

MR. CARTER: Yeah. This is for information purposes only which we showed at the last meeting, but also to just touch base on that with the share plan, was that we had some of the questions come up that we just need to address.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Just a summary on this. The pension plan receives approximately \$5 million, a little bit more this year, in chapter funds that the allocation of which is up to the Board as to how much of that goes towards the Peyton bonus plan for the retirees and how much goes into the new share plan.

We had voted that almost \$2 million would go to the retirees. The remainder would go into the share plans. So this is showing that calculation comes out to \$1,999,746.59 that went to the bonus. We will show that as information purposes.

MR. TUTEN: Devin, was that bonus up here, 1 the 2.63 percent, is that what it equated to for 2 the retirees? 3 4 MR. CARTER: Yes. Because we're limited to 2 million based on --5 6 MR. TUTEN: Rounded up, it's almost 3-. 7 MR. GLOVER: Historically it has been pretty much level 3, right? 8 MR. CARTER: 9 Yes. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: But with this share plan, 10 we shifted a little bit more towards the current 11 members. 12 I know I won't be here, but we 13 MR. GLOVER: vote on that each year, right? 14 15 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yes. 16 MR. GLOVER: Okay. Yeah. MR. TUTEN: A quick note while we're on that 17 subject for the chairman and Beth, while we're on 18 19 this, members are starting to call me and ask 20 about the share plan as far as how it's being 21 implemented. You know, can they see their balance, all that good stuff. 22 23 I, of course, don't have an answer for them 24 at the moment. But I just wanted to alert, you 25 know, you that we need to do something as far as,

you know, explain to them this is what it is, 1 this is how much you've got, et cetera, et 2 3 cetera, because that seems to be a very popular 4 topic these days from the fire department. 5 MS. McCAGUE: Mr. Chairman, Devin might want 6 to speak to that. 7 MR. CARTER: We are working with IT 8 currently now, and we hope to have a system ready by January 30th. 9 10 MR. TUTEN: Okay. So at least probably the first 11 MR. CARTER: week of February they would be able to review 12 that information. 13 14 MR. TUTEN: Okay. 15 MS. McCAGUE: But what we might want to do, Devin, is get an information piece out just 16 17 saying that we're working on this and let them 18 know when they would get their statement. We can 19 get that out early in January. 20 MR. CARTER: Yes. And I will also go to 21 Klausner's website and get some information about the share plan for them too, showing what it's 22 23 all about. 24 MR. SCHEU: Yeah. I would think you would want to do it as of month end. So the December 25

31st date would probably be good. 1 MS. McCAGUE: I'm sorry. Repeat that, 2 3 please, Bill. 4 MR. SCHEU: It would just seem to me the 5 year-end statement, starting it, would be good 6 and not mid-month. So that would sit well in 7 January. MS. McCAGUE: Well, the first statement will 8 be January 31st, and it would be based on the 9 fund balances as of 9/30. 10 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: The first payment is set 11 to come in in January. It will earn whatever the 12 fund earns. So it won't impact how much each 13 member earns or receives, but the accounting for 14 15 it is still being set up through city ITD and that's obviously taking a little longer than we 16 17 had hoped. But it won't impact their actual 18 amount they receive or what they will earn. 19 we'll try to get those statements out by the end 20 of January. 21 MS. McCAGUE: But we could get out just an informational piece about what to expect at the 22 23 end of the month early in January.

Thank you.

24

25

MR. TUTEN: That's perfect. Thank you.

MR. PATSY: Is this something that we've already voted on in a previous meeting?

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yes, yes.

MR. PATSY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. So move on to 2015-10-5. Resolution to appoint fifth trustee to the Board of Trustees.

I would like to bring forward a nomination to appoint Mr. Willard Payne. Mr. Willard Payne is a local businessman. He's had over 35 years of hands-on experience managing 100 percent minority-owned businesses. He owned, I believe it was, four McDonald's restaurants back in the 1990s here in Jacksonville.

He was the first African-American to own
McDonald's franchises here in Jacksonville. He's
been a part of this community for many, many
years and has served on many boards, including
Jacksonville Port Authority, the Gator Bowl
Association, Jacksonville Chamber of Commerce,
Sports Development Council, Jacksonville
Community Development Foundation, JIA Community
Redevelopment Agency, and many more. You can see
on his résumé here.

I think his experience, his expertise in

business dealings, he would be a good asset to 1 the Board and to the City. So I'm recommending 2 him. 3 4 And he also has accepted -- if we vote to 5 have him on the Board, he has accepted that 6 nomination. Hopefully you-all have received his 7 résumé ahead of time. MR. PATSY: 8 I've got it now. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Your first time? 9 MR. PATSY: Yes. Educate me, because I was 10 here for the November meeting and there were 11 discussion of two other members. Have these two 12 other members been excluded? 13 14 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: What happened on the other two members is we couldn't get a second to 15 vote on them as -- well, one of the members. 16 Wе 17 couldn't get a second to vote on him to be 18 accepted. The second member, Macksey, withdrew his 19 application or nomination. 20 21 MS. McCAGUE: And we do need to get that in the record, that he withdrew his application. 22 23 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yes. So he did withdraw 24 his application. So this is a new nomination. 25 MR. PATSY: And what's the process that we

normally go through to select this fifth member? 1 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: The four other members of 2 3 the Board, a majority has to approve it, and then 4 it goes to the City Council basically as a 5 administerial approval. 6 MR. PATSY: Do we normally bring individuals 7 in to meet them? 8 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yes. He wasn't able to make it today, but, yes, we can. 9 My intention was for us to meet him ahead of 10 time, but I just couldn't work it out. 11 MR. PATSY: So are we going to be asked to 12 vote on this today, or are we going to be given 13 the opportunity to meet with the individuals 14 beforehand? 15 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Well, I would like to 16 17 bring it up for a vote. 18 Mr. Scheu, are you familiar with Mr. Payne? MR. SCHEU: I've known Willard Payne for 19 20 many years. 21 Okay. Rich, have you CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: ever met Mr. Payne? 22 23 MR. TUTEN: I have not, no. 24 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Sheriff Glover obviously 25 has been remaining on the Board at our request --

(Phone disconnected with Mr. Scheu.) 1 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mr. Scheu, are you still 2 3 there? 4 MR. GREIVE: No, he dropped off. 5 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. 6 MR. KLAUSNER: We need him back because you 7 need to vote. 8 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yes. MR. GREIVE: While we're waiting, can a 9 current sitting trustee meet with a potential 10 trustee ahead of time, a nominee? 11 12 MR. KLAUSNER: Yes, because he's not a public official until he's appointed. 13 Are you asking if that's a Sunshine problem? 14 The answer is no. 15 16 MR. GREIVE: Okay. Good. 17 MR. KLAUSNER: Once he is appointed --MR. GREIVE: Then it's an issue. 18 MR. KLAUSNER: Yeah. And I would also 19 20 refrain from discussing how that person would 21 vote on future matters. It's just a 22 meet-and-greet. 23 MS. MANNING: Can you hear us okay? 24 MR. SCHEU: Yes. Sorry. I don't know what 25 happened.

MS. MANNING: I'm not sure either.

MR. SCHEU: I was just saying I've known
Willard for many years. He's an outstanding
community citizen, businessman, very respected.
And I would be willing to make the motion to -unless somebody else wants that honor, and then I
don't know if Rick wants to -- Rick needs to be
comfortable with him, but I can assure him that
he would be a fine member.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay.

MR. GLOVER: Well, I would like to speak too and clear it with counsel.

I agree with Bill's comment, and I would just add, Willard Payne has been stellar in this community. And I think his reputation -- if you look at his résumé here on boards, his reputation is certainly unquestionable in my mind. And, of course, with his experience on these prestigious boards is quite obvious and, in fact, as articulated in his résumé.

So with that, without hesitation or reservation, he has my ringing endorsement.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And I guess a point of order here. Am I allowed to bring up the motion as the Chair?

MR. KLAUSNER: 1 Sure. Okay. That is my motion, 2 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: 3 to bring Mr. Willard Payne on as the fifth 4 trustee. 5 MR. SCHEU: I think actually the chairman can't make the motion. Somebody else would need 6 7 to make the motion, which I indicated I would be willing to unless somebody else wants the honor 8 of doing that. 9 MR. KLAUSNER: You know, you're the judge of 10 your own rules of procedure, the Board is. 11 You're not statutorily bound to Robert Rules of 12 Parliamentary Procedure or Mason's Rules of 13 Procedure or any of the other private 14 associational rules. 15 16 We don't actually have rules. The Chair is 17 the elected police officer on the Board at the present time. So all trustees are equal. 18 19 But if you want the Chair only to make a 20 motion in some other circumstance, you can do 21 that. But there's no legal prohibition to the 22 Chair making a motion.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I'll accept Trustee's Scheu's motion. I'll let him make the motion. And do we have a second?

23

24

25

MR. TUTEN: Second. 1 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any discussion? 2 3 MR. PATSY: Yes. Can we make this -- I would like to meet this gentleman. And can we 4 5 make it pending -- make it unanimous if we vote 6 on it and everybody agrees on it, make it 7 unanimous pending my opportunity to meet with him, and I can email the rest to Beth and she can 8 distribute --9 MS. McCAGUE: Perhaps we might need some 10 Maybe what we can do is have Mr. -- we 11 advice. need three of the five -- three of the remaining 12 four to vote positive in order to approve this. 13 14 MR. KLAUSNER: All four of you have to vote 15 either yea or nay. MS. McCAGUE: We can't have one withhold? 16 17 MR. KLAUSNER: No, because if you're here, 18 you can't abstain under the Sunshine Law unless 19 you have a conflict of interest. MR. PATSY: Okay. 20 21 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: But you can -- if you vote to approve, I have no reason -- oh, I see. 22 23 You want to meet him before he's appointed. That's a -- you know, you can't -- once he's 24 25 appointed, you would have to notice a meeting to

talk to him about the Board. 1 MR. PATSY: So you can't approve things via 2 email? 3 4 MR. KLAUSNER: No. No. Unlike the 5 corporate world -- actually, the City Council has to be -- but this would be the end of the 6 7 Board -- for those who don't know, the selection of the fifth member is actually the Board's 8 choice. 9 Now, the City Council has the ministerial 10 act of approving it. They don't have the right 11 to say no. They just -- I don't know why that's 12 in the statute, but it is. 13 But once you've voted, you're done with your 14 work, and I think to meet with him outside of a 15 public meeting would risk a Sunshine violation 16 that's not worth it. 17 18 MR. PATSY: Well, see, I can't meet with him 19 once we approve him. 20 MR. KLAUSNER: Right. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Well, that's -- that's 21 not totally correct. You can meet with him. You 22 23 just cannot discuss Board business. 24 MR. KLAUSNER: Right. I mean, you can have 25 lunch with him, but you can't -- the likelihood

1 of the discussion straying into that area is There's actually several attorney general 2 high. 3 opinions that say if members of a board or 4 commission happen to be at a social event 5 together, they're allowed to talk to each other, 6 but presumably the purpose of the conversation is 7 to know more about him to assure yourself that you've made the right decision. 8 That, in my view, is going to get into 9 reasons, you know, that -- you know, if you're 10 going to approve him today, that that would 11 probably be precluded. 12 MR. PATSY: All right. That's fine. 13 I got it. 14 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any further discussion? 15 16 (No responses.) 17 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So to appoint Mr. Willard 18 Payne as a member of the Board of Trustees, is the motion. We have a second. 19 20 All in favor? 21 (Responses of "aye.") 22 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Opposed? 23 (No responses.) 24 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Passes unanimously. So, Mr. Chairman, what would 25 MR. KLAUSNER:

1 be appropriate is to send a letter to the president of the City Council, pointing out that 2 3 you've appointed Mr. Payne as the fifth member, 4 asking that he be placed on the council agenda. 5 Hopefully we can get him confirmed before the 6 January meeting so that --7 MS. McCAGUE: Right. And what we have ready to go to council is a resolution --8 MR. KLAUSNER: Perfect. 9 MS. McCAGUE: -- that they can put into 10 their meeting for the first week of January. 11 MR. KLAUSNER: Excellent. And he will be 12 able to be seated and Sheriff Glover will be 13 officially relieved of this burden. 14 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And I want to touch on 15 that. I know we talked about it before. 16 17 Obviously --18 MR. GREIVE: Honorably discharged, of 19 course. 20 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: -- you're probably 21 feeling a very big relief, but we still certainly have benefited from your leadership on this 22 23 Board. Thank you again. We really appreciate 24 it. 25 MR. GLOVER: Thank you. I appreciate the

opportunity to serve. And it has nothing to do 1 with anything other than the fact that my 2 3 calendar is crazy. I appreciate the opportunity 4 to serve. Thank you. 5 MS. MANNING: Larry, I'm sorry. Who was first and second on that? 6 7 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mr. Scheu -- Trustee Scheu was first and I believe Tuten was second. 8 Mr. Scheu, are you still with us? 9 MR. SCHEU: Yes. 10 (Phone noise interruption.) 11 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We're getting about every 12 other word. 13 14 (Phone connection stopped.) CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. The next item 15 on the agenda is 2015-10-1SMC. John Keane 16 17 Transition Agreement. See the attachment. 18 This is the new agreement for -- between the 19 Board and John Keane. It runs through January 20 31st. 21 Basically the change in this one reduces or puts a cap on the total number of hours. 22 23 hours per week for the next nine weeks. There is 24 some flexibility. We can pay him more hours in 25 one week and less in the next week; but, overall,

1	in that full nine-week period, we will not pay
2	him more than 24 hours total per week.
3	MR. TUTEN: Do we need a motion?
4	MR. KLAUSNER: You need a motion to approve.
5	MS. McCAGUE: To ratify the agreement.
6	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Do we have a motion?
7	MR. TUTEN: Yeah. I'll make one.
8	MR. GLOVER: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Second.
10	Any further discussion?
11	(No responses.)
12	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All in favor?
13	(Responses of "aye.")
14	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Opposed?
15	(No responses.)
16	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Passes.
17	The next item is 2015-11-5, the Independent
18	Contractor Agreement between the Board and Beth
19	McCague as Interim Executive Director, Plan
20	Administrator.
21	I believe you-all have received a copy of
22	this ahead of time. Hopefully you've had a
23	chance to review it. Again, we need a motion on
24	this to approve it.
25	MR. TUTEN: Anybody make a motion yet?

MR. GLOVER: Yeah. I'll move it. 1 MR. TUTEN: I'll second. 2 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Motion from Sheriff 3 4 Glover, second by Trustee Tuten. Discussion on this? 5 6 (No responses.) 7 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. All in favor? (Responses of "aye.") 8 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: 9 Opposed? (No responses.) 10 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: 11 Passes. 12 MS. McCAGUE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Under New Business, the 13 first item under Legal, Update on lawsuit of City 14 of Jacksonville versus PFPF Board of Trustees and 15 16 John Keane. MS. McCAGUE: I'll just give a quick report 17 18 on that. The Board did engage Jake Schnickel to 19 20 defend the Board in this process. We are 21 checking in regularly with Jake Schnickel, who is 22 checking in with the attorney at the Office of General Counsel. And we understand that a 23 24 general settlement has been reached and the 25 agreement is making its way through City Hall and City Council.

That's the only update I have at this point.

MR. KLAUSNER: Just for our new Board member, we engaged additional counsel on that because with my tenure with the Board, I'm a witness to some of the institutional and historical events. And I informed the Board that it would be a conflict for me to represent the Board when I'm also a witness about fact issues.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. We will show that for information purposes received.

Next item, 2015-12-3, email to OGC regarding future legal services.

I sent an email to Jason Gabriel, reference the obvious conflict of interest with OGC being the attorneys that are suing the Police and Fire Pension Fund related to the loss we previously mentioned.

And with that built-in conflict, I recommended that OGC not do any additional work for the Board except for, as we mentioned before, the public-records-request type of work to avoid that conflict for the attorneys working for OGC and trying to do work for the pension plan.

So, again, that's shown for information

purposes.

MR. TUTEN: May I ask Bob a quick question? CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yes.

MR. TUTEN: Mr. Gabriel made a point here that says that special law may trump statutory provisions of general law in the state.

Are there provisions where a local law with the city would actually trump a state law?

MR. KLAUSNER: There are circumstances in which a local law can trump a state law; however, chapters 175 and 185 make it clear that the legislature has preempted that subject to itself, and it says that a Board of Trustees for the Police and Fire Pension Fund can use the municipal legal department on terms satisfactory to the Board.

There are places where I work with city attorneys and we do some stuff and they do some stuff, or there are places where we don't do -- you know, where we do all of it. I mean, it's up to you. You're the client.

But the local law that actually is the latest in time and the most specific is Article 22 of the City Charter, which is two special acts of the legislature creating this Board. And it

says you have the right to hire your own lawyer and that any charter provision in conflict is repealed.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Part of the other side of the argument is that the charter would allow us to still use the OCG attorneys, even though they're suing us.

And my view of that is, even if it would allow it, I don't see a reason why we would do it with that conflict of interest. To me it's not in the best interest of the members of the fund to hire the attorneys who sue us.

MR. PATSY: But once this case is resolved, then we start over?

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Well, in the Pension

Reform Agreement, in there it specifies that we will use OGC for nonpension issue-related services, such as a building contract or public records. Those nonspecific pension-related legal issues.

But for specific pension issues, we will continue to hire our own attorney.

MR. PATSY: Wouldn't it be more cost-effective to use OGC, though?

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: In some cases, possibly.

But we continue to run into the conflicts of 1 interest with using OGC. They don't always see 2 3 those pension issues the same way the Board sees it. And to keep that independence, we've 4 5 retained the right, and it's in the statutes, for 6 us to be able to hire our own legal counsel for 7 pension specific-related issues. MR. PATSY: My experience with the City's 8 plan, we had OGC and we also had --9 MR. KLAUSNER: I'm still around. 10 MR. PATSY: -- outside counsel --11 12 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Right. MR. PATSY: -- and I've always had good 13 experience with OCG. It's always proved to be 14 pretty cost effective. And whenever we 15 encountered an issue that was beyond their scope, 16 17 you know, we obtained outside counsel. 18 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And like I said, on 19 all -- and it's in the new agreement that all of those nonspecific -- pension-specific issues, we 20 21 will use OGC, and I think we will go back to that once this is resolved. 22 23 MR. PATSY: Okay. 24 MR. KLAUSNER: If I could, Mr. Chairman, 25 because Trustee Patsy hadn't heard the earlier

discussion, I fully have recommended using OCG 1 for commercial matters. You know, things related 2 3 to our building, other types of real estate things, and for public records because I thought 4 it was more cost effective for the Board to do 5 6 that. 7 I'm the pension specialist and recommended that matters that fall within that area of the 8 law is where my specialty lies. 9 MR. PATSY: Right. So when you say 10 "pension-related issues," do you mean pension 11 benefit-related issues or something like, are we 12 referring to contract negotiations with outside 13 14 managers or, you know, what's the scope? 15 MR. KLAUSNER: That's a perfect example. don't do vendor contracts. 16 17 MR. PATSY: Okay. 18 MR. KLAUSNER: Now, investment contracts, yes. Those come to me, particularly the more 19 complex ones, where, like, limited partnerships 20 are involved. 21 22 MR. PATSY: Sure. 23 MR. KLAUSNER: And benefit issues come my 24 way or constitutional issues related to your

25

fiduciary duties.

What I would call general legal services, 1 which are -- you gave a perfect example -- vendor 2 3 contracts, I think it's easier to use OGC. 4 have an excellent working relationship with them. 5 And as you mentioned, I handle those types of 6 specialty items for the city retirement system 7 and have been for 15 years probably. 8 MR. PATSY: Okay. Good. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any further discussion on 9 that one? 10 11 (No responses.) Okay. We'll show that as 12 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: received for information purposes. 13 The next item is a letter from me as 14 15 chairman to Klausner's firm. In light of the negative publicity related to the Siedle report, 16 17 I found it appropriate to ensure Mr. Klausner's other pension clients that we as a board still 18 have confidence in the Klausner firm, 19 20 specifically Mr. Klausner himself. 21 We are happy with the service he has provided and continue to be happy with the 22 23 service he has provided. So we'll show that --24 MR. KLAUSNER: This month marks 27 years. 25 And I appreciate -- sorry, 28.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We'll show that one for informational purposes as well.

The next matter is the Tower Group settlement, 2015-12-5.

MR. KLAUSNER: Yes. That's one of our securities litigation cases which is -- you know, the Board doesn't -- isn't billed fees for. It's a class action where we were holder of Tower stock.

Tower was the parent -- I've given some correspondence on this previously. For Mr. Patsy, they were an umbrella organization over a bunch of insurance companies. And they were funneling money down to the subsidiaries, and Tower underreported the value of its reserve, of its own reserves.

And when that misstated accounting became public, the Tower stock value dropped considerably. Tower -- actually, the parent -- the umbrella company was effectively insolvent; but we were able, together with several other pension funds -- a Danish fund, Kansas City -- the City of Kansas City Retirement System, and two Taft-Hartley funds, which are private sector trade association pension funds -- able to

negotiation a resolution from their insurance carrier of 22 1/2 million.

The reason it was on for a report is because

The reason it was on for a report is because the claim form came in, and I've reviewed what to do with Devin to make sure that we got our claim filed by December 28th.

MR. PATSY: So let me make sure I've got this.

It was a \$23 million settlement. What was our portion of that settlement?

MR. KLAUSNER: It will depend on the size of our loss, which I think was close to -- I think 170-something thousand, how many people fill out a claim form, because these are -- it's essentially a nonrecourse pool --

MR. PATSY: So we -- it's indeterminate yet?

MR. KLAUSNER: The claim period ends on the

28th. Everybody has to send in their forms, and
whatever is there is there.

There is a part of the case that is continuing against the former auditors for Tower who are more solvent than the company and against some individuals. So we hope to be able to recover more for the class.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And just to give you a

little more overview, I believe it works the same on the city pension side. These lawsuits, when they arise, Mr. Klausner's firm takes those on on a contingency basis. We don't -- the fund does not pay them for -- while this is going on.

So if it goes through the entire process, and however much time they spend on it, if the court decides there is no settlement, they eat those -- that cost. We still don't pay them.

The only time they get paid -- Klausner's firm gets paid is if the lawsuit -- the judge orders a settlement.

And out of that settlement a portion goes to the investors, which is the fund, and a portion goes to the attorneys. And the courts decide how much the attorneys get and which attorneys get how much out of that portion.

So when -- we don't have any discretion over how much the attorneys get paid. That's all up to the courts. Our benefit is while that's going through an entire process, the fund doesn't have to pay any money to the attorneys during that entire process.

MR. PATSY: All right. So do you do securities litigation as well?

MR. KLAUSNER: Yes, sir. 1 MR. PATSY: Okay. Because I -- the way I've 2 3 always worked it, we've always used our custodian to do those and they track it and file a claim, 4 5 and we've never used securities litigation. 6 With the city, we just engaged three 7 litigations firms. We don't use Bob's firm to do that. Or we didn't use them. 8 MR. KLAUSNER: We work with five securities 9 I generally sign on all the 10 litigation firms. pleadings. I read all the pleadings. I go to 11 all the hearings. I go to the mediation, because 12 I want to be sure that as a lead plaintiff, that 13 we're fulfilling our duties to the class as a 14 whole. And I do not bill the fund for my time. 15 MR. PATSY: Okay. When one of these cases 16 17 comes up, is it brought to the Board first? 18 MR. KLAUSNER: Usually the -- well, you have a detailed securities litigation policy which the 19 Board had for expediency -- time -- some of these 20 21 are very time sensitive. So they've left it to the executive director 22 23 and I, but if there is an opportunity for

settlement, it comes back here.

MR. PATSY:

Okay. Thank you.

24

MR. KLAUSNER: No problem. 1 And it's 10:34. Let the CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: 2 3 record reflect that Trustee Glover had to leave 4 the meeting. We still have a quorum. 5 (Mr. Glover exited the Board meeting.) MS. McCAGUE: Do we still have Mr. Scheu or 6 7 has Mr. Scheu exited? CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mr. Scheu --8 MR. KLAUSNER: Is Mr. Scheu still here? 9 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I believe we lost 10 Mr. Scheu on the phone as well, but we still --11 MR. KLAUSNER: You still need three. And 12 any votes that you have for now on have to be 13 unanimous. 14 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I don't think we have any 15 16 other items that will require a vote. The next item is 2015-12-6, Policies and 17 18 Procedures regarding the Share Plan. 19 MS. McCAGUE: We really covered that as a 20 result of Mr. Tuten's question earlier. 21 Devin, did you have anything else to add 22 there? 23 MR. TUTEN: I forgot to ask, are you going 24 to mail out a statement to each member, or are we 25 just going to have them log on?

MS. McCAGUE: What we're hoping to do -- I'm 1 ahead of us here, but what we're hoping to do is 2 send an electronic statement. 3 4 MR. TUTEN: Okay. 5 MS. McCAGUE: That's what we're hoping to 6 do. 7 MR. KLAUSNER: That would be the best way. MR. CARTER: If we can, we probably can link 8 it to our current system. So, again, that's the 9 qoal. 10 MR. KLAUSNER: Remember, as you send 11 electronics, we need to be careful about Social 12 Security numbers and anything which could be an 13 identify-theft identifier. 14 MR. CARTER: It will have their employee ID 15 number on it. 16 MR. KLAUSNER: That's fine. That's not 17 18 going to hurt. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Every member of the share 19 20 plan is an active employee. So we should have current email address for each. 21 22 MR. KLAUSNER: So use the city employee ID 23 number? MR. CARTER: Uh-huh. 24 25 As long as it's not a Social MR. KLAUSNER:

Security number. 1 MR. TUTEN: Now, they'll earn what the 2 3 fund -- is that going to be gross of fees or net 4 of fees? Just curious. 5 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Net. 6 MR. GREIVE: Net of fees. It could be 7 positive or negative. MR. KLAUSNER: Yes. 8 9 MR. TUTEN: Just trying to get a little extra here. 10 MR. KLAUSNER: The share accounts are a 11 defined contribution feature in the plan. 12 rises or falls with the market. 13 14 MS. McCAGUE: But as Devin works through the issues that come up about the share plan, then 15 we'll be coming back to the Board with any new 16 17 policy you think needs to be written and 18 approved, and that will be over the course of the 19 next month. 20 MR. PATSY: Can you bring me up to speed at 21 some juncture on the plan -- the policies and procedures for this? 22 23 MS. McCAGUE: For the share plan, yes. 24 brand new to us. It comes out of the June 2015 25 pension reform council ordinance. And so, yes,

and as Devin has shared, we're learning and we 1 know what the plan should be, but it's always the 2 3 devil is in the details. 4 So questions come up and we need to figure 5 out what the policy will be to address them. 6 MR. KLAUSNER: Mr. Patsy, this is also a 7 product of a general state-wide law on this subject, Senate Bill 172. 8 If you go on our law firm website, 9 there's -- under Legal Resources, there is a 10 detailed analysis of the bill and then a 11 Frequently Asked Questions about how these plans 12 work. 13 14 MR. PATSY: Okay. MR. KLAUSNER: It asks you what your name is 15 when you go on, but you don't have to tell it. 16 17 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. The next item is the Draft of Standard Procedures Manual 18 19 updating the frequent flyer travel awards. Since we only have us three, I'm going to go 20 ahead and defer that vote until the next meeting 21 22 in January. 23 Next item 2015-12-8, it's a letter to the

state attorney in reference to an individual who

continued to cash checks from a deceased member.

24

We sent that to the state attorney's office 1 for prosecution. We'll show that for information 2 3 purposes. 4 MR. KLAUSNER: It is, unfortunately, a 5 common occurrence throughout the country. 6 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And we do have procedures 7 in place to detect that. Obviously it worked in this case, but we're still trying to get the 8 funds back from that individual. 9 Next item is 2015-12-12, the Analysis of the 10 DROP Enrollment Statistics. We'll show that 11 received for informational purposes. 12 2015-12-13, Interim Executive Director 13 projects and upcoming items. 14 MS. McCAGUE: I will make this quick. First 15 of all, thank you again for the opportunity. 16 Ι am enjoying my time here. 17 18 I wanted to officially share with the Board members my four initial goals. 19 Number 1, clearly, is the safety and 20 soundness of the fund itself. 21 Number 2 is, restore healthy relationship 22 23 between the fund and city officials, and I use 24 "city officials" term broadly, meaning the 25 elected officials as well as the staff, as well

as leaders in the business community.

And fourth -- third, of course, is to improve the efficiency and transparency of the fund.

And fifth is to find you a great permanent director.

So we're making some progress on numbers -- especially 2 and 3, and the Board is helping to make sure that, number one, health and safety soundness of the fund stays very good.

So there's a lot to do here. Again, I will publicly say, the staff that is here does a -- knows their job. They do a very good job and are dedicated to our actives and our pensioners. And I'm grateful for their work and support.

Just a -- this is a big thing. Our internal auditors are now off campus. They greeted me when I came and they've been here for a while, and that takes a lot of staff time to get them what they need, but they are now finishing up their audit. We should have it right after the first of the year.

MR. CARTER: Yes.

MS. McCAGUE: So Joey will get that to you as it comes in and is approved by our Board.

We've talked about working with the ITD at the City Hall about the share plan, so that is coming along very well.

The other thing that I would say, and this goes to efficiency and transparency of the organization, an effort that the Board has pushed over the last months is imaging and taking our paper records digital.

This is a big project for this organization. Everybody is now ready for it. And at the February meeting we will have a recommendation to you on our vendor of choice. And I will tell you, this is highest on my priority because it makes a difference in how we will do our work, how we will be able to respond to requests from the public for information, and just will take us where we need to be.

But this will require a tremendous amount of work over the first -- at least the first three or four months over the year. So we look forward to bringing that final recommendation to you in January.

And unless there are other questions, that's my report.

MR. GREIVE: Mr. Chair --

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: 1 Yes. MR. GREIVE: -- if I may just ask a quick 2 3 question on number four. 4 I think at one of the most recent meetings 5 we talked about bringing in an executive search 6 firm to help with that process. 7 Is there any update on that, or is that more of a Personnel Committee thing? 8 I am working with the 9 MS. McCAGUE: Personnel Committee -- Mr. Chairman, may I answer 10 that? 11 Yes, please. 12 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: MS. McCAGUE: First of all, I will tell you 13 that I have reviewed the 22 applications that 14 were filed with the city after it was announced 15 that the candidate had withdrawn. And of those 16 17 22, only three even had any public pension 18 experience. So this is a job that requires 19 specific experience. 20 I have recommended to the Personnel 21 Committee that we delay hiring a search firm, 22 hoping that the settlement of -- a settlement of 23 the suit between the city to the fund will be

I believe that that suit is a problem when

24

25

settled.

we try to recruit, and if we're close to getting 1 it settled, I want to get it settled so that we 2 3 have a clean slate as we're hiring a director. 4 MR. GREIVE: Okay. That makes sense. 5 MR. KLAUSNER: There is a huge generational 6 turnover in the public pension industry. 7 You know how sometimes we see, like, big groups of police or fire go out because there 8 happened to have been a large recruiting class. 9 We're seeing that there are more than a 10 dozen good-sized public pension plans that are in 11 the search for a CEO. And so we're competing 12 against that market --13 MR. GREIVE: For the talent. 14 15 MR. KLAUSNER: I'm sorry? MR. GREIVE: For the talent. 16 MR. KLAUSNER: For the talent. And a lot of 17 18 people either had specific succession plans and 19 hired from within. So it's -- you've got a tough 20 market. I mean, you happen to be in an 21 attractive city in terms of the place to live and 22 the weather. The interim director's observations about 23 24 calming the waters will be helpful in attracting 25 a permanent director.

MS. McCAGUE: Would you like me to go ahead 1 with the Financial Advisory Committee update? 2 3 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yes, please. 4 MS. McCAGUE: I'm happy to report we had our second orientation session with our Financial 5 6 Investment Advisory Committee. That is a 7 five-member group, also required under the pension reform in June of this year by the City. 8 We have five great members. 9 MS. MANNING: Mr. Scheu --10 MS. McCAGUE: Thank you, Bill, for being 11 there yesterday. Dan Holmes came. We met for an 12 hour and a half. Joey came for a short time. 13 Paul was there with us the whole time. I will 14 tell the trustees --15 16 MS. MANNING: Can you hear, Mr. Scheu? 17 MR. SCHEU: Yes, I can. Can you hear me? 18 MS. MANNING: Yes. 19 MS. McCAGUE: -- this is a big responsibility, and we are going to get them 20 21 educated on the processes. And they will -- they take their job very seriously, and we're going to 22 23 be working with them, continue to orient them. 24 Their names and backgrounds will be before 25 City Council at the same time the fifth trustee

will be at the first of the year.

So they will officially be approved and then they will start. And you will be looking to them to advise you before you make allocation decisions or specific investment decision.

As the ultimate fiduciary trustees, it is still your decision, but you will look to them, and they are taking that role very seriously.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you for that report.

We'll move on to the next item, 2015-5-2, Monthly Financial Report and Salary and Benefits Projection.

I asked Devin just to take a look at our personnel costs with the retirement of Mr. Keane and bringing him in as a consultant, and obviously Beth as executive director.

I wanted to make sure we were still within our parameters budget-wise for our personnel costs.

Devin, from your analysis here, it looks like we're well within the budget projections for the remainder of the year.

MR. CARTER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So we'll show that for

informational purposes. 1 Next item is 2015-12-17 --2 3 MR. SCHEU: Mr. Chairman, can I ask a 4 question about the budget analysis? 5 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Sure. MR. SCHEU: 6 I looked at these last night. 7 It looks like the format is the budget for the entire year, the actual year-to-date and the 8 balance. 9 Do we get financial reports where -- like 10 other organizations where you have a monthly --11 year-to-date actual monthly budget and 12 year-to-date budget? That would be helpful. 13 MR. CARTER: Yes, I can make it -- that's 14 what it is, but I can make it much more detailed 15 16 if you'd like. I plan on doing that quarterly, 17 because as you can see, we only currently use 3 percent of our total budget, and since we get our 18 19 money managers' invoices quarterly, you will see 20 much more of that in the next meeting. 21 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Trustee Scheu, are you saying you prefer to have one each month at the 22 monthly meeting? 23 MR. SCHEU: At the monthly meeting where you 24 25 just know month-to-month where you are

year-to-date, not just on the aggregate. 1 realize some of that is a timing issue where you 2 3 might spread insurance -- for example, insurance 4 costs over the year on an equal basis, which 5 doesn't really reflect how they're paid out, but 6 it does give you a monthly idea of where you 7 stand on the budget. MS. McCAGUE: Mr. Scheu, as Devin said, what 8 you will see will be very lumpy because the 9 investment manager fees are on a quarterly basis. 10 So they're not -- as long as you understand that. 11 MR. SCHEU: I see. 12 Yes. MS. McCAGUE: As long as you-all understand 13 14 that. But, yes, we can do that. 15 MR. CARTER: Yes. Sure. Well, even if we did it 16 MR. SCHEU: 17 on a quarterly basis, if we had the quarter-to-date of actual and budget instead of 18 19 having to look through the whole year. 20 MR. CARTER: Yes. 21 Okay. MS. McCAGUE: 22 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. Any further 23 discussion on that? 24 (No responses.) CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Show that received as 25

information.

The next item is the 2015-12-17, 2016 PFPF Holiday Meeting Schedule. And, again, we will show that received as information purposes.

Next item, 2015-12-18, Recommendations from Trustee Scheu and discussion.

Trustee Scheu.

MR. SCHEU: Yes. I don't have that on the agenda that was circulated last night. From what I understood from talking to Beth either yesterday or the day before, we have some contracts that expire at the end of the month -- end of the fiscal year, which would be in September. So this is really premature.

But I just wanted to get the notion before the Board that we ought to be reviewing in some timely basis the legal and actuarial services and testing those on some frequency as far as other qualified people.

So that, for example, every two years or three years or even every year we send an RFP out seeking legal services, for example, and we would evaluate both costs and quality, and then decide whether to go forward.

I've discussed this with Mr. Klausner, and

he and I have a good understanding about it. 1 has no objection to that. 2 3 But it's probably premature to do that since 4 the contract doesn't run out until September. 5 You review your auditors every so many years and 6 you review your other special consultants every 7 so often. We have other client 8 MR. KLAUSNER: relationships where either they're statutorily 9 mandated in other states to do it every five 10 years. Some just do it as a matter of course. 11 I didn't take it -- Mr. Scheu and I spoke 12 about it. I didn't take it nor did he tell me it 13 was intended as any expression of 14 dissatisfaction. He just saw it --15 16 MR. SCHEU: That's correct. MR. KLAUSNER: -- as a business control 17 measure. I'm not offended. 18 19 MS. McCAGUE: Perhaps the way to approach this would be maybe early in the year we could 20 21 take a look since there is not a policy, as far as I know, on how often we would review 22 23 contracts. 24 Maybe we should take a look at our building

policy and determining if we -- how frequently we

think it's wise to review contracts or bid 1 contracts. 2 MR. KLAUSNER: I actually have some from 3 4 other places I can send. 5 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. 6 MS. McCAGUE: Okay. 7 MR. SCHEU: It relates also to my concern about -- this may not be shared by everybody, but 8 the way I -- I'm just a big believer in the 9 Charter of the City of Jacksonville and the way 10 the independent boards and agencies relate to the 11 Office of General Counsel. 12 I think -- and Bob and I've talked about 13 this also, but generally speaking, the OGC should 14 provide legal services, and it's only when you 15 need specialized legal services that you would 16 ask for special counsel. 17 I know that there's a conflict in the 18 19 charter as far as the powers of the Board, but it seems to me that's the philosophy of the 20 21 consolidated government, you know, to follow 22 that. 23 I do have an issue, as I think Larry did 24 too, with the General Counsel's Office

representing us while they're suing us, and I'm

not sure how appropriate that is. 1 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We had a little 2 3 discussion on that when we lost you on the phone. But, yeah, I agree with your assessment on that, 4 5 a little of a problem there. And we will 6 readdress that hopefully in the near future when 7 that lawsuit is settled. In the meantime, we will continue to operate 8 using OGC for our public records mainly, and 9 anything specifically related to the pension, we 10 will continue with our private attorney. 11 MR. SCHEU: Sure. That's fine. 12 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. Any further 13 discussion on that item? 14 15 (No responses.) CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. Last item, 16 2014-11-10, Records Retention. 17 18 MS. McCAGUE: That's the imaging project that I really already addressed. 19 But if I just might mention one other thing, 20 21

But if I just might mention one other thing, and that is that on January 5th, our actuary, Jarmon Welch, will be here to present the actuarial report. So I would like to know what the trustees -- I know Chairman Schmitt's got it on his calendar.

22

23

24

Are you-all interested in coming to that 1 meeting? And if so, we will post that as an 2 3 official workshop and give it the public notice. 4 MR. PATSY: What's the date again? 5 MS. McCAGUE: January 5th. 6 MR. PATSY: What time? 7 MS. McCAGUE: 10:00. MR. TUTEN: What was it, January 2nd? 8 5th, Tuesday. 9 MS. McCAGUE: I would like to be here, but I 10 MR. PATSY: I've got a conflict. 11 can't. MR. CARTER: Is this the official report or 12 is this when him and Kelly are coming up to walk 13 14 you through the report and then do the official report to the Board? 15 16 MS. McCAGUE: Right. It will be walking 17 through the report, but it's not for the --18 obviously not for the Board approval. 19 MR. CARTER: Okay. 20 But I just want to make sure MS. McCAGUE: 21 that we announce that, and any trustees who want to come, let us know. So if there's more than 22 23 one, we will issue the public notice. 24 MR. PATSY: Is there any feasibility of 25 changing that meeting to the afternoon?

MS. McCAGUE: 1 Yes. Okay. If it's in the afternoon, 2 MR. PATSY: I can do that. 3 4 MS. McCAGUE: Okay. I'll check with the 5 chairman, but I know the actuary is available all 6 that day. 7 MR. PATSY: Okay. That's good. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. That was the 8 last item on the agenda. 9 I just want to take a few minutes and thank 10 the staff here at the fund for all their hard 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I just want to take a few minutes and thank the staff here at the fund for all their hard work. It's been a wild year, obviously, and a lot of interesting issues have been coming up. And you-all have handled it very well, very professional. You've put in a lot of hours, a lot of hard work, and I want to make sure you-all know that it's very much appreciated.

So thank you. I wish you-all a Happy New Year and a less stressful New Year.

I would also like to welcome our new Board member, Mr. Patsy. I think he's going to be a great addition to us. He's already bought up some interesting questions. So I think that's a sign of things to come, and we're going to benefit greatly from having him here.

And, also, this has been three weeks now? 1 MS. McCAGUE: Going on it, yes. 2 3 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: For Ms. McCague. She's done a fantastic job. Since she's been here, 4 5 she's been making the rounds, meeting a lot of 6 people, getting her head wrapped around this 7 whole pension issue, and she's really taking it head on and done a fantastic job in the amount of 8 time that she's been here. 9 And, lastly, thank you all for your 10 continued hard work and efforts here. 11 Joey, I know you do a lot of work for us 12 behind the scenes. We do appreciate it. 13 amount of work that you do for us reducing those 14 fees is a direct benefit to our members and the 15 city. So thank you also. 16 17 MR. GREIVE: Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Everybody else, Merry 19 Christmas and Happy New Year. We are adjourned. 20 21 (The Board meeting concluded at 10:56 a.m.) 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Denice C. Taylor, Florida Professional
4	Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida at Large,
5	the undersigned authority, do hereby certify that I
6	was authorized to and did stenographically report the
7	foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript, pages
8	3 through 98, is a true and correct computer-aided
9	transcription of my stenographic notes taken at the
10	time and place indicated herein.
11	DATED this 4th day of January, 2016.
12	
13	Denice C. Taylor, FPR
14	Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large
15	My Commission No. FF 184340
16	Expires: December 23, 2018
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	