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September 18, 2015

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. It is 9:02. We will call the meeting to order.

MR. KEANE: Everyone please rise and join us as
(All in unison) We pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

MR. KEANE: Thank you very much. Please be seated.

Public speaking period, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Do you want to get Mr. Scheu on the phone?

MR. KEANE: He is supposed to call in.
Rich Tuten called and he's on the way from the beach. And he is in heavy traffic but he is in route.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. We will start with public speaking. We have one.

MS. MANNING: Curtis Lee.
MR. LEE: Hello, my name is Curtis Lee. My address is on the form I filled out.

It has been mentioned that a consulting contract might be provided to Mr. Keane. Mr. Keane will receive pensions exceeding $\$ 300,000$ a year, if you count his social security. This fact is outrageous in its own right. Please don't compound the outrage by giving him a consulting contract and more taxpayer money.

If he were to consult for free, I would think it would be more appropriate. He has gotten an awful lot out of the Police And Fire Pension Fund and he should give back. If there is a consulting agreement with compensation involved, you should consider the legality of the simultaneous receipt of consulting compensation and pensions by Mr. Keane. There is some legal doctrine on this issue that you should look into.

Lest, I have emailed all trustees about Mr. Carter and his no-contest pleas concerning two misdemeanors. I have not heard back. Plus Mr. Carter refused to pay his fines which exacerbated his guilt. What did he disclose to the Police And Fire Pension Fund Board regarding these matters? If he didn't make any
disclosures, that should be of grave concern to you because a controller should have better ethics.

This all needs your attention, the Police and Fire Pension Fund's record, a contempt for the law and for the public should not be exacerbated.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.
Any others, Debbie?
MS. MANNING: No, sir, that is it.
MR. KEANE: Close the public speaking period, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: That will close the public speaking period and move on to new business. We have one item on the agenda.

MR. KEANE: And this is the asset rebalancing to provide our end-of-year fiscal payment to the City. Mr. Chairman and Trustees, we have an attachment. We have been discussing this with the City and with Dan Holmes. Dan Holmes has made a recommendation from where we should get the fund and it's on the attachment.

His recommendation is to close the Northern Trust Emerging Markets Index Fund
account, close the dormant Fayez and Boston Company accounts, close the Northern Trust TIP Index account, reduce the Northern Trust Aggregate Bond Index account by 42 million, reduce the Northern Trust 500 S\&P Index Fund by 32 million. The combination of that will provide us with the sufficient funds and additional liquidity we need for ongoing operations.

That is going to take a motion of the board, Mr. Chairman and Trustees.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We have Treasurer Joey Greive here. Any --

MR. GREIVE: Sure. So we at the General Employees and Correctional Officers plan to do the same thing each year. And in doing that, I asked Dan Holmes about your required liquidity as well, and he walked me through his logic, his rationale for the recommendations that he was providing to the Board.

And I support those. They sound reasonable and logical to maintain your asset allocation and raise the cash liquidity.

DR. HERBERT: Mr. Chairman, I move the adoption of the proposed rebalancing that has
been submitted to us by Dan Holmes and the Executive Director.

MR. GLOVER: One second.
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any further discussion?
All in favor?
(In unison) Aye.
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Opposed?
Passed unanimously.
MR. KEANE: Mr. Chairman, we would like to take up the Personnel Committee -- recommend that you recess the Board meeting to take up the Personnel Committee and be available to reconvene the Board meeting in the event any recommendation from the Personnel Committee requires a vote of the Board.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: It is 9:08. We will recess the Board and let the Personnel Committee do their business.
(The Board meeting was recessed and the Personnel Committee opened their meeting.)

DR. HERBERT: Just for the record, the two members of the Personnel Committee are Trustee Glover and myself. Let me first provide an update on the search that we have initiated for the Executive Director.

The first thing, as we discussed at the last board meeting, I did talk with our HR representative and we did post the position immediately following our last board meeting. And the way that it's posted, it's open until filled. So we do have a great deal of flexibility with regard to how we do that. The initial advertisement was through the normal City processes to make sure that we were operating consistently with the basic principles, that is processes outlined by the City.

In addition, I have asked John to extend it beyond the City effort to include some of the same publications and websites that were used for the position of the Deputy of Executive Director position. So he's in the process of getting all of that taken care of.

So I think the key thing now is to address the process that we would use going forward. There are 28 applicants thus far. So I think that it is getting some attention, but what $I$ would like to do just in talking with Rhonda is to make sure that we have a strong pool of candidates as possible.

I did ask Bob Klausner to, in addition to what John Keane is going to be doing with regard to advertising, I have asked him since he deals with so many of the funds around the country, if he would pass the word around also through that network and to identify for us any outstanding pension leaders around the country that he may be aware of that the City might contact on our behalf to ascertain their interest in applying for the position.

So I think the key thing at this point, Trustee Glover, if we could identify a time to sit down and review the applicants and, I guess, from the Board perspective, the question is what would you like for us to do? My thought is that we might go through and identify one to three candidates that stand out as being particularly strong and worthy of Board interviews.

But that is just a thought that -- Trustee Glover, your thought on that -- since we have another Board member here, feel free to take part in this conversation. So question is, from a process perspective, what is the best way for us to proceed? At some point -- I
don't think that the Board is going to want to interview 30 or 40 candidates.

So we would have to have a vehicle for cutting this down. One possibility is to ask -- and this is what $I$ guess normally happens, John. You can correct me if I'm wrong. The personnel folks will go through and give us a list of qualified candidates, everyone who clearly meets all the criteria that we have established. So from that point we have got to figure out how we are going to conclude the process so we are in a position to make a decision.

So the recommendation $I$ would have is Trustee Glover and I go through the list of candidates that meet the qualifications, cull that down, and then be in a position to identify a smaller group of candidates that board members individually can interview and/or we can have a meeting to interview all of them.

I think that in order to facilitate the kind of in-depth probing that we would want to engage in, it certainly would make sense to have individual interviews for maybe two or, at most, three people. And if there is a desire
to have a follow-up meeting with the entire Board, we can do that. I just want to throw that out just to get a feel for your thoughts. MR. GLOVER: I agree with that. I think that we go through and cull out the ones who are not qualified by definition, either in any of the areas that we are looking at: Experience, education, anything that obviously jumps out. And then, of course, before they turn the rest of them over to us and we look at -- and we go through looking for what we think is appropriate for this particular police and fire pension.

And then you will find -- more than once you will find it will dwindle down quite quickly. And then if we get -- let's just say we end up with a number that would be unreasonable for the Board, we might -- you and I might do some interviewing over the telephone and kind of cull it down to a comfortable number where when we present it, we will end up with the best candidate with as much input as we can have.

And I will say, we have 28 now. I would say let it run a couple more weeks so that we
will not have to go through this whole notion of defending ourselves after having not had a long enough period. So let's do that.

DR. HERBERT: Chairman Schmitt, do you have any reaction?

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I just have the one recommendation. I think it is a solid process, letting the City determine which one is going to meet the qualifications. I'm fine with that, but I would also recommend you ask them to send you what it is they determined made them not qualified. So if you can take a look and see if you agree with it.

MR. GLOVER: That's a good idea but usually just from past experience they are pretty good about that. But you are right, we should have a record of that. And if someone calls in and says, why was I not considered? I know I applied. We should be able to say, Glad you asked, and be able to articulate that to them and as part of the record. So it is a good idea.

DR. HERBERT: I think, John, from a process perspective, don't they put together a spreadsheet which they check off, not so much
qualifications? How does that work?
MR. KEANE: What they did the last time on the Deputy Director search, they put the people in three baskets, highly qualified, qualified, and minimally qualified. So they ranked the people that they found to be 100 percent qualified, put them over here, and then they subdivided them into three categories: Highly qualified, exceptionally qualified and marginally qualified.

We didn't talk about how they did that, the metrics of doing that, but we will make sure they know you-all want to know that the next time.

MR. GLOVER: Let me ask you a question, John. If we -- anybody on the Board find out about a possibility, let's just use the term star, and they had haven't applied, we could call them and tell them to please apply. And I mean, we are not violating any --

MR. KEANE: No, sir. Pending the publication of the national ad, which is what Dr. Herbert alluded to, if someone comes to your attention through your contacts or someone calls you and says, Have you heard about
so-and-so from El Paso or wherever -- don't know that person individually but highly recommend they apply.

MR. GLOVER: But we should not get involved in calling them personally and telling --

MR. KEANE: You could. If they were unaware of the opening and they meet the qualifications, say you should apply.

DR. HERBERT: What we can do, we can always get our HR person to call them and tell them that they have been suggested, and I guess we could -- well, what $I$ will do is $I$ will talk with her and see what kind of process we would need to follow to assure we are in compliance with all of the rules.

MR. GLOVER: Right. I want to get that straight because sometime the stars are reluctant and sometime you have to tell them how appealing this is. And that probably won't happen but, if it does, I want to make sure we're on sound --

MR. KEANE: As Dr. Herbert pointed out, should some individuals' names come to your attention, call us. We will call Rhonda, ask
her to contact them and tell them the application period is still open and I urge you to apply.

MR. GLOVER: Yeah.
DR. HERBERT: I have conducted a number of presidential searches for universities. I know exactly what you are talking about.

MR. GLOVER: Yeah.
DR. HERBERT: Some of those superstars, you do have to reach out. But we will figure out a way to make sure that they are aware, and we have to make it very clear that they have to apply if they are going to be considered.

MR. GLOVER: I just want to make sure we don't violate anything getting involved.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And if it's some of like recruiting -- but you are just asking them to consider applying, Paul, I don't know of any sort of --

MR. DARAGJATI: I am not aware of any ethical opinions or anything of that nature that would prohibit simply reaching out to an individual saying we have this position open. You should apply for it. Assuming, of course, none of the trustees are having conversations
about it.
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Other than here in an opening meeting.

MR. DARAGJATI: Exactly. But for a single trustee to reach out to someone and say, look, this position is open. You should apply. I don't see any ethical violations there.

DR. HERBERT: Obviously, this is a critical position and whoever gets it has a hard act to follow. But we'll go ahead and proceed in that fashion if we are in agreement.

And we will try to -- what we will do I guess is ask for all the names by category just so we have a feel for it and then we can go through our culling process to ultimately get to a smaller number that the full board would want to take a look at.

That's the update on the search. Let me also then raise another issue. That is, we have a few major issues that we have got to address over the next couple of weeks and I am concerned about how we deal with it because we have not had time because of the short-time frame from when John told us that he was going to be resigning until this meeting. But we
have some things that simply have to get done. And just to give you an example, we need to make sure that we follow through on the rebalancing that we just voted on in our board meeting. We have a major lawsuit for which we are the lead plaintiff. And, John, can you tell the Board or tell the committee what the situation is in New York that we have to have addressed, $I$ think it's, the $27 t h$ or $28 t h$ ? MR. KEANE: Yes, sir. Depositions are scheduled in New York City in a class action securities lawsuit that the Board has been appointed by the federal court as the lead plaintiff.

We are required to -- I'm currently under subpoena but the subpoena could be transferred to somebody else to come to New York to be deposed by the attorneys for the defendants concerning our purchases and sales of the stocks in the company over about a six or seven-year period. That deposition is scheduled for September 29 in New York City. DR. HERBERT: The practical reality we don't have anyone else that can be involved in that deposition. And so one of the questions
that I asked John is whether or not he would be willing to extend his retirement effective date until September 30. That would give him time to --
(Interruption by telephone.)
MS. MANNING: Do you want Mr. Scheu on he line now?

MR. KEANE: Yeah. Put him on.
MS. MANNING: Mr. Scheu?
MS. MANNING: Okay. I'm going to put you on speaker. Can you hear us, Mr. Scheu?

MR. SCHEU: I sure can. Sorry.
MS. MANNING: That's okay.
MR. KEANE: For the record, for the court reporter, we want you to know Trustee Bill Scheu is joining us on the telephone conference.

DR. HERBERT: Trustee Scheu, this is Adam Herbert.

MR. SCHEU: Hey Adam. Bill Scheu.
Thanks.
DR. HERBERT: I just --
MR. SCHEU: Thanks. I have been holding on the WATS line for a while and I don't know what happened.

DR. HERBERT: We are glad you are here. MR. SCHEU: Thanks.

DR. HERBERT: I was just talking about the fact that we have some critical issues that we have to get addressed in September. One of them is follow up -- following up on the motion that we passed in the board meeting related to rebalancing our accounts to deal with some obligations that we have.

The second is there is a lawsuit that for which we are the lead plaintiff. And on the 29th, John has to appear on our behalf to be deposed. And so what I have talked with him about, I want to bring it to the Personnel Committee and then to the Board is I asked if he would be willing to extend his retirement effective date to September 30 so that he can represent us in this deposition as the Executive Director and also to assure that we follow through on all of the things that have been outlined in the rebalancing. Frankly, the third thing is that we still need some additional time to develop our interim leadership strategy going forward pending the appointment of our new executive
director. So John has indicated that he is willing to extend his effective retirement date to September 30 and that would, I think, require Board action to agree to that.

And John, I'm saying -- I'm discussing what you and I talked about in that regard, but would you confirm or otherwise your willingness to do that, if the Board requested.

MR. KEANE: Yes, I would be willing to remain on until September 30th to not only complete the rebalancing which is absolutely critical.

The Fund Treasurer and City Treasurer are sitting here telling you it's absolutely critical. They need that money because the way the City financial posture is set up, this money is in use to make an immediate payment on City obligations. It is not something that can be missed at all without having a tremendous impact not only on the fund but on the City.

So that has got to be taken care of, getting that money, those stocks and bonds sold and getting the money in the right account and getting it wired over to the City as well as this pending federal litigation that we are the
lead plaintiff.
We have several other critical projects that are going on right now and one is the follow-up on the GS audit that was done in response to the City audit as well as the furnishing documents to Ed Seidel in response to City Council's hiring him for his forensic audit.

We have lots and lots of things going on right now. And I would be willing to stay on through September 30 if the Board asks me to do that.

MR. GLOVER: Joey, just based on that scenario -- and these kind of decisions get little attention anyway. Just from your perspective, you would think this would be a prudent route to go as far as the extension is concerned?

MR. GREIVE: Through the Chair to the Personnel Committee, I think it is the Board's discretion, but there are several very important critical things that are happening right now. We all have a vested interest in the success of the Board especially as it relates to, as John is alluding to, the cash
transfer back to the City. We can't afford a hiccup in that.

So to the extent that the Board needs to take action to ensure that things are handled correctly and timely, I think that would be, you know, a prudent course of action. But it is really up to the Board on how to do that, but we do have a lot of critical things outstanding, and we are all in this together.

MR. GLOVER: Dr. Herbert, I don't see a better solution. The only question would have been is John willing to do that? I know Dr. Herbert says he would like for him to acknowledge that he wants to do it. Otherwise -- I wouldn't give him the otherwise. There would have been no otherwise, but we agree with that.

DR. HERBERT: I will make that presentation that proposal to the Board then. The last area that I wanted to reference is interim leadership. There are few responsibilities that a Board has that are more important than dealing with the selection of the leader for the enterprise.

That's why we talked for several months
about the critical need for succession planning. We don't have a lot of time, given the retirement of the executive director. So in addition to the search effort, $I$ just want to tell you that $I$ have begun the process of trying to lay out some strategies that we might pursue in this regard.

I just want to share with you what I have been thinking about so we can have a conversation about it. First element of this, I think, is to identify and experience a local executive with strong management and ideally with financial experience who might be an interim director. I don't know if we can definitely identify that person but it seems to me we have an obligation to try to do that.

And this could very well be someone ideally who is not interested in becoming a permanent director, but someone who would come in and help. I think it would be important as part of that process also to get John to assist during this transition period because if someone does come in, the reality is there are a lot of things that he or she will not know.

And after a few decades of experience
here, John does know where everything is buried, what all the issues are. And so I would think it would be helpful to do is potentially identify someone if we can who can serve in an interim capacity and/or figure out a way that we can get John on a consulting basis to help us out.

I have talked with Joey in his role as treasurer, and I will let him speak for himself, but he has indicated that he would be willing to help us in dealing with some of the investment issues that arise. I know we have some very good staff that also can play a role. And I have not had a chance yet to talk with John about that, but to the extent that you want me to play a lead role in terms of pulling all that together, $I$ am definitely willing to do it. I think this is just so critical but --

DR. HERBERT: Joey?
MR. GREIVE: Sure.
DR. HERBERT: Would this be helpful for you to give the committee and the Board a sense of your willingness to help us out during this period from an investment perspective and financial perspective?

MR. GREIVE: Sure. Through the Chair, the Personnel Committee, I have talked to my boss, Mike Weinstein, and he has assured me and let me know to assure you that we all do have a vested interest in the success of the Board and we want to ensure an orderly transition as well.

It does help that we share the same investment consultant. It helps that I have been at just about every board meeting recently and for the last several years I have been attending board meetings. So to the extent that I can be helpful -- luckily with keeping John on board as the Board has just indicated, you know, to help out with the large cash rebalance of $\$ 102$ million, that should get the Board set on the investment side for a little while.

Because as part of raising that cash, you are also rebalancing your fund, so there shouldn't be more than just operational or day-to-day type, little decisions here and there that may need Board action, may not. Really, it should be strategic rebalancing but, like I say, you are doing the big one now. So,
yeah, I will be here at each of the board meetings for the foreseeable future in my role as City Treasurer and Fund Treasurer.

To the extent the Board needs help on the investment side, I will work with Dan Holmes, John Keane, or whatever interim director is selected ensuring that everything is moving in the right direction. I will continue to review your monthly investment reports and call the Board Chair or whoever and kind of talk through any of the investment issues that are impacting the fund. You have got our support is what I'm saying.

DR. HERBERT: I think the first thing make sure we had that had financial side the back up there covered. The second thing is what we would do with regard to day-to-day management, and I would like to propose, Trustee Glover, is that we indicate to the Board at least my willingness -- I don't know about your time. I have a little more than you do, but I think it is important for us to talk with John and get a very clear sense as to what his availability will be after September 30 and under what circumstances.

I can then come back and report that to the Board and also continue the due diligence process of trying to identify experienced local executives that might be potential candidates for the interim role. And then if we can identify some of those, one or two people in that category, arrange for that or those individuals to talk with individual members of the Board so you can interview those and get a sense as to what you think.

MR. GLOVER: I am in agreement with that. I think we need to make whatever difficult decisions we need to make for a smooth transition. We've put too much effort in this to have a hiccup at a change of the guard so we certainly want to do that.

I do want try to identify a person who would not necessarily be interested in the job permanently. I think that's kind of critical so we won't have that -- funny things start to happen when politics get involved. So I agree with that, that piece as well.

DR. HERBERT: Thoughts?
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yeah. So far the one thing timingwise, John has agreed to stay
through September 30, which gives us at that point. And it would be ideal to have somebody before that time to at least shadow him until he leaves. But in the event that we don't find somebody, that interim person before then, I think we also have another plan that says this is who is going to be in charge from that date until we find an interim.

DR. HERBERT: Absolutely. What I would propose in that regard is at our Board meeting -- I think we have one on --

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Next Friday.
DR. HERBERT: Next Friday. I would like to come to that meeting with a proposal to deal with both scenarios, if you are willing to allow me to do some of that work --

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yes.
DR. HERBERT: -- to try to get it done. And if I'm able to find someone that meets all of the experience criteria we would want, then I would immediately ask John to contact each of you to facilitate an interview with him or with them so that potentially even at the board meeting next week, we can make a decision.

And I will also be talking to John as
another part of this strategy just to make sure that if we need to go a little further that we have an understanding as to how that might play out as well.

Those are the major items that I had. Trustee Glover, do you have any other matters that we need to talk about?

MR. GLOVER: No, I would hope that we could find somebody local. I mean, that feels right to me, you know, not wedded to that, but it would be a good idea if we could just get somebody local here. That feels a little better to me.

DR. HERBERT: I am sensitive to the fact that there are some background checks that may have to be conducted depending on whether or not they have had a previous affiliation with the city government, that kind of thing.

But I will look through all of that and make sure that we -- I will talk with HR folks about that if we can identify some folks to see exactly what the issues are. But by next Friday, we will come forward with a proposed plan for Board consideration.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: While we are talking
about that, I know we kind of suspended the search for the number two position, and I think this is a prime example of why -- if we could have filled that, this is the prime example of why we needed to fill that. Because now we are in a position that John is retiring and we don't have somebody to step up to take on those responsibilities.

So when you are going through the applicants, I think we should also consider they may not be the number one position applicant, but they maybe good as a number two. DR. HERBERT: Okay. We do have a list of candidates. I think the critical question there is just whether or not we want to have a very clear sense as to what are the strengths and skill sets are of the number two person so this person, the deputy, can supplement that so that we have an even stronger team. But that doesn't prevent us from going back and taking a look at those candidates also.

But the priority would be for the director position. If we can't find someone within a reasonable time frame, I think it raises a different set of questions and issues for us.

Okay. Is there anything else, Trustee Glover?

MR. GLOVER: I'm fine.

DR. HERBERT: If there is nothing else, this concludes the meeting of the Personnel Committee.

MR. KEANE: Mr. Scheu, can you still hear us?

MR. SCHEU: Yes, I can. Thank you.
MR. KEANE: What happened before we got you on the line is the Board took up the reallocation issue and passed that and then the Board went into recess to provide for the Personnel Committee. And now the Board is getting ready to reconvene to adjourn. I just want to bring you up to date to where we are at.

MR. SCHEU: Thank you very much.
(The Personnel Committee ended their meeting.)

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: It is 9:40. We will bring the Board meeting back in session.

The first item we need to bring up a motion, if somebody has a motion, to accept Mr. Keane's extension of his retirement date
through September 30.
DR. HERBERT: Mr. Chairman, I move it.
MR. GLOVER: Mr. Chairman, I second it.
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any discussion?
All in favor.
(In unison) Aye.
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Opposed --
MR. SCHEU: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Opposed? None. Passed unanimously.

And I would like to take a quick moment, Mr. Scheu, to welcome you. You literally have jumped right in the middle of this. Fortunately for us and with great appreciation, I have seen you -- some of your work with the pension reform committee -- I attended every one of those meetings and saw how you literally engulfed yourself or immersed yourself in those discussions and brought out a lot of the important points that needed to be addressed.

And watching you there, I appreciate the great understanding that you have of the challenges that are facing the pension board and appreciate you accepting that challenge and coming on to the pension board. So welcome.

MR. SCHEU: Well, thank you. It is either engulfed or engulfed by.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: A little of both maybe.
Do we have any other business before the Board?

MR. KEANE: No, sir.
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: No other items. It is 9:41. We are adjourned.

DR. HERBERT: Thank you.
MR. SCHEU: Thank you very much
(The meeting was concluded at 9:40 a.m.)
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