The Floor Leader offers the following floor substitute to File No. 2005-944:

3

4

1

2

#### ORDINANCE 2005-944-E

5 AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 126 (PROCUREMENT 6 CODE), ORDINANCE CODE; AMENDING SECTION 7 126.104 (INTEGRITY OF PUBLIC CONTRACTING AND 8 PURCHASING PROCESS), ORDINANCE CODE, AND 9 CHAPTER 126 (PROCUREMENT CODE), PART 3 10 (PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACTS) AND PART 7 (DESIGN-BUILD CONTRACTS), ORDINANCE CODE, TO 11 INCORPORATE RECENT AMENDMENTS TO §287.055, 12 FLORIDA STATUTES, COMMONLY REFERRED TO AS THE 13 CONSULTANTS' COMPETITIVE NEGOTIATION ACT OR 14 15 CCNA; CORRECTING SCRIVENER'S ERRORS; AMENDING CHAPTER 126 (PROCUREMENT ٦6 CODE) PART 17 (SUPPLIES, CONTRACTUAL SERVICES AND CAPITAL 18 IMPROVEMENTS), ORDINANCE CODE TO CLARIFY FINAL APPROVAL, AWARD AUTHORITY AND SOLICITATION 19 PROCEDURE, SOLE SOURCE AND RESOLVE CERTAIN 20 21 PERCEIVED AMBIGUITIES; AMENDING SECTION 22 126.201 (GENERAL), ORDINANCE CODE, TO REFLECT HIGHER PERMISSIBLE DISCRETIONARY AMOUNT AS 23 24 ALLOWED BY FLORIDA STATUTE; AMENDING SECTION 25 126.302 (PROFESSIONAL SERVICES EVALUATION 26 COMMITTEE PROCEDURES ("PSEC")), ORDINANCE CODE, TO ALLOW FOR ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVAL; 27 AMENDING CHAPTER 126 (PROCUREMENT CODE) PART 28 9 6B (JACKSONVILLE SMALL EMERGING BUSINESS 30 PROGRAM), ORDINANCE CODE, TO INCORPORATE THE 31 RESULTS OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF

11

15 16

17

14

18 19 20

21 22

24

23

25 26

27

28 29

30

31

PROCUREMENT'S ANNUAL PROCEDURAL REVIEW OF THE ANNUAL GROSS RECEIPTS THRESHOLD FOR JACKSONVILLE AND SMALL EMERGING BUSINESSES CERTIFICATION; PROVIDING A SEVERABILITY PROVISION; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City, after having determined a need to modernize its purchasing code and to address the concerns associated with equal access to minority and small businesses to City contracts, enacted Ordinance 2004-602-E;

WHEREAS, § 287.055, Florida Statutes, commonly referred to as the Consultants' Competitive Negotiation Act or CCNA, has been revised by the State of Florida, which impacts the way the City procures professional design services under Parts 3 and 7 of Ordinance 2004-602-E, requiring amendments to the same; and

WHEREAS, after one year of implementing the requirements and procedures of Ordinance 2004-602-E, it has been discovered that certain provisions of the same require minor revisions to correct scrivener's errors, to clarify final approval, awarding authority and certain solicitation procedures to resolve certain perceived ambiguities and to incorporate the results of certain annual procedural reviews by the Director of the Department Procurement, as contemplated by Ordinance 2004-602-E, regarding the gross receipts threshold for certification under the Jacksonville Small and Emerging Businesses program; now therefore

BE IT ORDAINED by the Council of the City of Jacksonville:

Section 1. Amendment of Purchasing Code. Chapter 126 (Purchasing Code), Ordinance Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

> CHAPTER 126. PROCUREMENT CODE.

PART 1. GENERAL REGULATIONS. \* \*

Sec. 126.104. Integrity of public contracting and purchasing process.

(a) In recognition that the preservation of the integrity of the public contracting and purchasing process of the City is vital and is a matter of great public interest, the Council determines and declares that:

\* \* \*

(4) It is the intent of the Council to provide sufficient authority to the City, its departments and independent agencies, to ensure the integrity of public contracting and purchasing. To the extent any provision contained herein is in direct conflict with Chapter 255, Chapter 287, or other provisions of the statutes of the State of Florida, Florida Statutes shall control and govern.

**.**6

# Part 2. SUPPLIES, CONTRACTUAL SERVICES AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS Sec. 126.201. General.

#### (a) Nondisclosure of bids.

(1) By virtue of submitting a bid, each bidder shall be deemed to guarantee that he has not been a party with other bidder(s) to an express or implied agreement to bid a fixed or uniform price. Violation of this implied guarantee shall render void the bid of the offending bidder(s) and, subject to applicable requirements and/or discretion described herein, may result in forfeiture of the offender's bid bond and other disciplinary measures contained herein and/or under Florida law.

\* \* \*

(c) Conflict of interest. Bids submitted under this Part Any bid, proposal, or response to a solicitation made pursuant to Chapter 126 (Procurement Code), Ordinance Code, shall include a

statement under oath executed by the bidder, proposer, or provider of the response disclosing the names of all officers and employees of the City and of independent agencies to which F.S. Ch. 112, Pt. III, applies who may have a private financial interest, directly or indirectly, in the <u>award and/or</u> subject matter of the bid, proposal, or response.

#### (d) General Governmental Awards Committee.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

Creation. hereby created a There is Governmental Awards Committee (the "GGAC"), which shall consist of the Director of Administration and Finance or his designee, who shall act as the GGAC Chairperson, the General Counsel or his designee, and Director of Public Works or his designee; provided, that, when the using agency is an independent agency required by utilize the services of the Department, administrative officer or his designee of the using agency shall be a member of the GGAC in lieu of the Director of Administration and Finance; and further provided, that, when the Downtown Development Authority is the using agency, the executive director shall be a member in lieu of the chief administrative officer. Whenever one of the members is temporarily unable to serve on the GGAC, the member shall designate a designee serving under him to serve on the GGAC in his absence. A representative of a using agency shall be entitled required to attend meetings of the GGAC at which business affecting the using agency is to be conducted. The representative shall be entitled to be heard but shall have no vote at the meetings; provided, that the provisions of this sentence shall not apply to the executive director or the chief administrative officer, as the case may be, of an independent agency when he is serving as a member of the GGAC. An independent agency may promulgate its own rules or procedures to resolve bid orqualification disputes or employ those stated in this Part 2.

- 4 -

(2) Formal Awards. The GGAC shall act as provided in this Part 2; however, unless otherwise provided by executive order of the Mayor, relative to the designation or delegation of the Mayor's approval authority, but the awarding of formal bids and contracts shall become final only upon approval by: (i) The independent agency when it is the using agency; or (ii) the Mayor or his designee (collectively hereafter referred to as the "Mayor") in all other areas.

\* \* \*

(e) Bidder's responsibility. After considering recommendations made by the Director or his designee and the GGAC, the Mayor, in accordance with Section 126.201(d)(2), hereof, may approve or authorize the GGAC to award formal bids and contracts to the lowest responsive, responsible bidder meeting or exceeding published specifications set forth in the invitation for bids. Prior to making a recommendation to the Mayor an award hereunder, the Director and the GGAC shall make a determination as to the responsibility of bidders, which determination shall consider the following factors:

\* \* \*

(10) Whether the bidder has engaged in conduct prohibited by Section 126.201(kl)(1), hereof.

\* \* \*

(g) Formal contract. The Mayor may require the successful bidder to promptly execute a formal contract approved as to its form, terms and conditions, and legal sufficiency by the Office of General Counsel and to execute and deliver to the Director a good and sufficient payment and/or performance bonds in a form approved by the Office of General Counsel, in an amount equal to one hundred percent of the contract price of a capital improvement project and in an amount established by the Director for all other projects,

subject to the discretion of the GGAC or as otherwise provided herein, and executed by a surety company authorized to do business in Florida; however, a successful bidder who is awarded a formal contract for less than the discretionary threshold amount indicated in § 255.05(1)(a), Florida Statutes, for a county, city, political subdivision, or public authority, or such higher amount as may be permitted by law (the "Discretionary Bond Threshold"), may, at the discretion of the GGAC, upon the request of the using agency and recommendation of the Director, be exempted from executing the otherwise required payment and performance bonds. Formal contracts shall not be executed and notices to proceed shall not be issued for projects, on which successful bidders are required to provide and/or have been not exempted from providing payment performance bonds, until such time as the requisite payment and performance bonds have been furnished by the successful bidder. The successful bidder's failure to execute the formal contract and to secure the payment and performance bonds and return the same to the City within the time period set forth in the invitation for bids may result in the successful bidder's forfeiture of the award and forfeiture of the bid security to the City. The award may then be made to the next lowest responsive, responsible bidder or readvertised as the Director may elect. For certified JSEBs, as defined in Chapter 126, Part 6, pPerformance bBonds, shall not be required on City projects with a value less than the Discretionary Bond Threshold \$200,000. Final determinations on the need for payment bonds for projects under the Discretionary Bond Threshold \$200,000 shall be made by the Director after determining if there is an alternate form of security or payment method, more readily available or appropriate.

30

31

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Sec. 126.202. Competitive sealed bid.

13

14

15

.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7

30

31

Unless otherwise recommended by the Director and approved by the GGAC, the formal procurement of supplies, contractual services and capital improvements shall be purchased by formal written contract and/or agreement based upon an award via competitive sealed bid to the lowest, responsive, responsible bidder meeting or exceeding advertised specifications, as recommended by the Director and determined by the GGAC, after public notice or advertisement of an invitation for bids. No formal purchase order, contract, or agreement shall be subdivided to avoid the requirements of this Section. The following procedures shall be observed in the award of all formal contract purchases via the Competitive Sealed Bid method of procurement:

Solicitation and public notice or advertisement. Director shall solicit bids from responsible bidders, and may do so by issuing public notice or advertisement as will acquaint bidders with the proposed solicitation. The Director shall cause public notice or advertisement of the solicitation be given a reasonable period of time prior to the public opening or the place, date and time at which responses are due as set forth in the solicitation: (i) by posting said public notice on a bulletin board located in the office of the Department; and (ii) in accordance with Section 126.102(m), hereof. The solicitation shall contain a detailed description of the services sought, the time and date for the receipt of responses and of the public opening, and all contractual terms and conditions applicable to the procurement, including the criteria to be used in evaluating responsiveness and The solicitation shall contain a description of responsibility. any renewal(s) contemplated in the underlying contract, and shall require responses thereto to include a price for each renewal year for which the contract may be renewed. Evaluation of responses shall include, in addition to other criteria described in the

solicitation, consideration of the proposed total cost for each renewal year. Renewals shall be contingent upon satisfactory performance evaluations by the using agency in question, in concert with the Department and shall be subject to approval by the GGAC and to the availability of appropriated funds. Criteria that are not set forth in the solicitation may not be used in evaluating responses.

\* \* \*

- (d) Product acceptability and price. For purposes of this Section 126.202, objectively measurable evaluation criteria or factors means the criteria only as set forth in the solicitation, used to evaluate responsiveness and responsibility, which include, without limitation, criteria necessary to evaluate: (i) product acceptability, such as examinations, inspection, testing, quality, workmanship, delivery and other evaluation factors, which shall be used for the purpose of determining product suitability according to the requirements and/or criteria only as set forth in the solicitation, and shall not be used for the purpose of comparing the superiority of differing products proposed by bidders or proposers, unless otherwise provided herein (the solicitation may include a requirement that, at the time of public opening, bidders or proposers submit product literature, samples, certification and other documentation necessary for the purpose of evaluating product suitability); and (ii) price, such as discounts, transportation costs, total orlife-cycle costs and objectively measurable factors, which affect the proposed amount contained in solicitation responses, and which are set forth in the solicitation. Nothing in this Section shall be deemed to permit discussions or negotiations with bidders after bid opening, except as otherwise provided herein.
  - (e) Award. Consistent with the requirements of Section

- 8 -

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

126.201(d), awards shall be made with reasonable promptness by written notification to the lowest, responsive, responsible bidder, as recommended by the Director and the GGAC Awards Committee and approval by the Mayor, subject to Section 126.201(d)(2), hereof, in accordance with only those objectively measurable evaluation criteria or factors set forth in the solicitation. Written notification will also be given to each unsuccessful bidder. award or decision, recommendation, or action of the Director or GGAC under this Section may be protested, in accordance with the Department's Protest Procedures, by those adversely affected thereby and who: (i) have standing to do so under Florida law; and (ii) have properly and timely complied with the requirements of the protest procedures established by the Director that are existence at the time of bid opening.

15

±6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

9

30

31

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

#### ж ж

### Sec. 126.204. Competitive sealed proposal process.

Upon the recommendation of the Director and approval by the GGAC and/or the Competitive Sealed Proposal Evaluation Committee as defined below, that the formal procurement of supplies, contractual services and capital improvements pursuant to Sections 126.202 or 126.203 is impracticable, the same may be procured by formal written contract and/or agreement based upon an award via Competitive Sealed Proposal, whereby a Request For Proposals ("RFP") shall be publicly noticed or advertised consistent with Section 126.202(a), hereof. Upon the recommendation of the Director and approval by the GGAC and/or the Competitive Sealed Proposal Evaluation Committee as defined below, a Request for Qualifications ("RFQ") may also be used as part of the procurement process described in this Section 126.204. The RFP solicitation documents hereunder shall define the public opening or the place, date and time at which responses are due as set forth in the RFP,

2 s 3 r 4 a 5 <u>u</u> 6 e 7 p 8 e 9 0 10 w

1

13

14

15

11

12

16 17

19

20

18

21

22

23 24

2526

28

29

27

30

31

solicitation, including the criteria, which shall include, but need not be limited to, price, to be used in determining acceptability and/or responsiveness of the proposal and in establishing the ultimate award. The relative importance of price and other evaluation criteria and the method or evaluation process shall be provided in the RFP solicitation documents, and proposals shall be evaluated and tabulated solely in accordance with the same by the Competitive Sealed Proposal Evaluation Committee (the "CSPEC"), which shall be composed of the GGAC, the Director or his designee and one representative from the agency or department for which the solicited services are required and/or will be performed.

and all contractual terms and conditions applicable to

## (a) Approval and distribution of required services.

- (1) The using agency shall submit to the Director written service requirements indicating the nature and scope of the services needed by the using agency and a certification letter of information required by the CSPEC, including the following:
- (i) The general purpose of the required services that includes a statement as to why procurement of said services pursuant to Sections 126.202 or 126.203 is impracticable.
  - (ii) The objectives of the services.
- (iii) The estimated period of time needed for the services.
- (iv) The estimated cost of the services and funding for the same.
- (v) Whether the proposed service would or would not duplicate existing services.
- (vi) List of current contracts or prior services which are related to the proposed services.

\* \* \*

(3) The CSPEC shall have the prepared written evaluation

criteria and service requirements indicating the nature and scope of the services needed by a using agency incorporated into an RFP which shall be publicly noticed or advertised consistent with Section 126.202(a), hereof, and distributed by the Notwithstanding other requirements contained in this Department. Chapter, the Department shall publish solicitations under this Section 126.204 in a newspaper of general circulation in the city at least ten business days prior to the public opening date set forth in the RFP.

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12 13

14 15

**∡**6 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

9

30

31

11

(c) Award.

which the award is made.

Subject to Section 126.201(d)(2), hereof to the approval of the Mayor, awards under this Section shall be made to the responsible and responsive proposer whose proposal determined in writing by the CSPEC to be the most advantageous to the City, based solely upon the relative importance assigned to price and each of the criteria and the method or evaluation process set forth in the RFP solicitation, which evaluation process may include interviews at the sole discretion of the CSPEC. The

contract file shall contain documentation supporting the basis on

Sec. 126.206. Sole-source, noncompetitive purchases.

(a) Upon a recommendation by the Director that a purchase can be made only from a proprietary source or one justifiable source, such as patented and manufactured products and services offered for sale in a noncompetitive market or solely by a manufacturer's authorized dealer, and without publishing in a newspaper an advertised call for bids, the GGAC, for purchases by the City, or the awarding authority, for purchases by an independent agency may, if it specifically finds from all of the relevant facts that a proprietary or sole source purchase is proper under this Section,

approve the purchase in accordance with regulations established by the Director and approved by the Mayor. There shall be submitted to the CCAC or the awarding authority, as the case may be, by either the Director or the using agency and acknowledged by the Director, a written justification for each sole source, noncompetitive purchase under this Section, including when applicable a sufficient explanation, as determined by the Director, as to why only the proposed make or kind of goods or services will satisfactorily fulfill the needs of the using agency. A requirement for a particular proprietary good or service will not justify a sole source award in circumstances where there is more than one potential contractor, vendor, or offeror for the same.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

Upon a recommendation by the Director and proper notice (a) and approval under this Procurement Code, an exceptional purchase or purchase made without competition or advertisement can be made from: (i) a "proprietary source," which, under this Procurement Code, shall apply to follow-up goods and services, such as replacement parts or warranty-related or required maintenance services for products and equipment previously purchased by the City, that may only be efficiently and effectively provided from one justifiable source; or (ii) a "sole source," which, under this Procurement Code, shall apply when there is only one justifiable source, such as patented and manufactured products and services offered for sale in a noncompetitive market or solely by a manufacturer's authorized dealer. Without competition oradvertisement, but only after posting prior notice on Procurement's website for no less than seven calendar days, a proprietary or sole-source purchase, if found from the relevant facts presented by the using agency to be sufficiently and properly justified, may be awarded hereunder. As a condition precedent to consideration and an award hereunder, using agencies must submit to the Director a

written justification for each proprietary or sole-source, noncompetitive purchase, including without limitation a sufficient explanation, as determined by the Director, as to why only the proposed make or kind of goods or services will satisfactorily fulfill the needs of the using agency. A requirement for a particular proprietary good or service will not justify an award in circumstances where there is more than one potential source that can efficiently and effectively provide the same. Proposed awards made hereunder may be timely protested pursuant to the Department's protest procedures.

11

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

### 12

13

14

15 \_6

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

2425

26

27 28

9 30

31

#### \* \* \*

# PART 3. PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACTS Sec. 126.301. Award of professional services contracts.

Consistent with Section 126.104(a)(4), hereof, ccontracts for the performance of professional design services or professional services, as defined herein, (collectively "professional services"), for the City and for the independent agencies, authorize by law to utilize the services of the Department, shall be awarded in accordance with the provisions of this Part 3, except for contracts for the performance of legal services and for the performance of the annual independent audit under Section 5.11 of the Charter. Unless otherwise recommended by the Director and approved by the Professional Services Evaluation Committee ("PSEC") defined herein, the formal procurement of professional services shall be purchased by formal written purchase order, contract and/or agreement based upon an award after public notice or advertisement of a Request For Proposals ("RFP"), evaluation of proposals and recommendation by the PSEC and approval by the Mayor or his designee (collectively hereafter referred to as "the Mayor"), subject to Section 126.201(d)(2), hereof. Upon the recommendation of the Director and approval by the PSEC, a Request

for Qualifications ("RFQ") may also be used as part of the procurement process described in this Part 3. No formal purchase order, contract, or agreement shall be subdivided to avoid the requirements of this Section.

Sec. 126.302. Professional Services Evaluation Committee Procedures ("PSEC").

- (a) Membership. Prior to the award of a contract for the performance of professional services, the Mayor shall appoint a PSEC, which shall be under the organizational control of the Department. Subject to the Mayor's approval as to the designation or selection of the departmental or using agency representative, PSEC shall consist of the Director or his designee, who will serve as the chair, one representative from the Administration and Finance Department, one representative from the Office of the General Counsel and two representatives from the using agency for which the professional services will be performed, who will also serve as the PSEC subcommittee.
  - (b) Approval and distribution of project requirements.

\* \* \*

(3) PSEC shall have the prepared written evaluation criteria and service requirements indicating the nature and scope of the professional services needed by a using agency incorporated into an RFP, which shall be publicly noticed or advertised consistent with Section 126.202(a), hereof, and distributed by the Department. Notwithstanding other requirements contained in this Chapter, the Department shall publish <u>formal</u> solicitations under this <u>Section 126.204 Part 3</u> in a newspaper of general circulation in the City at least ten business days prior to the public opening date set forth in the RFP.

\* \* \*

(e) Total compensation negotiation and unit price quotation.

While PSEC may request, accept and consider proposals for the total compensation to be paid under a professional design services contract only during contract negotiations,; however, consistent with § 287.055, Florida Statutes, PSEC it may require all interested proposers responding to an RFP pursuant to this Part 3 include statements and references demonstrating that the proposer met both time and budget requirements on projects of similar size and scope that were completed by the proposer within the past five years and that the proposer is meeting both time and budget requirements on projects of similar size and scope that are currently being performed by the proposer ("Reference Projects"). More specifically, an RFP hereunder may require that a proposer: (i) submit an expressed statement of its overall willingness to meet both time and budget requirements for the project in question; and (ii) submit, without limitation, project narratives, schedules, cost and fee summaries and owner references for any Reference Projects in order to demonstrate that the proposer has met and is meeting both time and budget requirements for said projects submit a quotation of their proposed unit prices, including, without limitation, hourly rates, fees, or other charges that will ultimately be used during contract negotiations to calculate or determine total compensation. For the purposes of this Section, total compensation shall mean the total amount, as well as any hourly rates, fees and other charges used to determine the same, that will be paid by the City or using agency in exchange for the performance of the desired professional services. The total compensation restriction of this Section shall be applicable only to professional design services specifically within the scope of the practice of architecture, professional engineering, landscape architecture, or registered surveying and mapping, as defined by laws of the State of Florida, or those performed by any

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

**⊥**7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

3

31

22

23

24

25

26

27

38

19

0

1

1

2

3

5

architect, landscape engineer, professional architect, registered surveyor and mapper in connection with his or her For all other professional professional employment or practice. services, PSEC may require interested persons responding to an RFP pursuant to this Part 3 to submit their proposed total compensation at the time of public opening as set forth in the RFP.

interested available and qualified, (h) Selection ο£ proposers.

(3) The PSEC shall determine qualifications, interest and availability by reviewing the written responses received, and, when deemed necessary, by conducting formal interviews of selected proposers that are determined to be best qualified based upon the evaluation of written responses. The determinations shall be based upon the following evaluation criteria, the relative importance of which shall be indicated in the RFP:

professional and present record of (v) Past accomplishments on projects for independent and using agencies of the City and on projects for others which includes the use of JSEBs.

(ix) An overall demonstrated willingness to meet both time and budget requirements for the project, and, subject to Section 126.302(e), hereof Jacksonville Ordinance Code, proposed total compensation or unit price quotations, including, without limitation, hourly rates, fees, or other charges that will ultimately be used during contract negotiations to calculate or determine total compensation.

#### Sec. 126.304. Contract negotiation and award; other cases.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

..6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

9

30

31

In all cases to which Section 126.303 does not apply, PSEC, subject to Sections 126.201(d)(2) and 126.302(f) hereof, forward to the Mayor the alphabetical list consisting of no fewer than three best qualified, interested and available proposers, in order of first, second and third best qualified. The Mayor shall approve or disapprove the recommendation of PSEC. Upon approval, PSEC shall negotiate with the first most qualified proposer and recommend to the Mayor, for approval, mutually satisfactory terms of employment, including the professional fee to be charged. If negotiations with the first most qualified proposer reach an impasse, PSEC shall recommend to the Mayor that said negotiations be terminated, and, upon the Mayor's approval, PSEC shall terminate said negotiations by written notice, and shall commence negotiation process with the second most qualified proposer. Upon reaching an impasse and terminating negotiation with the second shall commence the negotiation most qualified proposer, PSEC process with the third most qualified proposer. This selection and negotiation procedure may be continued with additional selected proposers in order of best qualified until a mutual agreement is approved by the Mayor or until the list of selected best-qualified proposers is exhausted. If the negotiation process results in the Mayor's approval of a mutual agreement, the Mayor shall then order the award of a contract for the performance of the required professional services to the proposer with whom a mutual agreement is reached. If the negotiation process fails to result in a mutual required professional performance agreement for the of the services, then the selection process shall terminate and all proposals shall be deemed rejected, and the using agency in question, with the assistance of the Department, may modify the specifications or scope of services and resolicit proposals, which

modifications shall be documented in writing and maintained in the resulting contract file. If delays resulting from resolicitation efforts will be substantially detrimental to the City's best interest, PSEC, upon the approval of the Mayor, may reinitiate the negotiation process described herein, beginning with the first most qualified proposer, which reinitiated negotiations may include, without limitation, modifications to the specifications or scope of services set forth in the initial solicitation, which modifications shall be documented in writing and maintained in the resulting contract file. If the reinitiated negotiation process results in the Mayor's approval of a mutual agreement, the Mayor shall then order the award of a contract for the performance of the required professional services to the proposer with whom a mutual agreement is reached. To the extent a contract awarded hereunder is PSEC, upon the Mayor's approval, shall have the discretion to: (i) commence negotiations as described in this Section 126.304, beginning with the next most qualified proposer, for the professional services remaining and/or necessary for the completion of said contract; or (ii) to procure said professional services pursuant to Part 3, hereof.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

\* \*

# Sec. 126.312. Sole-source noncompetitive purchases of professional services.

(a) Upon certification by the PSEC that a purchase of professional services can reasonably be made only from a sole source or proprietary procurement method, such as follow up or related studies by the professional originally performing the service, and without publishing in a newspaper an advertised call for bids, the PSEC, for purchases by the City, or the awarding Authority for purchases by an independent agency, may, if it specifically finds from all of the relevant facts that a

sole source or proprietary purchase of professional services is proper under this Section, approve the purchase in accordance with regulations established by the Director and approved by the Mayor. There shall be submitted to the PSEC or the awarding authority, as the case may be, by either the Director or the using agency, a written justification for each sole source or proprietary, noncompetitive purchase of professional services under this Section, including when applicable an explanation as to why only the proposed professional will satisfactorily fulfill the needs of the City's agency.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

т6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

۹^

30

31

(a) Consistent with § 126.206 hereof, upon a recommendation by the Director and proper notice and approval under this Procurement Code, an exceptional purchase or purchase made without competition or advertisement can be made from: (i) a "proprietary source," which, under this Procurement Code, shall apply to services, such as follow-up or related studies by the professional originally performing the service, that may only be efficiently and effectively provided from one justifiable source; or (ii) a "sole source," which, under this Procurement Code, shall apply when there is only one justifiable source. Without competition advertisement, but only after posting prior notice on Procurement's website for no less than seven calendar days, a proprietary or sole-source purchase, if found from the relevant facts presented by the using agency to be sufficiently and properly justified, may be awarded hereunder. As a condition precedent to consideration and an award hereunder, using agencies must submit to the Director a written justification for each proprietary or sole-source, noncompetitive purchase, including without limitation a sufficient explanation, as determined by the Director, as to why only the proposed services will satisfactorily fulfill the needs of the using agency. A requirement for a particular proprietary service

will not justify an award in circumstances where there is more than one potential source that can efficiently and effectively provide the same. Proposed awards made hereunder may be timely protested pursuant to the Department's protest procedures.

\* \* \*

# PART 6A. SMALL BUSINESS CAPITAL, BONDING, AND OUTREACH PROGRAM

\* \* \*

## Sec. 126.605. Semi-monthly payments to certified JSEBs.

The City shall pay certified JSEBs semi-monthly, upon proper payment application to the applicable City Department. City Departments are to perform all necessary inspections and otherwise endeavor to ensure that prompt review and as applicable approval of certified JSEB work is paid completed within four days of receipt The City shall pay all approved invoices from invoice. certified JSEBs within three business days of receipt by the Administration and Finance Department. City Departments shall provide monthly statements to the Director identifying the timing of JSEB payments for contracts within their departments. statements are to be organized and provided to the Mayor, with a copy to the City's Chief Operating Officer ("COO"), quarterly by the Director. Prime contractors shall be obligated to pay subcontractors within three days of receipt from the City of funds, and must confirm payment to the City. Subcontractors will be notified by the City when a payment application from a prime contractor has been submitted reflecting work performed by a subcontractor.

27

26

28

29

30

31

#### \* \* \*

### PART 6B. JACKSONVILLE SMALL EMERGING BUSINESS PROGRAM.

\* \* \*

## Sec. 126.609. Jacksonville Small Emerging Businesses defined.

(c) To be certified as a JSEB, an individual owner must meet

the following criteria:

2

1

21 22

20

23 24

25 26

27

28 9

31

30

2) have a personal net worth less than \$605,000, excluding personal residence, including but not limited to business value and assets (measured as book value), ownership in other businesses and all other assets personally owned, held in trust for the individual owner's benefit, or held by a spouse; . . Pprovided, + however, that, notwithstanding personal net worth, certification hereunder shall require that the following caps apply: 1) for capital improvement pursuant to 126.102(a), \$3,000,000 in annual gross receipts, on averaged, over the immediately preceding three year period, not exceed \$6,000,000.00; 2) for suppliers, \$2,000,000 in annual gross receipts on average, over the immediately preceding three year period; 3) for professional services, \$2,000,000 in annual gross receipts on average, over the immediately preceding three year period; and 4) for contractual services, \$1,000,000 in annual gross receipts on average, over the immediately preceding three year period. This annual gross receipts thresholdese amounts shall be subject to annual analysis by the Director, who , should the annual gross receipts in any category change by 5%, will may recommend to the City Council Committee responsible for Audit review for consideration by the City Council an amounts that may be more appropriate;

Sec. 126.622. Counting subcontracting participation of JSEBs and MBEs.

If a firm ceases to be a certified JSEBs and MBEs during a contract, the dollar value of work performed under a contract with that firm after it has ceased to be certified shall not be counted in the City's internal accounting. No contractor shall be

ć

3

1

2

4

5 6

7

9 10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30 31 penalized in any way as a result of the failure of a project to achieve its Project Specific Goals because of the operation of this Section.

\* \* \*

#### PART 7. DESIGN-BUILD CONTRACTS

Sec. 126.700. Intent.

Consistent with Section 126.104(a)(4), hereof, i#t is the intent of this Section to establish a uniform procedure in compliance with state law to permit the use of a design-build approach for the construction of capital improvement projects. The City is authorized by § 287.055, Florida Statutes, to adopt an ordinance governing the award of design-build contracts.

Sec. 126.701. Award of design-build contracts.

(a) Selection by competitive sealed proposal. Upon determination and written recommendation of the Director and both the CGAC and PSEC CSPEC that it is more advantageous or both time and cost effective to award a single contract for the design and construction of a capital improvement project and that it is in the overall best interest of the City to employ the design-build approach instead of the traditional design-bid-build approach for the formal procurement of a capital improvement project, the same, upon the approval of the Mayor, may be procured by formal written contract and/or agreement based upon an award pursuant to the requirements and/or procedures of § 287.055, Florida Statutes, this Part 7 and the Competitive Sealed Proposal requirements and/or procedures of Section 126.204, hereof, whereby a Request For ("RFP") Proposals shall publicly noticed be or advertised consistent with Section 126.202(a), hereof. The RFP shall define the public opening or the place, date and time at which proposals define or include the design requirements or design are due, criteria package, solicit proposals and development documents,

define or include bonding, insurance and all other contractual terms and conditions applicable to the desired design-build services and include the evaluation criteria that shall be the sole basis for the CSPEC, as defined in Section 126.204, to evaluate and tabulate proposals received in response to the RFP. In addition to the requirements and/or procedures of Section 126.204, hereof, the procedures for the use of the competitive sealed proposal process shall include, as a minimum, the following:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

**∡**6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

9

30

31

\* \* \*

(2) The solicitation and evaluation of no fewer than three design-build firms (in evaluating the design-build firms under § 287.055, Florida Statutes, and this Part 7, the CSPEC shall conduct discussions with and require public presentations by each design-build firms regarding their qualifications, approach to and schedule project, project organization, the documents and ability to furnish the required design-build services). If, as a result of a solicitation for design-build services for a capital improvement project under this Part 7, the CSPEC receives proposals from less than three design-build firms, it shall publicly notice or advertise the resolicitation of said services from design-build firms previously solicited and from additional design-build firms, unless the CSPEC determines, writing, that no advantage would be obtained by the resolicitation. Notwithstanding the number of proposals received, the CSPEC may proceed to consider those design-build firms responding to the resolicitation or responding to the initial solicitation if it determines, in writing, that no advantage would be obtained by resoliciting.

\* \* \*

(b) Request for qualifications. Upon the recommendation of the Director and approval of the CSPEC, solicitations under this

Part 7 may be accomplished pursuant to the procedures outlines in the Consultants' Competitive Negotiation Act, § 287.055(9), Florida Statutes, in particular, or include, as if part of the an RFP, by issuing a Request For Qualifications ("RFQ") for the purpose of prequalifying proposers in order to develop a short list of no design-build firms than that required in Section 126.701(a)(2), hereof. Simultaneously with the RFP, the RFQ shall publicly noticed oradvertised consistent with Section 126.202(a), hereof, and shall define the public opening or the place, date and time at which qualification responses are due, which qualification responses shall be due no more than ten business days after the date on which the RFQ is publicly noticed or advertised. The RFQ shall include: (i) design requirements; (ii) qualifications-based evaluation criteria including, without limitation, experience and competence in the kind of design-build project to be undertaken, availability of adequate personnel, equipment and facilities, financial responsibility, past record of professional accomplishments, past record of performance for using agencies; and (iii) other requirements considered to be important in the process of prequalifying design-build firms, as determined and/or approved by the CSPEC (proposers may request confidential designation for those portions of their RFQ or RFP response that contain information only to be viewed by the Department, such as trade secrets, proprietary data, orother confidential information). Only those design-build firms short-listed pursuant to this Section shall be afforded an opportunity to submit a proposal in response to the RFP in question. Upon the CSPEC's recommendation and the Mayor's approval of the short-listed designbuild firms, the Department shall provide written notice of the same to the short-listed design-build firms, indicating, at minimum, the date, time, place and manner the submission of their

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

proposals in response to the RFP shall be due and/or publicly presented, which shall take place no less than 15 business days after the date of the written short list notification (the Department shall also provide written notice of the short-listed design-build firms to each unsuccessful design-build firm).

Section 2. Severability. In the event that a court of competent jurisdiction declares any portion of this ordinance unconstitutional, invalid, or otherwise unenforceable, then it is the intent of the City that all remaining provisions shall be severable, valid and enforceable regardless of the invalidity of any other provision.

Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective upon signature by the Mayor or upon becoming effective without the Mayor's signature.

15

\_6

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Form Approved:

17

18

19

21

Office of General Counsel

20 Legislation Prepared By: Devin J. Reed

G:\shared\LEGIS.CC\2005\sub\2005-944 Floor Sub.doc

#### **ORDINANCE 2005-944-E**

#### CERTIFICATE OF AUTHENTICATION

**ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL** 

**SEPTEMBER 13, 2005** 

KEVIN E. HYDE COUNCIL PRESIDENT

ATTEST

CHERYL L. BROWN COUNCIL SECRETARY APPROVED;

JOHN PEYTON, MAYOR

SEP 19 2005

