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PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Good afternoon, everyone. We're going to call the Special Committee on the Future of JEA meeting to order. Today is Wednesday, the 27th of June, 2018. It's about two minutes after 4:00 p.m. This is the final meeting of this Committee.

We'll start by having everyone that's here, at least, introduce themselves, starting with Mr. Ferraro.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRARO: Al Ferraro, District 2.

COUNCIL MEMBER ANDERSON: Greg Anderson, At-Large Group 4.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOVE: Jim Love, District 14.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: John Crescimbeni, At-Large Group 2.

PRESIDENT BROSCHE: Anna Lopez Brosche, Group 1.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILSON: Scott Wilson, District 4.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Thank you all for being here today. At the outset we had
talked about publishing a report of the Committee. I distributed that last night. I know it was kind of short notice. Hopefully everybody had a chance to thumb through it.

We do have one recommended change from the JEA that came in late yesterday after we had already kind of drew the line on no more changes. You got a color copy in front of you that would reference the second paragraph on page 4. I don't know if anybody is here from JEA that might want to comment on that.

Does the Council Auditor have any comments on the proposed change? Mr. Clements, do you have any comment on that? Is there a copy by you?

MR. CLEMENTS: There it is.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: It's talking about the franchise fee with regard to urban service Districts 2 through 5, each involved, and striking schools and the U.S. Navy, pay up to the maximum of $72,000.

Any Council Members have any -- Committee Members have any comments on that
change? All right. If there is no
objection, then we'll make that into the
final report.

Does anyone have any comments at all
about the final report, any glaring errors,
mistakes, misspellings?

Council President Brosche.

PRESIDENT BROSCHIE: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Through the Chair, on page 3, the last sentence of the first full paragraph, "JEA's operating revenues and expenses for the fiscal year ending September 30th, 2017," period. I think it's referred to in the exhibit, but it kind of leaves you like, what is it. So I'm thinking that we might want to say, are included in Exhibit 1 or shown in Exhibit 1.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Yeah. I'm trying to think. That did not read that way in a previous version.

Mr. Clements, do you remember how that read prior to this version?

MR. CLEMENTS: No, sir, I don't. I'd have to go back and try to reconstruct a previous version.
CHAIRMAN CRESSCIMBENI: I didn't bring mine. I probably should have brought all those previous versions with me.

But we'll fix that somehow, someway, Ms. Brosche. Thank you.

PRESIDENT BROSCH: Okay. On page 4, and in the Value of JEA section, and it kind of bleeds into page 5 where we're talking about valuations. And there is reference to the difference between a valuation and evaluation.

Is the Council Auditor comfortable with us saying that they produced valuation reports? On page 4, "The Council Auditor's office has previously produced two valuation reports."

CHAIRMAN CRESSCIMBENI: 637 and 722 report numbers.

MR. BILLY: Yes. Through the Chair to the Council President, yes, I'm familiar with those two prior reports and I'm fine with that.

PRESIDENT BROSCH: It's really more a matter of you saying -- because I remember in those reports you citing that you are not
a valuation expert. And it says that in
your reports, the two that you did issue --
as a matter of fact, the three, I believe.
And so but in this report it's saying that
you issued, you produced a valuation report,
and I'm just clarifying your preference on
referencing that.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Do you want to
just use the word valuation three times when
we're describing the reports by number and
by the fact they have done two reports?
PRESIDENT BROSCHE: My question is more
a formality. We say the distinction between
a valuation and evaluation, which I really
appreciate. And neither the Council Auditor
nor PFM is qualified to issue a valuation
report. So that's where I'm headed with
this, that we're referring to a valuation
report, which carries some professional --

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: So do you want to
strike the word valuation then on that one,
two, three, four --
PRESIDENT BROSCHE: I think that's a
good idea. Produced two reports.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Two reports,
okay. And we'll refer to the report 637 and 722.

PRESIDENT BROSCH: I think that's a good idea.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Any objection to that change? All right.

PRESIDENT BROSCH: And I'm not sure if we want to do the same or how we want to incorporate that into the PFM report, because we -- you know, they were really big on making sure that it was referenced as an evaluation report. And we start referring to it as valuation throughout this page 5.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: So just change that to evaluation?

PRESIDENT BROSCH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: So we'll just do a word search on valuation, and in this little section, and if it's tied in with PFM, we'll just change it to --

PRESIDENT BROSCH: I think that's a good idea. I would also like to add in the very top paragraph of page 5, "As mentioned earlier, in February 2018, PFM released its valuation study to the City Council --" and
here is one, and I'm going to point out a
number of these -- oh, that's fine, "-- and
the JEA Board in a joint meeting. Michael
Mace, Managing Director of Public Finance
Management, Inc." This is JEA's bond
council, is there somewhere that we should
make reference to the fact they hired their
bond council to do a valuation report?

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Ms. Kilgo or
Mr. Pope, is PFM the JEA's bond council?

MS. KILGO: Nancy Kilgo, JEA. I do not
believe that they're our bond council, or we
have additional bond council. I think
they're just a financial advisory firm, but
we're going to check that out with the folks
across the street and come back to you in a
couple minutes if okay.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Okay.

PRESIDENT BROSCH: And this is really
just to kind of share where I'm going. I
mean, he admitted at the podium that he
doesn't -- isn't a valuation expert, doesn't
do valuations. So we're going to be careful
to use the word evaluation throughout here.
So I'm okay with that, but I would like to
understand the context in which they had been serving JEA.

MS. KILGO: So Jordan just checked and they are a financial advisor, a financial advisory firm, they are not the bond council.

PRESIDENT BROSCH: And so for what matters are they typically advising JEA?

MS. KILGO: That I cannot answer, but we'll get the answer for you.

PRESIDENT BROSCH: Great. Thank you.

So then when we move down page 5, I've highlighted and --

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Before we go on, you want to just -- you want somewhere in here that we reference PFM as JEA's financial advisor, and then is it okay to refer to them as PFM after that?

PRESIDENT BROSCH: Yes. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Okay.

PRESIDENT BROSCH: On page 8 in the first paragraph, the last sentence, there are a few places that I'm going to point out these weird underscores: "It also agreed to transfer."
CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Yeah. I don't know what that is. We deleted a lot of those.

Jeff, do you see those on the last sentence, you got some spaces that have underlines?

MR. CLEMENTS: Yes. We'll get those.

PRESIDENT BROSCHE: Then, just for clarity, on page 8 in the next paragraph, about the one, two, three, four, fifth, sixth line down, with the sentence starting "The auditor's office," to just add "Council" in there.

I've highlighted in a couple places both on page 5 -- and we don't need to go back there -- but moving on to page 9, the first paragraph, "The PFM report calculated a potential liability of $1.2 billion," and I remember reading at the end of the report, so we'll probably get there, where we've heard numbers much larger than that. And I mean, I've heard 2.5 billion, I've heard 2.7 billion. And I don't necessarily know that we need to go grab every reference to what it has been, but I just want to make sure
that everybody is comfortable that we've addressed the seemingly unlimited nature of our share of the costs with respect to Vogtle. And we've got 1.2 billion in a couple places in the report.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Mr. Anderson, do you want to jump in?

COUNCIL MEMBER ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Crescimbeni.

So I see what you're trying to do. You're trying to really put some footnotes in and make sure we can point back to where these numbers came from. And so these numbers, it says here that it was the PFM potential liability. And is that the biggest issue from your standpoint? Because that was where that number came from.

PRESIDENT BROSCH: It is where that came from. And we reference it as well as on page 5 when the Council Auditor picked up the same number from the same PFM report. And I'm not sure that we necessarily can change it because we've sourced it from there. I'm just saying that, you know, we've heard numbers much larger than that in
the Times Union articles, and I'm not sure
if we even -- it matters or not.

COUNCIL MEMBER ANDERSON: I think your
point is valid; and that is, that the
Committee heard testimony that the numbers
could be -- depending upon market
conditions, it could have been higher, it
could be lower. And I agree with you.

I also think we might need -- might want
to do a little bit more work on this
paragraph, because the way that I understand
the agreement working is it's only a
take-or-pay contract. And so that means
that if the power plant is never completed,
is never completed, we still have to pay our
portion of it. So if we don't take any
power at all from it, we still have to pay
for it, right. And I don't think it says
that here.

PRESIDENT BROSCH: Well, it does say --
through the Chair, it does say, and right
before that sentence that starts with PFM,
that "JEA's agreement to purchase power from
Plant Vogtle does not have a cap on
construction costs." So I think that does
address the unlimited nature of it. I don't recall it saying that we pay for it whether we take power or not.

COUNCIL MEMBER ANDERSON: That's the case, though; right? Yeah.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Going back to your point, Ms. Brosche, I remember a bigger number too. I went and looked for it in the record, couldn't find it. I thought maybe Mr. McElroy had mentioned it when he was here at our meeting. And I went through and read all the transcripts that had anything to do with Plant Vogtle; it just wasn't there. We were looking for that to insert it, but didn't feel comfortable lifting it out of --

PRESIDENT BROSCHÉ: Okay. Thank you.

And to Councilman Anderson's point, the very last sentence of that paragraph, "JEA's power purchase obligations to Plant Vogtle end 20 years after power begins being produced," should we just say regardless of whether or not JEA takes -- or utilizes power, although the expected life span of the plant is 40 years? Or of which JEA may
take -- you know, I don't --

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Well, the

previous sentence clearly talks about an

obligation occurring even if the plant never

produces power.

PRESIDENT BROSCHÉ: Mr. Anderson, are

you okay with that?

COUNCIL MEMBER ANDERSON: He's right.

PRESIDENT BROSCHÉ: Okay. So we're good

with that then, I think.

In the middle paragraph under 5, the

second sentence, starting "The JEA," I would

remove "the." And then there is another

"The JEA" one, two, three, four, five, six,

seven, eight, the eighth line down when

we're talking about Melissa Dykes, President

and Chief Operating Officer of JEA.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Just delete the

word "the."

PRESIDENT BROSCHÉ: Yes. Flipping over

on page 10, I didn't go back and check, and

maybe Mr. Clements can help us find it, but

in the second paragraph, the first full

paragraph, the PFM --

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Wait. The first
full paragraph, got it.

PRESIDENT BROSCHÉ: The first full paragraph on page 10, we -- about the fourth line down, we say, "Transition to the Florida Public Service Commission." There are other places that we start to say PSC, so we probably ought to define and just put PSC after the Florida Public Service Commission. We may have done that somewhere else before and then are just interchangeably incorporating it, but in case that's the first place we use it, I would define PSC, because in the rest of that paragraph, we start saying PSC.

And the next paragraph under 7 --

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: We should probably also put the word "private" in front of "buyer."

PRESIDENT BROSCHÉ: Where are you?

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: That same sentence.

PRESIDENT BROSCHÉ: Oh, "for a private buyer of JEA's assets," okay.

In the next paragraph, we have some of those weird underlines again around those
exhibits, so after Exhibit 12, "in April 2018" and then between "half of."

In the next paragraph, third line up, I don't remember if we had defined IOUs yet. So if we haven't, if we could spell it out there. If we never use it again, then we could just leave it -- well, we should probably define it, spell it out and then put that IOU in paren.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Anybody object to that? If anybody has a problem, just holler out, okay.

PRESIDENT BROSCHÉ: Next page, 11, the first paragraph has one of those weird underlines, one, two, three, four lines down between "volume" and "sales."

Next page, 12, second paragraph, I believe, but I don't -- I didn't have my paperwork to find it myself. I believe that the Civic Council has cochairs. I know we only heard from Mr. Ward. And so if we want to verify that it -- either just refer to him as the cochair, or I could be wrong.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: I think you're right. I think the second cochair is Bobby
PRESIDENT BROSCHÉ: That sounds right.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Yeah. I think it's Bobby Stein.

So, Jeff, let's make a change to that line. After that comma we'll just say cochaired by CSX former CEO Michael Ward and whatever the title is for Bobby Stein.

PRESIDENT BROSCHÉ: And then the only thing that is not necessarily in here and I looked back at the charge as revised under the Special Committee on the Future of JEA, which is back on page 2, one of the items, "Understand JEA's role in the consolidated government, contributions to the City of Jacksonville, governance practices, and future in the context of both changing technology and regulatory environment."

We really didn't touch much on governance. And I've had the opportunity to talk with some JEA board members, including some folks who are going through the process now, and I don't know that we -- well, I have not been pleased with the governance that has taken place during this whole
process, particularly that the PFM report
was initiated by the board chair without
consulting with the other board members.

And I'll give some thought as I put the
mic down in terms of whether or not that
needs to be a recommendation. I don't know
that we're necessarily making
recommendations to the JEA Board. I think
I've made it clear to a lot of folks that I
look forward to strength in governance of
the JEA Board. So I'll probably talk myself
out of it being a recommendation to us
that's listed on the back. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: On that point,
Ms. Brosche, I'd asked a question, I think,
back in April, I'd asked for a legal opinion
and got it yesterday from General Counsel's
Office. It's pretty short. I did not make
copies because I didn't really -- but this
kind of goes to your question. The question
I asked was does the Executive Director, CEO
or JEA Board Chair have the authority to
enter into such agreements. What I was
referencing, the 67 retention incentive
agreement letters that were sent to members
of the senior and executive leadership teams. And the short answer was no. And I think that might hold to what you're talking about.

So I'll send everybody -- I'll send out a copy of this to everybody. But I don't know if we -- how we could reference in the report at this point, that wasn't -- we're talking about it today. But I didn't have this until late yesterday, so.

PRESIDENT BROSCH: Well, thank you for sharing that. And I agree, because we really didn't in our conversations get into a lot of governance conversations. And this is a report to the Council, conclusions that we've made in our process that they may learn from. But I know I've expressed to a number of folks the desire to have strong governance, which I think has been lacking, because I think that's what gives us and the community the comfort that decisions are being made in a manner that we can trust, and that actions that are being taken have -- are actions that have been brought before the entire Board, and that the entire
Board has had the opportunity to weigh in.

We've had multiple examples where that hasn't been the case. And that's not good. So I'm okay with it not being. I think we have this. And I think there is an opportunity for us to be watching and asking questions and making sure that's the case.

I know that's been a big topic of discussion that I've had with the candidates who are going through as appointment.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: I actually have three legal opinions that I asked about during this process. And we can attach these to the -- one of them I got early on. It was a question about what was the definition of 10 percent, the charter talks about what constitutes -- or the Council has to approve a sale at 10 percent or more or in excess of 10 percent -- more than 10 percent, I think it's more than 10 percent.

Yeah, more than 10 percent. So I got a pretty nice legal opinion about what constitutes 10 percent.

In a nutshell, 8 percent today and 6 percent next week and 5 and a half percent
the week after that doesn't work. It's 10 percent and how -- this legal opinion talks about how that would be valued. So we can attach that.

We can attach this legal question about does anybody other than the Board itself have the ability or authority to cause consent of agreements to be offered.

The last legal opinion, which I also got yesterday from our paper request, was a question about -- actually, there were three questions. I'll read them to you pretty quickly here: Question one was if JEA were to sell 100 percent of the assets of JEA, must the Council approve by a majority vote or a supermajority vote of two-thirds of the Council; question two was whether a bundle of proposed ordinances to facilitate the outright complete sale of JEA could be cleverly packaged to require a majority vote instead of a two-thirds vote; and third was, if so, how could the ordinances relating to the sale of JEA, parenthesis, which seems to only require a majority vote to article 21.04(p), close parenthesis, not constitute
a de facto change to the charter inasmuch as the sale would eliminate all assets of JEA and, thereby, the ability as well of JEA to perform the duties detailed throughout article 21.

So that last question was to change the charter, you have to have a supermajority vote; to sell the assets you only have to have a majority vote. But if you're selling all these assets, would that be a de facto change in the charter because you have no more JEA? And the answer was it would not be a de facto change.

So I'll certainly send this out to -- I just got this yesterday as well. We can attach all those and make some reference in there.

PRESIDENT BROSCH: I think that would be great. And I just want to expand and clarify, just like every one of us has the ordinance code, particularly our Council rules and Roberts rules to help us know exactly how we're going to do business, and we can trust how we're going to conduct business, and the public can trust how we're
going to conduct business, that's my expectation, that we have that same kind of framework that exists at our independent authorities.

And so I appreciate you requesting those opinions. I think that would be helpful to attach.

And the same level of rules and parameters that exist around us that help us make sure that we can conduct business in a manner that we can trust is what I'm expecting here.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: I think we could probably make a reference to two out of three of these legal opinions in the body. I think we have a place in there we can certainly reference these. But we'll add them as an appendix or exhibit to the report.

Does anybody have anything else? Any other comments? All right. That's it. So what we're going to do then is we'll make sure all those changes get made. I'll proofread those. I made notes. We're going to kind of improve -- we'll do a style
change on this just to make it look a little bit more of something other than a term paper or something. And I will send that to you as a report of the Committee. Hopefully we can get that out before the end of the week. We'll do our best. We're going to have to hustle tomorrow.

All right. Well, thank you all for taking the time to read that and offer your comments.

Anyone from the audience care to address the Committee during the public comment portion? Do we have any speakers' cards? You can come up to the podium, sir, Chap Gray.

MR. GRAY: Yes, sir. My name is Chap Gray. My address is on record. I just want to take a moment to thank you-all for the time and the due diligence that you-all have given us over the last several months. I know this has been quite an ordeal, so I just wanted to thank you.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Thank you very much, sir. We appreciate that.

MS. GRANDIN: Okay. Mr. Chair, let's
see, Council Rule 2.214 is Special Committee Reports. And this is a special committee, of course. And it says, in such circumstances the report or approval or rejection of the committee, one, shall be made by a majority of the members of the committee, which of this committee is nine; two, shall be reduced to written form signed by the committee chair; and three, shall be retained by the chief of legislative services and the special committee report bank.

So the changes that you're making to the report today, Jeff can certainly do those. And I was telling Council Member Crescimbeni before the meeting that he can certainly send it to you as Council President, but the Committee would not be able to approve it because you don't have a quorum, so you can't vote. Quorum is nine.

And I'm not sure if Council President Designate, I mean, if he's going to extend this Committee or would be willing to do that for the time period in which to vote so that you would have a quorum; otherwise, it
ends the 30th.

So by not having nine people here, you have a very good report, but you can't approve it.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: All right. Well, this Committee was extended to 19 by a Council vote; correct?

MS. GRANDIN: I believe so.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: So we can't change that, can we? All right. Well, thanks for that information, Ms. Grandin.

THE BAILIFF: How many you need, three?

I'll be back.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Mr. Anderson.

COUNCIL MEMBER ANDERSON: Thank you. We'll work this out, Mr. Chairman. It's going to work itself out. I just want to thank you for really leading this charge. You were, I thought, exceptionally fair-minded, balanced in the way you approached this, yet you let --

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Sounds like a Fox News commercial.

COUNCIL MEMBER ANDERSON: Well, you let us ask a lot of questions. And I know I got
on people's nerves for asking so many questions, but it was totally fascinating. I think we covered some information that I didn't know. Several aha moments for me, one was that you can't build into a purchase and sale agreement any limitations on utility rates. That, to me, was an aha moment; I didn't know that.

So I also, I think, understanding sort of the nature of our nuclear liability was a huge -- I don't know if it's an aha experience, but it was certainly an experience.

So, Mr. Crescimbeni, job well done. Really, you did a great job in all this and I want to thank you for your leadership.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Thank you, Mr. Anderson. I can tell you that I've been a JEA customer since 1965. I've been a ratepayer since 1980. And I've been on the Council for almost 18 years, and two tours of duty, and I learned a lot about a utility that's across the street that's delivering service to my residence that I never knew before.
And we all asked a lot of questions because there were a lot of questions that needed to be asked. In the absence of those, we probably wouldn't have learned anything. So thank you for your questions, thank everybody for their questions.

And thank Ms. Brosche -- I'll thank the Council President in having enough faith in me to take this assignment on. I have to tell you the first few meetings were a little rocky.

And I think the one that we had the two members -- the two visitors refuse to take an oath, my wife and I went out to dinner that night. I said -- she says, how was your day. I said, I think I'm going to have my first beer for the year. It was a tough, tough day. There's only been a couple of beers for the year, but that was a rough day.

Council President.

PRESIDENT BROSCHÉ: Mr. Chairman, I want to echo everything that Councilman Anderson said and just thank you for saying yes. I think you did an outstanding job. And I
really appreciate all the hard work, lots of work.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Thank you very much. Next time you ask me something, it's probably going to be no. I've learned.

All right. Well, we'll give our police officer a few minutes to make a sweep of the floor.

I saw Carter's car and I saw Newby when I was leaving.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Any other public comment? We're trying to -- this is on the record, so I'll give you my personal thoughts after. We got a court reporter here. What's that? I will say on the record that one thing that -- probably the most impressive thing that I learned throughout this process, as I said earlier having been a customer, citizen of Jacksonville since 1965, a ratepayer since 1980, I think one of the most impressive things I learned was the Plant Vogtle obligation, that's pretty significant. Not being able to constrain or work out some
sort of rate limitation agreement for the perspective purchaser, that was pretty significant.

Probably the most significant thing that I discovered was notwithstanding the general fund contribution that JEA makes to the City, and the fees that they collect or any other utility company would collect for us that come back to the City, JEA's other contributions to the City were way beyond what I ever thought they would be. And that goes from everything from employee volunteerism, to stroking checks to help buy preservation land or participate in this neighborhood event or sponsor this community event or help with failing septic tank phase-outs. When you go through that list, which captured a lot of things, probably not everything, pretty impressive.

I had a -- woke up in the middle of the night this week and called Jordan Monday and said, what about sales tax, does JEA pay sales tax, because private companies get to pay sales tax. And the answer was, in many instances, JEA does not pay sales tax. I
think you told me that is probably worth --
you don't track it, but several million
dollars a year to the ratepayer.

So FEMA reimbursement, sales tax
savings, those things may not look
significant in and of themselves, but when
you roll them all up into something with the
contributions that come to this community,
it's a huge value, in my opinion. It would
be difficult to be replaced by someone that
was trying to make a 10 and a half rate of
return on their base investment.

Why don't we take just a 10-minute
recess or less and wait for the police
officer to come back. So we'll just stand
in recess.

(Brief recess.)

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Okay. We're
going to reconvene the Special Committee on
the Future of JEA. It is -- I think we went
past 10 minutes, it's actually 11 minutes
after 5:00. We are not going to be able to
achieve quorum.

Thank you, Mr. Dennis, for coming in.
Thank you, Mr. Carter, for coming in.
Ms. Boyer was here, but apparently she left. And we can't seem to reach anybody else.

So, Ms. Grandin, what is your recommendation at this point?

MS. GRANDIN: Mr. Chair, what you would need to do is accept the draft -- or accept the report in draft form, send it to Council President, and then it will be taken up the first meeting in July that you guys have. So the full Council can accept the report. Since you don't have a quorum, you can't accept --

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: How does it get on the agenda for July?

MS. GRANDIN: I'm not certain about that. I'd have to check, but there is a way to do it. I can contact Ms. Sidman about that.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Will we find out before business on Friday?

MS. GRANDIN: Sure, I will do that.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Thank you. All right. Any other announcements?

Well, thank you all very much for --
particularly the new committee members that got added to this group, who have persevered until the very end, I appreciate that. There was a lot of discussion about everybody wanting to be on this Committee.

Mr. Ferraro, Mr. Anderson, Mr. Love, you have been here, thank you all for participating, Mr. Wilson.

You heard the advice from legal, so that's what we'll do. And if nothing else, thank you again. This Committee meeting for JEA is adjourned.

(Meeting adjourned at 5:13 p.m.)
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