SPECIAL COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE MEETING
Monday, April 1st, 2019, 1:00 p.m.
Ed Ball Building, 214 North Hogan Street, 8th Floor
Room 851, Board Room “A”

CSPEC AGENDA
The Chief of the Procurement Division offers the following items for the CSPEC Agenda:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>DEPT. OR DIV.</th>
<th>VENDOR</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. CDB-0113-19</td>
<td>REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS (PART 1) URBAN CORE RIVERFRONT REVITALIZATION AND COMPLETE STREETS: HART BRIDGE EXPRESSWAY &amp; TALLEYRAND CONNECTOR</td>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS</td>
<td>(2) HAL JONES CONTRACTOR</td>
<td>REQUEST TO APPROVE SCORED AND RANKED FIRMS AS MOST QUALIFIED AND TO PROCEED TO RFP PART 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1) SUPERIOR CONSTRUCTION COMPANY SOUTHEAST, LLC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CSPEC AGENDA
MOVED BY: ____________________________ SECONDED BY: ____________________________

Upon request, a copy of the minutes, as well as an audio recording of this meeting, is available to all interested persons.

This agenda is subject to additions and/or deletions.

cc: CORPORATION SECRETARY
COUNCIL AUDITOR
COUNCIL SECRETARY
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL
SUBJECT: DESIGN BUILD- RFQ PART 1- URBAN CORE RIVERFRONT REVITALIZATION AND COMPLETE STREETS:
HART BRIDGE EXPRESSWAY & TALLEYRAND CONNECTOR

BID # CDB-0113-19
OPEN DATE: 2019-03-20

COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE

KIND AND BASIS OF CONTRACT:
CONSTRUCTION - DESIGN/BUILD- PRE-QUALIFICATIONS

AGENCY: DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

BASIS OF AWARD: EVALUATION CRITERIA

NUMBER OF BIDS INVITED: 5 NUMBER RECEIVED: 2 OTHER: 0

SUMMARY OF BIDS AND RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
Subject bid was approved on 03/25/19 to proceed with evaluation of the two proposals received from Hal Jones Contractor, and Superior Construction Company Southeast, LLC. The city had previously issued this RFQ, and the evaluation committee has evaluated proposals. Based upon the proposal evaluation, the average scores and rankings are as follows (Listed in alphabetical order):

2) Hal Jones Contractor (Score) 17.75
1) Superior Construction (Score) 17.80

Pursuant to Section 2.5 Evaluation of Statement of Qualifications (Part I), 2.6 Basis of Determining Qualified DB Firm (Part 1), recommend approval to proceed onto Part 2.

Attachments: Recommendation Memo, Scoring Matrix, Bid Tabulation, Section 2.5 and 2.6, Previous Award

ANALYST: MARILYN LABLER

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED: GREGORY PEASE, CHIEF PROCUREMENT DIVISION

CONCURRENCE BY: William J. Joyce, P.E., Director of Operations, Public Works Department

(ALL AWARD ACTIONS SUBJECT TO LAWFULLY APPROPRIATED FUNDS)
ACTION OF CSPEC COMMITTEE ON RECOMMENDATIONS ABOVE

MEMBERS APPROVING: MEMBERS DISAPPROVING: DATE:

OTHER:

ACTION OF AWARDING AUTHORITY DATE:

APPROVED: DISAPPROVED:

OTHER:

SIGNATURE OF AUTHENTICATION:
March 29, 2019

TO: Gregory W. Pease
Chief of Procurement

THRU: John P. Pappas, P.E.
Director

FROM: William J. Joyce, P.E.
Director of Operations

SUBJECT: Design Build: Urban Core Riverfront Revitalization and Complete Streets- Hart Bridge Expressway and Talleyrand Connector
Solicitation No.: CDB-0113-19
Original Award: Part 2

The Department of Public Works, in accordance with Design/Build CDB-0113-19 has evaluated and scored the responses received from the two qualified firms.

The proposals were evaluated using the criteria outlined in the Purchasing Code as augmented by the RFP (see attached matrix).

Based on the above, the following firms listed alphabetically were determined to be qualified. The ranking of first and second designates the order of qualification of these firms to perform the required services.

1. Superior Construction, Inc.
2. Hal Jones Contractor, LLC

We now request permission to move on to Part 2 of the Design Build RFP for submittal of technical drawings and price proposals.

JPP/lw

Attachments

cc: Kelsey Cox, P.E., Project Manager
    Lori West, PW Contract Specialist
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contractor Names</th>
<th>Reviewer 1</th>
<th>Reviewer 2</th>
<th>Reviewer 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hal Jones</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS (PART 1)
URBAN CORE RIVERFRONT REVITALIZATION
AND COMPLETE STREETS: HART BRIDGE

TIRE: EXPRESSWAY & TALLEYRAND CONNECTOR

CONTRACTOR A

SUPERIOR CONSTRUCTION

BID NO. CDB-0113-19 (PW)
OPEN DATE: MARCH 20, 2019 @ 2:00 PM
BUYER: MARILYN LAIDLER, PURCHASING ANALYST

No. Invited: (5) No. Received (2) No Bids: (0)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>QTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION- CONTRACTOR REQUIREMENTS: MUST BE LICENSED GENERAL CONTRACTOR CURRENTLY LICENSED IN STATE OF FLORIDA, ETC- FDOT REQUIREMENTS, PRE-QUALIFIED IN CITY'S HORIZONTAL CATEGORY.</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES REQUIREMENT- FDOT PREQUALIFICATION IN MINOR HIGHWAY DESIGN, ETC.</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: YES | YES
INSURANCE AGENT ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: YES | YES
ADDENDUM(S) (1,2,3) YES | YES
1) One (1) original signed version of its Response clearly marked as “ORIGINAL.” The Response must be signed by an officer or employee having authority to legally bind Contractor.

2) Five (5) hard copies of the entire Response.

3) One (1) digital scanned copy (in pdf format) of entire Response, on a separate CD-ROM or flash drive. Large files may be scanned as several separate PDF files.

4) One (1) REDACTED scanned copy of the Response (if necessary pursuant to Section 2.12). This copy should be marked “Confidential – Trade Secret” or something comparable to alert the reader of Contractor’s claim of a public records exemption.

All copies are to be placed in a sealed package. The outside must be marked with (i) the RFQ/RFP title and number, and (ii) Contractor’s name, address, contact person, and telephone number.

It is the sole responsibility of each Contractor to assure all copies are EXACT duplicates of the original Response. Photocopies or CD copies will be used for the purpose of evaluating the Responses. Any information contained in the original Response which has not been transferred to the CDs or photocopies will NOT be considered. The original document will be used solely for official record keeping and auditing purposes.

2.5 Evaluation of Statement of Qualifications (Part I).

City will determine the qualifications of design-build firms for the purposes of ranking the most qualified firms based on the evaluation criteria contained on Attachment B herein (the “Qualified DB Firms”). Before making an award, City reserves the right to seek clarifications, revisions, and information it deems necessary for the proper evaluation of Responses. Failure to provide any requested clarifications, revisions or information may result in rejection of the Response. City reserves the right to accept or reject any and all Statement of Qualifications, or separable portions thereof, and to waive any minor irregularity, technicality, or omission if City determines that doing so will serve City’s best interests. City may reject any Statement of Qualification not submitted in the manner specified by the RFQ/RFP.

2.6 Basis of Determining Qualified DB Firms (Part I).

Under Part I of this RFQ, the City will evaluate and rank the Qualified DB Firms based on the highest to lowest evaluation score given by the Technical Review Committee on the Statement of Qualifications based on the evaluation criteria contained on Attachment B attached hereto. Based on the evaluation results, City shall electronically post a notice of intended recommendation of the ranked Qualified DB Firms at City’s website. Please contact the Contact Person if you are uncertain of City’s website address or if you experience problems accessing it. Any person who is adversely affected by the decision shall file with City a notice of protest in accordance with the Protest provisions provided in Section 2.18 of this RFQ. City does not intend to provide tabulations or notices of award by telephone. The process for contract award for design-build contracts are set forth in Chapter 287, Florida Statutes, Jacksonville Ordinance Code Chapter 126, Parts 2 and 7; the City intends to award a contract to one of the Qualified DB Firms under Part II of this solicitation, which part will be issued subsequent to Part I as provided in Section 1.15 (Procurement Calendar (Schedule) of Events). Evaluation scores from this Part I RFP will be carried forward to the Part II RFP and incorporated into the final score for selection.

Once Part I of the RFP has been scored and ranked, the Competitive Sealed Proposal Evaluation Committee will approve the ranking after which the ranked Qualified DB Firms will have 4
calendar days to declare whether they wish to proceed to participate in Part II of the RFP. Notifications should be sent to the contact person identified in Section 1.12 of this RFP.

Part I and Part II of the solicitation will each contain evaluation criteria valued at 20 points for Part I and 80 points for Part II for a possible total score of 100 points. A lowest adjusted bid for each proposal will be calculated by dividing the bid price by the sum of the evaluation criteria scores for Part I and Part II. The Qualified DB Firm with lowest adjusted bid will be selected. The evaluation criteria categories for Part II will be as follows:

I. Compliance with the Design Criteria Package (50 Points).
II. Project Schedule and Ability to Provide Design-Build Services (30 Points)

2.7 Terms of Agreement. The design-build contract form will be included in Part II with the Competitive Solicitation Documents and associated attachments.

2.8 Public Meetings and Special Accommodations. Any non-exempt meetings of the RFQ/RFP evaluation committee shall be noticed on City’s website and shall comply with Florida’s Open Meetings Laws. Please contact the Contact Person if you are uncertain of City’s website address or if you experience problems accessing it. Persons requiring a special accommodation because of a disability should contact the Contact Person identified in Section 1 at least forty-eight (48) hours prior to the meeting.

2.9 Ex-Parte Communications. Communications regarding the RFQ/RFP by a potential vendor, service provider, bidder, lobbyist or consultant to city employees, staff, or hired consultants are prohibited. This prohibition includes communications with the City’s Office of General Counsel unless the Contact Person has authorized those communications in advance. Violations may result in the rejection/disqualification of a Response.

These prohibitions on ex-parte communications do not apply to the following:

* communications regarding the RFQ/RFP to the Chief of the Procurement Division or the Contact Person, provided the communication is limited strictly to matters of process or procedure already contained in the RFQ/RFP.
* communications with the city employee responsible for administering the Jacksonville Small Emerging Business Program, provided the communication is limited strictly to matters of programmatic process or procedures.
* communications at any pre-bid conferences.
* any duly noticed site visits to determine competency of bidders during the period between bid opening and issuance of the Chief of Procurement Division’s written recommendation.
* communications that are necessary for, and solely related to, the ordinary course of business concerning City’s existing contract(s) for the materials or services addressed in the RFQ/RFP.

The period for these prohibitions commences upon the advertisement of the RFQ and terminates after project award.

2.10 Cost of Developing RFQ/RFP Response. All costs related to the preparation of Responses and any related activities are the sole responsibility of Contractor. City assumes no liability for any costs incurred by Contractors throughout the entire selection process.

2.11 Response Ownership. All Responses, including attachments, supplementary materials, addenda, etc., shall become property of City and shall not be returned to Contractor. City will
SUBJECT: DESIGN BUILD - RFQ PART 1 - URBAN CORE RIVERFRONT REVITALIZATION AND COMPLETE STREETS:
HART BRIDGE EXPRESSWAY & TALLEYRAND CONNECTOR

BID # CDB-0113-19 OPEN DATE: 2019-03-20

COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE

KIND AND BASIS OF CONTRACT:
CONSTRUCTION – DESIGN/BUILD - PRE-QUALIFICATIONS

AGENCY: DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

BASIS OF AWARD: EVALUATION CRITERIA

NUMBER OF BIDS INVITED: 5 NUMBER RECEIVED: 2 OTHER: 0

SUMMARY OF BIDS AND RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:

Recommend approval to proceed with evaluation of the two proposals received from Hal Jones Contractor, and Superior Construction Company Southeast, LLC. Based on the review of the solicitation documents, market reach and survey of the plan holders list, no advantage would be gained by the re-solicitation of this RFQ.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

[Signature]

GREGORY PEASE, CHIEF PROCUREMENT DIVISION

CONCURRENCE BY: William J. Joyce, P.E., Director of Operations, Public Works Department

[Signature]

(ALL AWARD ACTIONS SUBJECT TO LAWFULLY APPROPRIATED FUNDS)

ACTION OF CSPEC COMMITTEE ON RECOMMENDATIONS ABOVE

MEMBERS APPROVING 5 MEMBERS DISAPPROVING 0 DATE: 3/25/19

[Signatures]

ACTION OF AWARDING AUTHORITY

DATE 3/25/19

[Signature]

SIGNATURE OF AUTHENTICATION

Sam E. Mousa
Chief Administrative Officer
For: Mayor Lenny Curry
Under Authority of:
Executive Order No. 2015-05