JACKSONVILLE POLICE AND FIRE PENSION FUND SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES October 9, 2015 DATE: TTME: 9:30 to 11:00 a.m. Jacksonville Police and Fire Pension Fund PLACE: One West Adams Street Suite 100 Jacksonville, Florida 32202 BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Larry Schmitt, Board Chair Richard Tuten, III, Secretary (via phone) Adam Herbert, Trustee Nathaniel Glover, Jr., Trustee William E. Scheu, Trustee (via phone) ALSO PRESENT: John Keane, Consultant Paul Daragjati, Board Counsel Debbie Manning, Executive Assistant Joey Greive, Fund Treasurer Tommy Hazouri, City Council Liaison Stephen Durden, Office of General Counsel Loree French, Office of General Counsel Lawsikia Hodges, Office of General Counsel These agenda matters of the special meeting of the JPFPF Board of Trustees came on to be heard at the time and place aforesaid, when and where the following proceedings were reported by: Denice C. Taylor, FPR AAA Reporters 233 East Bay Street, Suite 912 Jacksonville, Florida 32202 904.354.4789

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		PAGE
3	Public speaker	
4	Loree French addresses the Board	4
5	Amendment to Senior Staff Voluntary	0
6	Retirement Plan	9 51
7	Unused annual leave payout	
8	Consulting contract	52
9	Email regarding airline ticket	57
10	Forensic audit	58
11	Public records requests	71
12	Certificate of reporter	81
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	5
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	October 9, 2015 9:30 a.m.
3	
4	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: It's 9:30. I call the
5	meeting to order.
6	MR. KEANE: Everyone please rise and join me
7	as we, pledge allegiance to the flag of the
8	United States of the America, and to the Republic
9	for which it stands, one nation, under God,
10	indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.
11	Thank you very much. Please be seated.
12	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Please let the record
13	reflect, obviously, I'm here. Trustee Herbert
14	and Trustee Glover are also present, and Trustees
15	Tuten and Scheu are on the phone.
16	The first order of business is related to
17	the Senior Staff Voluntary Retirement Plan, a
18	creation of Section 10, for Excess Benefit
19	Arrangements.
20	You-all have the attachment. This has been
21	discussed at several previous meetings
22	MR. KEANE: Public speaking.
23	MS. MANNING: We do have a public speaker.
24	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Oh, I'm sorry. I
25	apologize. I skipped that.

MR. LEE: My name is Curtis Lee. My address 1 is on file. 2 3 I remind you, all trustees, that I have 4 asked for many documents in my email yesterday. 5 You are on notice --6 MR. TUTEN: Hello? Hello? 7 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Can't quite hear. We'll have him speak up a little bit. 8 I remind all the trustees that I 9 MR. LEE: have asked for many documents. See my email 10 yesterday. It puts you-all on notice. I really 11 don't want to sue, but I will if I have to. 12 All right. On the topic of Mr. Keane and 13 compensation and so on. The trustees' mantra 14 seems to be, see no evil, hear no evil, speak no 15 evil. It's an odd way for any board of trustees 16 17 to operate and in public. Number 1: Mr. Keane stonewalled Ed Siedle, 18 respected forensic auditor, causing the City 19 20 Council to approve a subpoena. Apparently you 21 don't care. 22 Number 2: John Keane many times violated 23 the law, including the Public Records Act, 24 assisted by Bob Klausner. Not only do you not 25 care, but you allowed Mr. Klausner to personally

1	profit. Mr. Keane was never penalized. Instead,
2	he has been publicly praised.
3	Number 3: Mr. Keane disgraced the pension
4	fund and earned the contempt of Jacksonville's
5	leaders and residents with his many excesses and
б	abuse of the public trust. You don't care and
7	you still praise him.
8	MR. SCHEU: Hello?
9	MR. LEE: Number 4
10	MR. TUTEN: Yeah, I can't I can't hear.
11	I'm having trouble hearing Larry. I don't know
12	if the microphone freezes up or what.
13	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We'll turn the phone a
14	little bit.
15	MS. MANNING: Okay. Go ahead. He's going
16	to talk some more.
17	MR. LEE: Number 4: Other critical
18	information is sent to you. You don't respond.
19	Are you too biased to read and understand?
20	In conclusion, please, start caring. Show
21	you care by having someone else manage the
22	pension fund now. How about an existing staffer?
23	There are about seven of them, most of them with
24	a lot of service.
25	How about a city official? How about an

1	outsider? In conclusion, you trustees have
2	violated your fiduciary duties for years with
3	respect to Mr. Keane.
4	He does not deserve to have the position as
5	a consultant. He does not deserve to have a
б	contract. Integrity and the appearance of
7	integrity matter.
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. Any other
10	public comments?
11	MS. MANNING: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Good morning.
13	MS. FRENCH: Loree French with Office of
14	General Counsel. I'm here on behalf of the
15	general counsel.
16	I think it's his understanding at this point
17	in time that the amendment to the senior plan was
18	going to be deferred from this meeting, and I
19	guess I really just wanted to confirm whether
20	that was correct or not before the meeting goes
21	over and the public speaking opportunities have
22	passed.
23	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Well, we won't know until
24	we get to that on the agenda, but you're more
25	than welcome to comment on it now.

MS. FRENCH: Okay. It's simply that this 1 Board knows what the -- this Board knows what the 2 3 position of the general counsel is. 4 There was already an opinion issued by the 5 former general counsel on the senior plan and, 6 therefore, to go forward with the amendment to 7 the senior staff plan to create this excess benefit arrangement, the general counsel believes 8 would also be an unauthorized action. 9 So the general counsel is basically 10 requesting respectfully at this time that you 11 defer action on that amendment to the senior 12 13 plan. 14 Thank you. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: One question for general 15 16 counsel. There are three different attorneys 17 from the general counsel's office here. 18 Do all three of you share that same opinion? 19 Are you required to? 20 MS. HODGES: I'm actually only here to 21 answer contract questions. So that's why I'm 22 present today. Loree is --23 MR. SCHEU: Mr. Chairman, can you describe 24 what opinion you're talking about? 25 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: The opinion of the

1	general counsel on the Senior Staff Voluntary
2	Retirement Plan that was, in their opinion, not
3	authorized.
4	MS. FRENCH: It was the opinion issued by
5	Cindy Laquidara back in 2012.
6	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: The opinion issued by
7	Cindy Laquidara back in 2012.
8	MS. FRENCH: That's all I have to say.
9	Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay.
11	DR. HERBERT: Will you be here for the
12	balance of the meeting?
13	MS. FRENCH: I can stay.
14	MR. TUTEN: What are we proposing that we
15	need to be doing here? I mean, are we and I
16	apologize. It's hard to tell when somebody else
17	is talking.
18	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Right.
19	MR. TUTEN: Are we now on the agenda for
20	2015-8-1?
21	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: If there are no other
22	public comments is that correct? we'll
23	close the public comment period and then we'll
24	start with the agenda.
25	And, yes, the first item on the agenda is

1	2015-8-1, which is the Amendment to the Senior
2	Staff Voluntary Retirement Plan. We've discussed
3	this at several Board meetings, and to give you a
4	little bit more of an overview, Paul, if you
5	wouldn't mind.
б	MR. DARAGJATI: Sure.
7	The plan has already approved a fund benefit
8	pension for Mr. Keane. What this
9	MR. SCHEU: Could you speak closer to the
10	microphone?
11	MR. DARAGJATI: Sure. I'll try and speak
12	up, Bill. I apologize.
13	The plan has already approved a defined
14	benefit pension plan the defined benefit
15	pension for Mr. Keane.
16	What this 415(m) plan does is it's an
17	arrangement whereby the excess beyond which the
18	IRS places a limit on a benefit can be paid. The
19	excess would get paid not out of the corpus of
20	the fund, but out of the administrative cost of
21	the fund.
22	The bottom line is that in Florida, once a
23	person achieves his standard and I'm trying to
24	place this in as common English as possible.
25	Once he has achieved this standard defined

benefit limitation so that he has earned his 1 pension, it cannot be diminished. Therefore, 2 3 what the IRS has provided is a means by which 4 excess beyond that 415 limit can be paid. And 5 this is only an administrative method of paying 6 that full pension which has been earned by the 7 employee. I'm not quite sure -- I won't comment on 8 what the public comments were, but in essence, 9 all this Board is doing is approving an 10 administrative method of paying what the Board 11 owes Mr. Keane. 12 13 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And I have a question, Bill, if you don't have one first. 14 So this is not whether the money is owed. 15 It's whether it's paid within the IRS 16 17 regulations? That is correct. 18 MR. DARAGJATI: The IRS 19 415 limits a person's benefit to a certain amount 20 depending upon their age. And in John's case, I believe it's somewhere around 211-. I don't 21 22 remember the exact number. Excuse me, 261-. 23 So the excess of 261- still has to be paid 24 under Florida law, and Section 415(m) of the IRS 25 code provides for a means of doing that.

And, again, it's not paid out of the corpus of the fund. It's paid out of the administrative cost. And what happens is the actuary for the fund will calculate ahead of time how much that cost is, and it ends up being a zero net basically to the fund. It doesn't have any extra cost.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. So if the Board does not make this amendment to the retirement plan, we're still required to pay out the full amount. If we pay out that full amount as the plan is in its current state, it would have to be paid out of the corpus of the pension, which would violate the IRS regulations?

MR. DARAGJATI: That is correct. The plan
cannot pay beyond the IRS limit. If it does,
then it risks losing its qualified status as a
defined benefit pension plan.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: But in order to comply
 with Florida laws related to retirements, we have
 to pay that amount?

22 MR. DARAGJATI: That is correct. Yes. 23 That's why --

24 MR. SCHEU: Mr. Chair, again, I can't see, 25 so whenever you get ready to call for questions,

1	this is Bill Scheu and I've got several comments.
2	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Go ahead, Bill.
3	MR. SCHEU: I've got real concerns about
4	this, and I appreciate Paul's opinion. But I
5	think that our counsel is really the Office of
6	General Counsel. And I want to lay out the
7	questions that I have, and then people can
8	discuss them or respond to them.
9	Number 1: It seems to me that the plan, as
10	previously enacted, was subject to whatever the
11	law was. So even if the plan was the senior
12	staff was to receive X dollars, it was subject to
13	the limitations of the Internal Revenue Service
14	code. So that's subject to that.
15	So what we're now doing is approving
16	retroactively an increase in the plan for work
17	done in the past.
18	Several queries: Can we do that? Are we
19	prohibited by law from making a retroactive
20	granting on the retirement benefits?
21	Number 2: Is it, in fact, a bonus rather
22	than retirement, which I believe I have not
23	researched but, I believe we're not authorized to
24	pay a bonus for any employee.
25	Number 3: From where will it be funded?

1 You've answered that. From the operating expenses. Well, is it in the budget? 2 Did we --3 was this part of the budget that was approved by 4 the City Council? If not, how are we going to 5 pay for it? 6 And I've seen the Barnworth (phonetic) 7 opinion that, Mr. Chair, you kindly furnished to us this morning, but I believe it is trumped by 8 Section 14.01 and .02 of the charter, which were 9 not discussed in that opinion. In fact, they 10 overlooked -- the opinion said that there was 11 nothing in the charter. 12 But I'd just call to your attention Section 13 14.01 of the charter which says that each 14 independent agency entitled to receive 15 appropriations from the council shall also 16 17 prepare and submit a proposed budget for 18 operations -- for the employee or to the council. 14.02. At the conclusion of the public 19 20 hearings, the council shall adopt and approve the 21 budgets submitted to them with such changes as 22 the council may deem appropriate, blah, blah, 23 blah. 24 Also, Section 54.07 of the charter says that 25 the council reviews all independent agencies'

1	budgets. So as far as the funding, I have deep
2	concerns about that.
3	Section 22.07(a) of the charter says that
4	the Police and Fire Pension Board does not have
5	any power to amend the plan without the approval
6	of the City Council. That's section 22.07(a).
7	(Interrupting cough) the Barnworth opinion
8	and the citation to the ordinance code, the
9	charter (inaudible) that you cited in your email.
10	So legally I don't think we have the power to do
11	this.
12	Fourth: This is the third retirement plan
13	for this would be particularly for Mr. Keane.
14	Who is the administrator of that plan? Is it the
15	full Board? Is it Mr. Keane, if he is
16	administering the plan for himself?
17	We're in the middle of a dispute with the
18	City Council as to whether or not the plan itself
19	was legal, exacerbating the problem, which would
20	throw this in the face of the City Council, by
21	amending this plan today.
22	I believe as I said last week that we should
23	request a formal opinion from the OGC as to our
24	powers with respect to this plan. I don't
25	believe the Crescimbeni memorandum is a binding

1	letter. I said that before. It's just a memo
2	from the general counsel to Mr. Crescimbeni as a
3	council member.
4	There would be no standing for the Board to
5	challenge that either in circuit court or to the
6	attorney general, what is the remedy provided
7	under the charter. So I don't think that that
8	rises to the level of an opinion.
9	Finally, I believe that we may have personal
10	liability as trustees if we amend this plan if it
11	is illegal, and I'll cite you to 22.05(b) of the
12	charter, which says I've misplaced that at the
13	moment. Let me see if I can find it.
14	In any event, let me start with
15	liabilities it's a consequence of an opinion
16	from counsel. And I believe we need a written
17	opinion. So I intend to vote against this, but I
18	would propose that we defer it and refer to the
19	Office of General Counsel for a full and binding
20	opinion.
21	Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. On your
23	recommendation, are you also saying that we
24	should not pay his regular pension amount?
25	MR. SCHEU: I think we should pay the

reduced amount until we see that it's limited by 1 ERISA or the Internal Revenue Code, whichever it 2 3 is. We clearly have an obligation to do that. 4 But I'm not convinced at this point that our counsel -- and I know Paul is in some fashion our 5 6 counsel, but we're required under the charter to 7 use the OGC as our counsel. And I think we should request a binding 8 opinion to protect ourselves and to ensure that 9 we're doing it right. 10 I would also -- well, that's all I've got to 11 12 say. Okay. Well, I mean, 13 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: we've had discussions about counsel before. 14 We're also authorized to have our own independent 15 counsel as well. So I just wanted to clarify 16 17 that. 18 And then you used the term several times, the term "illegal." I think it's been clarified 19 20 that illegal means that the trustees who set up 21 this plan in the first place did something 22 criminal, and certainly I don't think there's any 23 evidence to indicate that they did something 24 illegal. 25 I certainly did not mean it that MR. SCHEU:

way. I don't know whether it was improper or not. That's why we need to get a binding opinion from the general counsel. We have Mr. Klausner's opinion, but we also have our -- under the charter, we're required to use the general counsel's office.

1

2

3

4

5

6

19

25

7 It's true that we have the ability to hire our own counsel under Article 22 of the charter, 8 but we're also limited by other provisions in the 9 charter which require us to use the general 10 counsel. And the procedure is that the general 11 counsel works with the independent authority to 12 name special counsel when necessary, such as for 13 pension matters. 14

15 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Right, which this is. 16 MR. SCHEU: I would just feel much more 17 comfortable in acting as a fiduciary if we 18 requested the general counsel to approve it.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay.

20 MR. SCHEU: To look at it. They may not 21 approve it. Then we would have an option under 22 the charter to take appropriate -- to seek 23 appropriate relief either with the attorney 24 general or in circuit court.

MR. TUTEN: If I might chime in for just a

second.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

I don't disagree with, one, the legal opinion, Bill. I think we already know how the general counsel feels, because as you've stated, he already issued a memo to Councilman Crescimbeni. So I don't think his official opinion is probably going to deviate much from that. Okay?

Second thing. Someone -- and I know I've asked John this before, but aren't there other independent agencies in the city that have done this? In other words, they paid the ERISA amount, but then they paid the extra amount.

I believe our former Chairman Bussells is one of them that had a special arrangement like this set up. Am I correct? And I know there are others, especially with the JEA where their pensions were over the amount.

19CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: You are correct. I think20it's --

21 MR. SCHEU: -- retroactive. We don't know 22 the circumstances. As far as the general 23 counsel's opinion is concerned, we don't know 24 what the general counsel would say because the 25 general counsel is -- when Cindy did her memo,

1	she didn't deal with all the facts.
2	As for example, with John it's always been
3	he's not an employee of the city. All that would
4	need to be framed properly to get a proper
5	opinion from the general counsel.
6	MR. TUTEN: Well, Bill, I understand that.
7	And like I said, I don't, you know, want to take
8	the cynical view here. But the general counsel,
9	they have the people that are the bosses of them.
10	You know, I see a potential, a slight
11	potential I hate to say conflict of interest,
12	because I'm assuming that everyone is going to do
13	what's right all the time.
14	That said, if you were to say we're going to
15	go to a third party or the attorney general in
16	Tallahassee for an opinion, that would be one
17	thing.
18	But me, personally, the City Council is
19	going to say, Look, your lawyer is working for
20	you guys. And I'm going to say, Well, you know,
21	the general counsel works for the council.
22	So we're kind of spinning our wheels here,
23	if what I'm saying. Even if the OGC comes back
24	and says whatever they say, then it just it
25	doesn't make any sense.

1	And I go, once again, by precedent. Someone
2	needs to tell me what other independent agencies
3	have done in similar circumstances.
4	MR. DARAGJATI: Rich, in Section 120
5	MR. SCHEU: Well, I'm talking too much, but
6	the charter provides that the general counsel
7	he or she does not work for any particular agency
8	or the mayor even. He is vested with a lot of
9	power because he is the person who resolves
10	disputes, but it was set up so that we avoided
11	litigation.
12	So what the charter provides clearly is that
13	the general counsel issues a binding opinion to
14	bind the various entities in a dispute. If one
15	of those entities disagrees, then the remedy is
16	either to seek an opinion from the attorney
17	general or a judgment from the circuit court in a
18	declaratory rights action.
19	That's what the charter providers for the
20	remedy. We can't go to the attorney general by
21	ourselves. I don't really think the City Council
22	can go to the circuit court by itself without
23	seeking a real binding opinion from the general
24	counsel. But that's the way we our wise
25	forefathers decided that this consolidated

1 government would operate. That's one reason it's become a very efficient government. 2 3 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. I don't mean to 4 cut off the discussion, but we're starting to say 5 the same things over and over again. Steven Durden from the Office of General 6 7 Counsel is here. He'd like to make one comment about one of the issues. 8 MR. DURDEN: Very narrowly, just so you will 9 know, in order to get to the attorney general, 10 one thing that has to be done is that the person 11 or the organization or entity asking for the 12 attorney general opinion has to draft one to 13 start with. 14 So in a sense going to the general counsel 15 is going to be what is necessary, or whoever else 16 17 you ask as your lawyer to write the opinion, 18 there has to be an opinion sent to the AG first. 19 We did that recently with the -- the office 20 did that recently with the City Council 21 request -- or city request for an AG opinion on a 22 tax question, and the demand from the attorney 23 general is to draft the opinion first. 24 Just so you know, going to the general 25 counsel first is essentially what you would need

1	to do before you went to the attorney general
2	anyway, assuming that's who you felt was the
3	where you wanted to get to in the end.
4	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. Any other
5	comments?
6	MR. GLOVER: Let me just sum this up
7	MR. TUTEN: So basically, Bill, the proposal
8	is get an opinion from the OGC. If the Board
9	doesn't agree with it, then we get our lawyer to
10	take it to the attorney general for an official
11	opinion?
12	MR. SCHEU: Well, no. I think that we
13	reserve our rights. And I guess I'll move that
14	we refer this to the we defer it and refer it
15	to the general counsel for a formal binding
16	opinion, reserving our rights under the charter
17	to proceed thereafter, either to the circuit
18	court or to the attorney general.
19	MR. TUTEN: Okay.
20	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. Prior to the
21	hey, guys, prior to the motion, we have a little
22	bit more discussion from the trustees here.
23	Sheriff Glover.
24	MR. GLOVER: We need to put a motion on the
25	floor and see if we get a second, then open it up

1	for questioning.
2	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right.
3	So, Bill, do you want to restate your motion
4	for the record?
5	MR. SCHEU: Yes. I move that we defer this
6	matter and refer the matter to the Office of
7	General Counsel for a former binding opinion as
8	to our rights and responsibilities, and that we
9	reserve our rights after that either to accept
10	that opinion or to seek appropriate remedies from
11	either the circuit court or the attorney general,
12	as provided in the charter.
13	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.
14	Do we have discussion on the motion or a
15	second?
16	MR. GLOVER: I'm going to second it for
17	discussion.
18	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. Comments?
19	MR. TUTEN: Are we having discussion yet?
20	MR. GLOVER: Yeah. We're in discussion now.
21	Go ahead, Rich.
22	MR. TUTEN: I'm going to ask a simple
23	question for the record once again.
24	Is there anyone else in this city that's an
25	independent agency as ours, JTA, JEA, whomever,

that has a similar type retirement plan? Because 1 from the beginning, my understanding is this is 2 3 what this was. Look, we have ERISA rules. We're 4 going to pay John up to this, and then we're 5 going to set up a separate fund under the law to 6 pay the extra amounts. 7 And I just -- look, if there's no one else in the city that has this special type of 8 arrangement, even better. But if there are other 9 people in other agencies that have this similar 10 type arrangement where they have two --11 essentially two checks coming in every month, 12 even though it's the same pension, then I think 13 as a Board we open ourselves up to problems with 14 15 John because he's expecting to get paid. And it's just -- I just want to know if 16 17 there's precedence anywhere within the city. Ιf 18 someone else has this similar type of 19 arrangement, why was it legal? Why is it continuing to be legal? And what did they do 20 21 differently? Because we are an independent agency just like them. 22 23 MR. TUTEN: All right, Rich. 24 Treasurer Joey Greive is here, and I believe 25 he can answer part of your question.

MR. GREIVE: Sure. And I'll avoid wading 1 into the legal argument. We've got several 2 3 representatives from OGC here and Klausner's 4 firm. 5 But Section 124.01 of the municipal code, 6 authorizes an excess benefit arrangement under 7 415(m) for the general employees retirement system, the City of Jacksonville Employees 8 Retirement System, which covers general 9 employees, corrections; and there are -- you 10 know, JEA is a participant in that plan. 11 And, you know, there are a few former city 12 officials and a few formal JEA officials who are 13 currently receiving excess benefits under that 14 plan as authorized by City Council. 15 MR. TUTEN: And so, Joey, I'm sorry. 16 Ι 17 can't hardly hear you. You're such a 18 soft-talking man. 19 But you are saying there are other officials that do have an excess benefit plan? 20 Yes. Under 124.01 of the local 21 MR. GREIVE: municipal code. 22 23 MR. GLOVER: Rich, did you finish? 24 MR. TUTEN: Is that just a simple -- I just 25 need a yes or no.

1	MR. DARAGJATI: That's a yes.
2	MR. GLOVER: That's a yes, right, Joey?
3	MR. SCHEU: And we don't know the
4	circumstances of that.
5	Rich, your question is a good one, and
6	that's the kind of information we need formally
7	from our counsel to look at and give us the
8	particulars about.
9	This is really all speculation. What we're
10	doing is retroactively changing this agreement
11	after the service has been performed. And that
12	may not be what happened in these other
13	situations.
14	MR. GLOVER: Well, let me just get something
15	straight. Let's cut through all of the legal,
16	because I've been in a quagmire of legal opinions
17	in a number of instances, and what in my mind I
18	have to be concerned about is doing the right
19	thing for the right reasons.
20	Now, the question is simple to me. I think
21	if I go back to what we did for the pension
22	compromise with the our constituency, I have
23	to look back at the whole notion of what I felt
24	so badly about and still feel badly about is the
25	fact that after all is said and done, we cut a

1	deal with the police officers. And we said, If
2	you come on, here's the deal we have for you.
3	And then, of course, we got into the ran
4	into some problems with the pension, and I'm not
5	going to rehash all that. But we had to go back
6	and break the deal and come back with a
7	compromise and say, Okay, in order to sustain the
8	pension fund, we've got to cut this deal with
9	you. So, in essence, you're going to get a
10	little less than you started out with.
11	Now we get to this situation and, you see,
12	it's a similar situation to me because I'm going
13	to ask the question: When the Board approved the
14	plan, which was it obviously had an excess
15	component to it, did the Board know exactly what
16	it was doing?
17	And, in fact, knew that, Well, there is some
18	precedence for this, so we're going to go ahead
19	and okay this plan because at the end of the day
20	we'll be able to pay it out.
21	Is that my understanding?
22	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Sounds right to me.
23	MR. GLOVER: So here we go again. Now it
24	comes time to pay, and then we say, Oh, my
25	goodness, I know we told you this, but sorry

1about that. We're going to have to cut another2deal.3And I'm just going to tell you, I don't feel4comfortable with it. I do not feel comfortable5with it, and beyond all of the legal opinion back6and forth, general counsel says it's illegal, our7attorney says it's legal and we should do it.8And Joey says there's some precedence for it, and9probably that was taken into consideration when10we cut the deal.11It just seems to me that I cannot I12cannot go back on another deal. I just can't13swallow that. So that's my comments.14CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Dr. Herbert.15DR. HERBERT: Let me one of the things16that Bill has raised, I just want to ask our17counsel a question about this.18Is this a new deal? I mean, is this19something that should have been done at the20outset of approving the senior plan?21MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure22because I've heard the term "retroactive" and23"bonus."24The plan actuary has calculated what John's25benefit is, and it corresponds to exactly the		20
3And I'm just going to tell you, I don't feel4comfortable with it. I do not feel comfortable5with it, and beyond all of the legal opinion back6and forth, general counsel says it's illegal, our7attorney says it's legal and we should do it.8And Joey says there's some precedence for it, and9probably that was taken into consideration when10we cut the deal.11It just seems to me that I cannot I12cannot go back on another deal. I just can't13swallow that. So that's my comments.14CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Dr. Herbert.15DR. HERBERT: Let me one of the things16that Bill has raised, I just want to ask our17counsel a question about this.18Is this a new deal? I mean, is this19something that should have been done at the20outset of approving the senior plan?21MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure22because I've heard the term "retroactive" and23"bonus."24The plan actuary has calculated what John's	1	
4comfortable with it. I do not feel comfortable5with it, and beyond all of the legal opinion back6and forth, general counsel says it's illegal, our7attorney says it's legal and we should do it.8And Joey says there's some precedence for it, and9probably that was taken into consideration when10we cut the deal.11It just seems to me that I cannot I12cannot go back on another deal. I just can't13swallow that. So that's my comments.14CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Dr. Herbert.15DR. HERBERT: Let me one of the things16that Bill has raised, I just want to ask our17counsel a question about this.18Is this a new deal? I mean, is this19something that should have been done at the20outset of approving the senior plan?21MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure22because I've heard the term "retroactive" and23"bonus."24The plan actuary has calculated what John's	2	deal.
<pre>5 with it, and beyond all of the legal opinion back 6 and forth, general counsel says it's illegal, our 7 attorney says it's legal and we should do it. 8 And Joey says there's some precedence for it, and 9 probably that was taken into consideration when 10 we cut the deal. 11 It just seems to me that I cannot I 12 cannot go back on another deal. I just can't 13 swallow that. So that's my comments. 14 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Dr. Herbert. 15 DR. HERBERT: Let me one of the things 16 that Bill has raised, I just want to ask our 17 counsel a question about this. 18 Is this a new deal? I mean, is this 19 something that should have been done at the 20 outset of approving the senior plan? 21 MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure 22 because I've heard the term "retroactive" and 23 "bonus." 24 The plan actuary has calculated what John's</pre>	3	And I'm just going to tell you, I don't feel
<ul> <li>and forth, general counsel says it's illegal, our attorney says it's legal and we should do it.</li> <li>And Joey says there's some precedence for it, and probably that was taken into consideration when we cut the deal.</li> <li>It just seems to me that I cannot I cannot go back on another deal. I just can't swallow that. So that's my comments.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Dr. Herbert.</li> <li>DR. HERBERT: Let me one of the things that Bill has raised, I just want to ask our counsel a question about this.</li> <li>Is this a new deal? I mean, is this something that should have been done at the outset of approving the senior plan?</li> <li>MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure because I've heard the term "retroactive" and "bonus."</li> </ul>	4	comfortable with it. I do not feel comfortable
7attorney says it's legal and we should do it.8And Joey says there's some precedence for it, and9probably that was taken into consideration when10we cut the deal.11It just seems to me that I cannot I12cannot go back on another deal. I just can't13swallow that. So that's my comments.14CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Dr. Herbert.15DR. HERBERT: Let me one of the things16that Bill has raised, I just want to ask our17counsel a question about this.18Is this a new deal? I mean, is this19something that should have been done at the20outset of approving the senior plan?21MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure22because I've heard the term "retroactive" and23"bonus."24The plan actuary has calculated what John's	5	with it, and beyond all of the legal opinion back
8And Joey says there's some precedence for it, and probably that was taken into consideration when10we cut the deal.11It just seems to me that I cannot I cannot go back on another deal. I just can't13swallow that. So that's my comments.14CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Dr. Herbert.15DR. HERBERT: Let me one of the things16that Bill has raised, I just want to ask our17counsel a question about this.18Is this a new deal? I mean, is this19something that should have been done at the20outset of approving the senior plan?21MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure22because I've heard the term "retroactive" and23"bonus."24The plan actuary has calculated what John's	б	and forth, general counsel says it's illegal, our
<ul> <li>probably that was taken into consideration when</li> <li>we cut the deal.</li> <li>It just seems to me that I cannot I</li> <li>cannot go back on another deal. I just can't</li> <li>swallow that. So that's my comments.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Dr. Herbert.</li> <li>DR. HERBERT: Let me one of the things</li> <li>that Bill has raised, I just want to ask our</li> <li>counsel a question about this.</li> <li>Is this a new deal? I mean, is this</li> <li>something that should have been done at the</li> <li>outset of approving the senior plan?</li> <li>MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure</li> <li>because I've heard the term "retroactive" and</li> <li>"bonus."</li> </ul>	7	attorney says it's legal and we should do it.
<ul> <li>we cut the deal.</li> <li>It just seems to me that I cannot I</li> <li>cannot go back on another deal. I just can't</li> <li>swallow that. So that's my comments.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Dr. Herbert.</li> <li>DR. HERBERT: Let me one of the things</li> <li>that Bill has raised, I just want to ask our</li> <li>counsel a question about this.</li> <li>Is this a new deal? I mean, is this</li> <li>something that should have been done at the</li> <li>outset of approving the senior plan?</li> <li>MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure</li> <li>because I've heard the term "retroactive" and</li> <li>"bonus."</li> </ul>	8	And Joey says there's some precedence for it, and
11It just seems to me that I cannot I12cannot go back on another deal. I just can't13swallow that. So that's my comments.14CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Dr. Herbert.15DR. HERBERT: Let me one of the things16that Bill has raised, I just want to ask our17counsel a question about this.18Is this a new deal? I mean, is this19something that should have been done at the20outset of approving the senior plan?21MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure22because I've heard the term "retroactive" and23"bonus."24The plan actuary has calculated what John's	9	probably that was taken into consideration when
12 cannot go back on another deal. I just can't 13 swallow that. So that's my comments. 14 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Dr. Herbert. 15 DR. HERBERT: Let me one of the things 16 that Bill has raised, I just want to ask our 17 counsel a question about this. 18 Is this a new deal? I mean, is this 19 something that should have been done at the 20 outset of approving the senior plan? 21 MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure 22 because I've heard the term "retroactive" and 23 "bonus." 24 The plan actuary has calculated what John's	10	we cut the deal.
13 swallow that. So that's my comments. 14 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Dr. Herbert. 15 DR. HERBERT: Let me one of the things 16 that Bill has raised, I just want to ask our 17 counsel a question about this. 18 Is this a new deal? I mean, is this 19 something that should have been done at the 20 outset of approving the senior plan? 21 MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure 22 because I've heard the term "retroactive" and 23 "bonus." 24 The plan actuary has calculated what John's	11	It just seems to me that I cannot I
14CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Dr. Herbert.15DR. HERBERT: Let me one of the things16that Bill has raised, I just want to ask our17counsel a question about this.18Is this a new deal? I mean, is this19something that should have been done at the20outset of approving the senior plan?21MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure22because I've heard the term "retroactive" and23"bonus."24The plan actuary has calculated what John's	12	cannot go back on another deal. I just can't
DR. HERBERT: Let me one of the things that Bill has raised, I just want to ask our counsel a question about this. Is this a new deal? I mean, is this something that should have been done at the outset of approving the senior plan? MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure because I've heard the term "retroactive" and "bonus." The plan actuary has calculated what John's	13	swallow that. So that's my comments.
16 that Bill has raised, I just want to ask our 17 counsel a question about this. 18 Is this a new deal? I mean, is this 19 something that should have been done at the 20 outset of approving the senior plan? 21 MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure 22 because I've heard the term "retroactive" and 23 "bonus." 24 The plan actuary has calculated what John's	14	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Dr. Herbert.
17 counsel a question about this. 18 Is this a new deal? I mean, is this 19 something that should have been done at the 20 outset of approving the senior plan? 21 MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure 22 because I've heard the term "retroactive" and 23 "bonus." 24 The plan actuary has calculated what John's	15	DR. HERBERT: Let me one of the things
18 Is this a new deal? I mean, is this 19 something that should have been done at the 20 outset of approving the senior plan? 21 MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure 22 because I've heard the term "retroactive" and 23 "bonus." 24 The plan actuary has calculated what John's	16	that Bill has raised, I just want to ask our
19 something that should have been done at the 20 outset of approving the senior plan? 21 MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure 22 because I've heard the term "retroactive" and 23 "bonus." 24 The plan actuary has calculated what John's	17	counsel a question about this.
20 outset of approving the senior plan? 21 MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure 22 because I've heard the term "retroactive" and 23 "bonus." 24 The plan actuary has calculated what John's	18	Is this a new deal? I mean, is this
21 MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure 22 because I've heard the term "retroactive" and 23 "bonus." 24 The plan actuary has calculated what John's	19	something that should have been done at the
22 because I've heard the term "retroactive" and 23 "bonus." 24 The plan actuary has calculated what John's	20	outset of approving the senior plan?
<ul> <li>23 "bonus."</li> <li>24 The plan actuary has calculated what John's</li> </ul>	21	MR. DARAGJATI: I want to make sure
24 The plan actuary has calculated what John's	22	because I've heard the term "retroactive" and
	23	"bonus."
25 benefit is, and it corresponds to exactly the	24	The plan actuary has calculated what John's
	25	benefit is, and it corresponds to exactly the

plan that was set up by this Board years ago. 1 Due to John's longevity and level of pay, 2 3 the benefit has exceeded what the IRS allows. 4 This 415 arrangement is done in several places 5 around the state. It's -- and the language of 6 this agreement, this arrangement, is used 7 virtually everywhere else in the state. It's pretty standard language. 8 Should this plan have been set up at the 9 time when the plan itself -- I should say, should 10 the arrangement have been set up at the time the 11 plan was set up? Maybe. 12 But at the time you all didn't know how long 13 John was going to be here. You didn't know how 14 15 long -- what he was going to end up earning at the end. It might have been superfluous work at 16 the time. 17 18 But at this point this plan is not -- this 19 arrangement is not providing for anything extra to John. The benefit is the benefit. There's 20 21 nothing -- I want to make sure everyone is clear that there's no -- this arrangement is not 22 23 providing any bonus, any further enhanced benefit. The benefit is the benefit. 24 25 This is just an administrative means of

1	paying it and for the Board to conform to Florida
2	law.
3	MR. KEANE: Mr. Chairman, if I may interject
4	in answering Dr. Herbert's question.
5	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yes, please.
6	MR. KEANE: When the plan was created by the
7	Board in 2000, the clear language of the plan
8	says it has to be operated in accordance with the
9	IRS rules.
10	IRS rules in 2000 and IRS rules in 2015 are
11	different than any other IRS rules. Just like
12	the General Employees Pension Plan that Joey
13	mentioned a while ago was created in 1937 by the
14	legislature. They enacted the excess benefit
15	plan years later. When people started to retire,
16	just as our former chairman is one of them, their
17	pension exceeded the IRS limitation. So they
18	created the 415.
19	It has nothing to do with extra pension or
20	retroactive or none of that other. It's the
21	administrative mechanism that pays the pension to
22	me that I've worked for, paid for, earned and
23	been approved by the Board. It's that simple.
24	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Dr. Herbert, do you have
25	anything else?

DR. HERBERT: I do, but I want to wait. 1 COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: May I ask -- and this 2 3 is Tommy Hazouri -- Loree, if she can restate 4 what their intention is? For my own sake and for 5 the council's sake -- will you come up there so 6 everybody can hear you? 7 Are you-all asking -- and I hope I'm asking it the right way. It has nothing to do with -- I 8 mean, I heard what John said and I understand the 9 whole gamut there, but are you-all looking to 10 defer it to put it in that whole package of 11 reviewing that binding opinion, or are you 12 looking at it as a separate issue from that? 13 Because I can envision you-all packaging it 14 together as you mitigate -- or mediate or take it 15 on to court. Is that going to be part of that 16 17 package, or are you-all going to look at it --18 and I'm just trying to understand -- as a 19 separate item, right or wrong, if he's entitled to it, he gets it and let it go from that? 20 21 Because it really seems to me like it's all part of the whole idea of the pension, whether 22 23 it's legal or not legal, you know. So I'm not 24 sure where you were coming from earlier because 25 we hadn't gotten into this.

I just want to see if I understand if you're 1 looking at it as a package as a whole or if 2 3 you're looking at it individually, separate from 4 questioning whether or not the legal opinion --5 MR. SCHEU: The intention of the amendment 6 is treated separately. The issue of the larger 7 question is separate. It seems to me that we would be well served to get legal advice on this 8 particular matter. 9 And also, I would like to know where in the 10 budget this is getting paid from (inaudible) 11 COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Bill, before you do 12 that -- I'm sorry. That's you-all's -- that's 13 you-all's concern and how you-all deal with that. 14 I understand that and we'll look at that. 15 But I'm just kind of curious as to where 16 you-all are coming from so I kind of get an idea 17 18 whether you're looking at the whole picture or 19 you're going to look at this -- you're asking to defer it because you want to look at the legality 20 21 or illegality of this particular amendment that they want to do. 22 23 I wasn't sure if that's what you wanted to 24 do, is to look at the whole picture and gather it 25 altogether, or if you're going to look at it as a

separate item.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

MS. FRENCH: Well, I can't speak for everyone who is involved in, you know, settlement discussions or potential discussions on the senior plan in general.

But I think the understanding and the reason that I was here to request it is, first of all, there were some facts as were raised by several of the trustees here -- there were some facts that were not clear to the Office of the General Counsel, and we wanted to get a better understanding of the facts.

One of them, which I wasn't going to get 13 into because I didn't know about it, but one of 14 15 them was the question -- when I saw the package 16 here and the statement that was made that it 17 could not be paid out of the senior plan or the Police and Fire Pension Fund, then the question 18 19 is, Where was it going to get paid from and was 20 it approved in the budget as a line item or an 21 expenditure for this coming year?

But the real reason was there's already a dispute over the senior plan. This appears to be an amendment to the senior plan. If we're already disputing it and trying to reach some

resolution over it, the request was, Can we 1 please defer this because there is an active 2 3 movement right now to try and resolve this? 4 COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Resolve this particular 5 issue. 6 MR. FRENCH: The senior plan. There is a 7 movement right now to resolve this, and so --COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Yeah. And so that's 8 not part of the whole picture -- I'm talking 9 about of the plan -- I'm talking about their plan 10 itself, the Police and Fire pension plan that 11 they have. 12 MS. FRENCH: I'm guess I'm not following 13 your question. This has nothing to do with the 14 Police and Fire Pension Fund itself. 15 16 COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: All right. So it has 17 nothing to do with Loree's decision -- I mean, 18 not Loree --MS. FRENCH: Not the fund, not the reformed 19 agreement for the fund or anything. 20 21 COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Okay. So that's not going to be part of the discussion then? 22 23 MS. FRENCH: No. Just the senior plan. 24 COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Right, I understand. 25 But that's still part of -- you know, you're

1	still talking about the whole package that's
2	going to court now or being mediated now. Is
3	that not part of it? To me it would be.
4	MS. FRENCH: It would be. If this is if
5	this is an amendment to the senior plan and
6	there's a dispute over the senior plan, it would
7	be a part of the same thing, yes.
8	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I don't see how any
9	changes administrative changes would affect
10	whatever it is they're trying to mediate.
11	This is
12	MR. SCHEU: I think it does. It affects the
13	numbers.
14	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Well, I disagree. The
15	numbers are what the numbers are. How it's paid
16	out is the difference.
17	Now, in my opinion, to follow up on what
18	Sheriff Glover had to say, this is an attempt to
19	wiggle out whether you agree with the amount
20	or not, it's an attempt to wiggle out of paying
21	that amount either by going through this process,
22	which is deferring what we should do as a Board,
23	and then also I heard a number of comments where
24	they're trying to wiggle around it by going
25	through a budget process as if, Well, the money

1	is not there so we don't have to pay it.
2	To me that's just shameful. Whether you
3	agree with the amount that he should receive or
4	not is not the issue here. The issue, to me, is
5	the obligation of the Board to do its
6	administrative function in amending the plan to
7	comply with the IRS regulations.
8	It has nothing to do with the amount. It
9	has nothing to do whether we think he's owed that
10	amount or not. It has nothing to do with the
11	political side of this. It's our obligation as a
12	Board, from an administrative function, to make
13	this plan comply with IRS regulations.
14	All that other stuff, they can they can
15	battle it out in court all they want. It has
16	nothing to do with our function here today.
17	MR. SCHEU: I respectfully disagree. It's a
18	question about how to do it. And I still don't
19	know where we're going to get the funds. Where
20	are the funds in our budget?
21	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And that's a whole nother
22	issue. You obviously disagree with the process
23	that has been used in previous years for the
24	funding of the administrative functions of the
25	Board. I get that. But that's a totally

separate issue. 1 DR. HERBERT: Let me ask a question, then --2 3 MR. SCHEU: Well, regardless, we don't have 4 the money. Where are you going do get the 5 money -- just internally, forget the City Council. 6 7 Where in our budget is this going to come from? 8 Correct me if I'm wrong, but 9 MR. TUTEN: isn't the senior retirement plan fully funded for 10 the three people that are in it? 11 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: 12 Yes. 13 DR. HERBERT: Now, the way that I'm understanding this is that John is entitled to a 14 specific amount of money that comes from the 15 senior plan. 16 17 And so the issue is: Should a portion of that money to which he is entitled that would 18 19 come from those designated funds be placed into another pot because the IRS doesn't allow him to 20 21 get all the money to which he's entitled, and that he would get that money separately. 22 23 Is that correct, Counsel? 24 MR. DARAGJATI: That's my understanding of 25 basically how it works.

MR. SCHEU: But can we take money out of an 1 ERISA controlled and regulated fund and put it in 2 3 a different fund? I thought that one of the things in the memo that we got was that we were 4 5 not allowed to pay it out of the fund and that's one reason we had to do it and it would come from 6 7 operating expenses. 8 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Right. In essence, in order to comply with the IRS regulations, and 9 this is my understanding, that only a certain 10 amount of money can be paid out. They have a cap 11 on that out of that fund. 12 So we have more -- we have the additional 13 amount that we owe in that fund. 14 MR. DARAGJATI: Mr. Scheu, think of it in 15 this manner, that the --16 17 MR. SCHEU: But that fund is subject to 18 ERISA. We can't just willy-nilly move stuff in and out of the fund. 19 20 MR. DARAGJATI: It's not, sir. It's not 21 subject to ERISA. Only -- any government plan is exempted from ERISA. There are still rules and 22 23 regulations that it must follow --24 MR. SCHEU: Well, what the Internal Revenue 25 says --

MR. DARAGJATI: -- but it's not subject to 1 ERISA. 2 3 (Simultaneous speech) MR. SCHEU: -- whatever the citation is in 4 5 this memo. 6 MR. DARAGJATI: Let me just -- if I could 7 draw an analogy. The Police and Fire Pension Fund itself has a corpus by which it pays 8 benefits directly to members. 9 But it also has administrative expenses 10 whereby you'll tell your investment consultant to 11 sell X number of shares of a money-market pension 12 fund -- money-market fund to fund the 13 administrative expenses of the fund. It would 14 work in the same way with the senior staff fund. 15 So you're saying, despite the 16 MR. SCHEU: 17 memo, that we cannot -- that we can shift funds 18 in and out without regard to Section 415 of the 19 Internal Revenue Code? 20 MR. DARAGJATI: No, I'm not saying that, sir. 21 22 What I'm saying is that to administer 23 administrative costs, any fund in the State of Florida would have to sell or transfer money from 24 25 its corpus to a separate operating account, and

1 administrative costs are paid out of that operating account --2 3 MR. SCHEU: So that further -- the unfunded 4 liability --5 (Simultaneous speech) 6 THE REPORTER: Mr. Scheu, can you start over 7 again? I'm not hearing you. I'm the court 8 reporter. MR. SCHEU: So that means that we take the 9 money to pay the special plan from the police and 10 firemen from the regular plan --11 MR. DARAGJATI: No, no, no, sir. 12 MR. SCHEU: -- so that would be to their 13 detriment. 14 MR. DARAGJATI: You're misunderstanding me. 15 16 I was just drawing an analogy as to how administrative costs and operating costs are paid 17 from the general Police and Fire Pension Fund. 18 19 What I'm saying is that as a rule, to pay the excess benefit through the arrangement, it 20 21 cannot be paid directly from the corpus of the senior staff fund. It would come out of the 22 23 general budget of that fund. 24 So what would happen is a certain amount of 25 money would be transferred from the corpus of the

senior staff fund to an operating account.

1

2

3

4

5

6

16

17

MR. SCHEU: Well, just to be clear, I'm not saying we don't pay this. All I'm saying is before we pay it, we get a clear understanding of what our rights are. This may delay it a month for the general counsel to get this done.

7 I'm not saying that we just ignore what has been done, but what we need to do is clarify what 8 our responsibilities and liabilities are. And I 9 don't believe that time to get this assurance 10 affects Mr. Keane in any way, because if the 11 general counsel finds that we're obligated to pay 12 it, then we pay it. If he finds that we're not, 13 then he can seek appropriate relief as we deem 14 fit. 15

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And I believe that the administrative actions --

MR. SCHEU: And, Sheriff, that's really what -- I'm not saying -- we're not ignoring the obligation. We're getting a clear indication as to what our responsibilities are from the general counsel.

23 MR. GLOVER: And I appreciate consideration 24 for that, but it just -- it just feels like 25 another broken promise to me.

1	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any administrative action
2	that we take today in regard to the plan will not
3	alter the OGC's options on whatever attempts to
4	reduce the amount they want to pay it doesn't
5	change that at all. This is merely an
6	administrative function related to the plan.
7	MR. SCHEU: I see it as a substantive legal
8	act that we need legal advice on.
9	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Excuse me
10	MR. TUTEN: I understand what you're saying,
11	Bill, and I'm not against what you're saying.
12	How is there any my fear is what's
13	happened in the past is the general counsel will
14	rule something that we don't like. We'll say,
15	No, that's not correct. We'll get our lawyers,
16	then we'll do this whole legal dance.
17	Is there any way, like we've done in the
18	past, where we can say, Hey, general counsel,
19	city council, whoever doesn't agree, how about we
20	just fire off a letter to the attorney general
21	together to get an opinion? Instead of going
22	through all of that, why don't we just go
23	straight to the source and find out what they
24	say?
25	MR. SCHEU: That's fine, but I think that

Г

will take longer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

MR. TUTEN: Well, I'm just afraid, Bill, that that's where we're going to end up anyway. Based on what I've seen from the general counsel's office thus far -- I know it was just a memo, this, that and the other -- thus far, I don't see them coming back -- you know, I'm trying to be a realist here. You know, nothing against them guys.

But the truth is, they're not -- I don't see them coming back with, Yes, you guys can do it, pay the excess benefit out of the fund, transfer this, do that. I think they're going to come back and say what they've already said: No, it wasn't legal in the first place.

16And we're going to end up in Tallahassee17regardless. I'll go over there because I go to18football games --

19 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. Guys -20 MR. TUTEN: -- I'd love to go. I'll
21 hand-deliver it.
22 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Rich -23 MR. TUTEN: -- instead of doing all this,
24 why don't we just go to the source and see what
25 he says.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Rich, Bill, I'm going to 1 cut off the discussion here. Again, we're 2 3 starting to go in circles a little bit. I'm going to take one more comment from 4 5 Councilman Hazouri, and then I think we'll call the motion, vote on the motion. 6 7 COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: This is just an observation, Mr. Chairman. 8 It's what I tried to say a while ago, maybe 9 it wasn't clear enough. They're going to be 10 meeting next week on the whole plan. You know, 11 in other words, we asked that they go -- you 12 know, to court, but we're going to try to 13 mediate. Hopefully general counsel wants to do 14 that and I know you-all would, if there's any 15 room for mediation. 16 I cannot envision -- this is a subset of 17 18 that whole plan. And so I can't envision -- I 19 mean, you-all do what to want to do. He rightfully deserves what he's getting and 20 21 whatever source of revenue you an legally take it from -- I doubt if it would be the city; you'd 22 23 have to go to another funding source -- but 24 that's fine. I mean, that's what you-all agreed 25 to.

1	But is this agreement right in the face
2	again of the whole pension plan as it is the day
3	that Cindy Laquidara ruled on I mean, gave
4	that binding opinion on?
5	I can't help but think that they're going to
6	have to consider if you defer it today, no
7	matter what happens, that's going to be a poke in
8	the eye, another hole, in what they're going to
9	be looking at when they take up the whole
10	picture.
11	So if you-all do this today, that's still
12	going to be some decision because it is a sublet
13	piece of that, of what they decide and what
14	you-all decide, whether it's a legal plan or not
15	legal, or you can do it or you can't do it.
16	So if your decision today is to do whatever
17	you're going to do, that's going to carry forward
18	to the whole study anyway and the review of that
19	decision.
20	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Right.
21	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: I don't see how that
22	gets avoided.
23	So if you-all do that today, it's no
24	different than what you-all would be doing
25	normally, except, you know, assuming that your

1	plan is legal, you're moving forward as if it is,
2	and then they're going to look at the whole
3	picture. In my judgment, that's the way it would
4	be viewed.
5	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I agree.
б	MR. GLOVER: And just to respond to
7	MR. SCHEU: I think if you want to defer
8	it Tommy, I disagree. We're putting a higher
9	level on the table, and I think we ought to keep
10	our options open. Let's go through negotiations.
11	I hope our lawyers are going to be at that
12	mediation representing us, and Mr. Keane must
13	have a separate lawyer because our lawyer doesn't
14	represent Mr. Keane, as he said last week when I
15	asked him. But at the very least, we should
16	defer it until our meeting on the 19th after the
17	mediation.
18	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. I said one last
19	comment, but one last, last comment by Sheriff
20	Glover, and then we will vote.
21	MR. GLOVER: I was going to respond to
22	Tommy.
23	I just see this as kind of a big-picture
24	environment. We've got an opinion from our
25	general counsel. We've got an opinion from the

1	general counsel's office. We've got precedence
2	that Joey so eloquently articulated.
3	Now it comes to us as a board, as a
4	governing board. We look at the whole
5	environment and we make a judgment call. That's
6	what we do.
7	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: I agree with that.
8	MR. GLOVER: And everybody is trying to do
9	the Lord's work. It's not we're not trying to
10	favor anybody. We're trying to do what a
11	governing board does, and that is, look at the
12	terrain and do what's best within the law, the
13	circumstances here. And that's how I see it.
14	That's how I see it.
15	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: And I agree with you.
16	MR. GLOVER: And, you know, you might have
17	entities come in later and say this, that and the
18	other, but it's our call as a governing board.
19	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Just in response,
20	Mr. Chairman.
21	I agree. You-all can do what you need to do
22	as if everything is the same way it's always
23	been, but then in the end, the final decision is
24	going to rest with the decision that you-all
25	reach either in mediation or in a courtroom.

MR. GLOVER: Yes, sir. 1 COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: And that's going to 2 effect -- because this is a sublet of that --3 4 MR. GLOVER: But any way we go, that's going 5 to be the situation. 6 COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Right, right. So 7 that's all I'm saying. I'm not advocating you do or you don't do it. I'm not saying he deserves 8 it or doesn't deserve it. I don't even look at 9 it that way. I look at the bigger picture like 10 you're talking about. 11 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. I'm going to go 12 ahead -- the formal motion was to defer this 13 decision to OGC for a final -- a formal binding 14 opinion, still retaining our rights under the law 15 to appeal in whatever avenue is available to us. 16 17 That's the motion, correct, Bill? MR. SCHEU: 18 Yes. 19 MR. TUTEN: One other comment, I promise. 20 Could we have that opinion by the next meeting on the 19th from the OGC? 21 22 MR. SCHEU: That would be acceptable to me, 23 if they're able to do it. 24 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We have Steve Durden from 25 OGC here to answer that.

MR. DURDEN: I can't guarantee that the 1 general counsel will have it done, but I know 2 3 that that would be our goal. I certainly would tell them, and I don't think he's ever missed a 4 5 deadline of sorts like that. If that's what's 6 necessary, then that's what's necessary. 7 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So I think the response is there's no guarantee. 8 The problem I -- I don't mind 9 MR. TUTEN: going along with you, Bill, on this, because I do 10 like certain -- but my problem is, if we defer 11 this to the 19th, then we're going to be right 12 back where we are today then. 13 MR. DURDEN: Let me clarify that. I 14 apologize for being unclear. It will be done. 15 MR. SCHEU: Well, if (inaudible) -- they 16 17 would have met to mediate, number one. And 18 number two, we might -- and I heard Steve making 19 strong assurances that they would do their level best to get this by the 19th. 20 21 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Right. He just said -he just said it will be done. 22 23 Again, I hate to cut off comments, but we 24 keep going in the same circle. So I'm calling 25 for the vote.

1	All in favor of deferring it, say aye.
2	(Responses of "aye.")
3	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. Rich, did you
4	understand the question? We're deferring this.
5	The motion is to defer it. You're in agreement
6	to defer it, correct?
7	MR. TUTEN: Defer it until the 19th? Yes,
8	correct.
9	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yes. Okay.
10	MR. SCHEU: The 19th is fine.
11	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. So we have
12	two in favor of deferring it.
13	All in favor of not deferring it, likewise
14	say aye.
15	(Responses of "aye.")
16	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So the motion to defer it
17	fails 3 to 2.
18	So the next point of business is whether
19	anybody has a motion to accept the amendment and
20	pass the amendment to the Senior Staff Voluntary
21	Requirement Plan.
22	Does anybody have a motion for that?
23	MR. TUTEN: I'll make a motion.
24	MR. GLOVER: Second.
25	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. We have a motion

1	and second to amend the Senior Staff Voluntary
2	Retirement Plan, attachment 2015-8-1, all in
3	favor, aye.
4	(Responses of "aye.")
5	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Opposed?
6	(Response of "no.")
7	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: The amendment passes 4 to
8	1. That took a lot longer than I was hoping.
9	MR. TUTEN: Welcome to the pension board,
10	baby.
11	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right.
12	You have an attachment for the unused annual
13	leave payout for John Keane. The calculation was
14	completed by our controller with the assistance
15	of our former controller, Kevin Stork, who is now
16	the city comptroller.
17	This is for your review, and we can either
18	approve to pay it out today, or you-all can have
19	a chance to look at it and decide to vote on it
20	next week.
21	MR. SCHEU: I've got a number of concerns
22	about this, too, Mr. Chairman. But I'll wait.
23	MR. HERBERT: We don't we wait until next
24	week when he's here?
25	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay.

	ر ۲
1	So the motion is to defer it until next
2	week. Any discussion?
3	MR. SCHEU: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. We've got a
5	second. Any further discussion?
6	(No responses.)
7	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All in favor?
8	(Responses of "aye.")
9	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Opposed?
10	(No responses.)
11	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Passed unanimously. It
12	will be discussed next week.
13	We have the consulting contract with John
14	Keane. It's also an attachment. It's got a lot
15	of standard language in there, with an hourly
16	rate of \$130 per hour.
17	The term of this one goes to October 31st,
18	which we have another meeting before then, but I
19	just want to point that out, that this is only
20	through October 31st.
21	DR. HERBERT: Move approval.
22	MR. GLOVER: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We have a motion and a
24	second to approve the contract as submitted.
25	Anything discussion?

1	MR. SCHEU: I've got a question, please.
2	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay.
3	MR. SCHEU: On page 3 of the agreement, I
4	would make just two suggestions. That we change
5	the cut-off date to November 30th. We're already
6	halfway through October. That way we'll be
7	further along in the personnel search.
8	And then number paragraph 12, we change
9	the termination to 7 days rather than 14.
10	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any other discussion on
11	that, changing seven calendar-days written notice
12	for termination, Number 12; and changing Number
13	15 to, "shall expire on November 30th"?
14	MR. SCHEU: And then I've got a question.
15	If that I guess that's an amendment. If that
16	passes, I've got a question for you as the Chair.
17	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Well, we can discuss
18	whatever changes we want, and we can make a vote
19	on whether to accept or not. So if you have
20	questions, let's get them out of the way now.
21	MR. SCHEU: My question is, how is it going
22	to be determined how many of the up to 40 hours a
23	week John is going to work?
24	Are you going to direct him as to specific
25	things, or is he just going to come in when he

1	wants, or are there going to be specific tasks so
2	we have some control over it?
3	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Well, that's up for
4	discussion. If we want to give him a list of
5	specific tasks, we can certainly do that.
6	The way it has been working this past week,
7	he's been doing many of the functions that he did
8	prior to his retirement, including directing the
9	staff, answering questions from the staff, and
10	taking phone calls related to the pension fund.
11	MR. SCHEU: Is he going to submit time
12	sheets or anything like that so we know what he
13	did?
14	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We can certainly have
15	time sheets. He's required to submit invoices to
16	get paid
17	(Telephone technical difficulties for a
18	brief time.)
19	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right.
20	So to continue our discussion, in order to
21	get paid any of these hours, John is required to
22	submit invoices and they will be paid monthly.
23	So if we want to add some requirements of
24	what needs to be included on those invoices, or
25	if we want to switch or anything as far as his

1	specific assignments, we can certainly do that.
2	MR. SCHEU: I just think we need to have the
3	invoices and I think John will do this is
4	to recite with reasonable specificity for an
5	hourly basis what he does.
б	MR. TUTEN: Well, I think part of it would
7	be to continue the duties he was performing as
8	director before he left and completing whatever
9	tasks that still needed to be completed.
10	I know Larry sent out the email where
11	everyone was kind of divided up into doing
12	different things, you know. And maybe that
13	should be John's focus, as to doing whatever it
14	was he was working on before he retired wrapped
15	up, completed, or at least to the point where the
16	next director can be briefed and move on.
17	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Right. And he has
18	provided the Board with several projects that
19	have been in the works and he's continuing to
20	work on those. They have been in previous board
21	packets as well.
22	MR. TUTEN: Correct.
23	DR. HERBERT: And it seems to me that item 7
24	really addresses it. He "shall report to the
25	Board of Trustees at regular meetings and shall

1	be directed by the Board's chairperson or his
2	designee in consultation with the interim
3	administrator, if one is hired."
4	So the bottom line is that he'll operate
5	under the direction of the Chair, and then with
6	the appropriate reporting back to us at the
7	meetings.
8	So, Mr. Chairman, I would move I would
9	like to amend my original motion to include the
10	change in item 12, from 14 to 7 calendar days;
11	and item 15, to change the expiration date to
12	November 30th, 2015.
13	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Do we have a second on
14	that?
15	MR. GLOVER: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. Any further
17	discussion?
18	(No responses.)
19	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All in favor, approving
20	the hourly consulting agreement, as amended, say
21	aye.
22	(Responses of "aye.")
23	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Opposed
24	MR. TUTEN: Aye.
25	THE REPORTER: Is that one?

1	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Those are all "ayes."
2	Opposed?
3	(No responses.)
4	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. Passes
5	unanimously.
6	MR. SCHEU: I didn't hear the last part of
7	Adam's motion. Did they also change the November
8	30th?
9	DR. HERBERT: Yes, uh-huh.
10	MR. SCHEU: Okay. Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right.
12	I have two quick items before we go into the
13	Personnel Committee.
14	One: All the trustees got a printout of an
15	email, one of John Keane's email, in reference to
16	an airline ticket. And the allegation was that
17	there was possibly some theft by using the Police
18	and Fire Pension Fund credit card to pay that for
19	airline ticket.
20	And I've checked into that. The Police and
21	Fire Pension Fund, first of all, does not have a
22	credit card; and actually the credit card that
23	was used to pay for this ticket is, in fact,
24	issued to John Keane.
25	So he paid for this with his own credit

1	card. So that should answer any questions
2	related to that. Obviously no theft involved
3	there.
4	The other thing I have is I spoke to
5	Councilman Gulliford, I believe it was yesterday
6	morning, in regards to them not receiving
7	documents for the forensics audit. I assured
8	Councilman Gulliford that we want to give him any
9	and all documents that we have in the or at
10	the Police and Fire Pension Fund.
11	I invited him to come on over with any city
12	staff, as many city staff as he has or wants to
13	bring, and I would give them a grand tour of all
14	the files, all the file rooms, all the boxes, and
15	allow him to make copies of whatever files they
16	want to make.
17	(Telephone interruption)
18	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I'm going to wait a
19	couple minutes for this ringing to stop,
20	gentlemen.
21	(Pause)
22	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. I think it
23	stopped.
24	I also let him know that some of the
25	documents that he described that they are

requesting -- they're not sure if they existed in 1 the first place, and if they did exist, whether 2 3 we ever had a copy and if we still have a copy. 4 But going that far back, even if we had a copy, 5 we wouldn't be required by law to still possess 6 that copy. 7 But, again, I reiterated to him, You're more than welcome to come on over with whatever staff 8 you want and look through whatever files you want 9 and copy whatever documents you want. 10 MR. SCHEU: I think that's great. 11 I that we ought to show that on the minutes because that 12 often doesn't get published in the press. And I 13 14 think that's very noble and appropriate for you, Mr. Chairman. 15 16 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you. 17 And I also explained to him the slowness of 18 getting the documents is kind of two- or three-fold. 19 One: The volume of documents was a 20 21 challenge. The staff -- the amount of staff that 22 Two: 23 we have here at the Police and Fire Pension Fund 24 is guite limited. So there really wasn't anybody 25 that could pull away from their day-to-day duties

1	to do most of these things without their regular
2	work falling behind.
3	And then, three, trying to find the
4	documents that they're requesting that we don't
5	even know we have.
б	So all those things combined kind of slowed
7	down that process, but I assured him that he can
8	come on over anytime he wanted, that I would make
9	arrangements to meet him and his staff here and
10	show them where all the files are.
11	So I just want to make sure the Board was
12	aware of that.
13	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: What was his response?
14	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: He was quite cordial,
15	thanked me for the offer, said he would possibly
16	follow-up on that, and then he referred me to the
17	forensics auditor.
18	MR. TUTEN: Do any of these documents, do
19	they contain personal information that has to be
20	redacted?
21	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I did mention that to
22	him. We are required by Sunshine law to not just
23	release documents, but to not release
24	confidential information.
25	And the type of documents that they're

requesting I didn't think would contain any confidential or exempt information like addresses of police officers or firefighters or their Social Security numbers or any of that; but I did let them know that any of the documents that they copied, before they release those, they have to be reviewed to make sure there isn't any confidential or exempt information in there before -- before they release it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

10 MR. TUTEN: Well, I want to say that, too, 11 because, you know, there are numbers associated 12 with employees that are not necessarily Social 13 Security numbers, like employee numbers, PINS, 14 stuff like that, you know, that someone could 15 kind of sort of use to their benefit.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Right. But they're under the same legal requirement as we are as far as not releasing confidential or exempt information. But they're more than welcome to come copy with whatever they want.

MR. TUTEN: I agree. Good job.

22 DR. HERBERT: Mr. Chairman, are there any 23 implications of that to the subpoena that 24 Mr. Keane has received for bringing all this data 25 over there?

1	MR. SCHEU: Mr. Chairman
2	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Hold on. I have one
3	question from Dr. Herbert in reference to the
4	subpoena that's being issued for the documents.
5	And as I mentioned to Councilman Gulliford,
6	whatever documents are requested in that
7	subpoena, come on over. You can search any and
8	all files, and if they're there, make copies.
9	You're more than welcome to them.
10	Mr. Scheu, do you have another question?
11	MR. SCHEU: I couldn't hear anything so I
12	didn't know what had happened.
13	I've got a motion that I think I sent to you
14	that I would like the Board to consider before we
15	adjourn to Personnel.
16	MR. KEANE: And we've got one more item of
17	business too.
18	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. Well, we do have
19	one more item of business.
20	And I sent well, Mr. Scheu, I'll let you
21	go ahead after I finish this one.
22	MR. SCHEU: Oh, sure.
23	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: The other item of
24	business is the 2015 Annual Retiree Pension
25	Bonus.

As the trustees recall -- although it's kind 1 of a bad name, that's what it's called -- this is 2 3 the chapter funds that's allotted each year to 4 give the retirees another payment towards the end 5 of the year. The funds are already provided 6 through Chapter 175 and 185, but it requires 7 annual approval by the Board. Last year I believe we did 2 percent, if I 8 remember correctly --9 MR. KEANE: 10 3. 3 percent. Okay. 11 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So last year was 3 percent. So if we're going to do 12 that again this year, we need a motion for that. 13 And I want to give the heads-up for next 14 15 meeting, I also want on that agenda for the remaining amount of Chapter 175 and 185 monies to 16 17 be allocated to the share plan as part of the 18 pension reform agreement that is in effect 19 already. 20 Mr. Chairman, I'm glad that DR. HERBERT: 21 you raised that because I really feel very strongly that we should begin putting some money 22 23 into the share plan, and that was one of my 24 questions: Does our doing this preclude the 25 possibility of making a contribution into that

plan?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

23

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Well -- and I don't have the exact dollar amount and hopefully we'll have that by next meeting, but the total amount of chapter money that comes from the state, we've agreed, through the pension reform, that \$5 million of that will go to the city.

The remaining amount comes to the Board to use as we decide. One of the options is to pay the retirees the bonus.

The other option in combination with that is to put the remaining amount in the share plan, or we can give it all back to the city or any combination thereof.

DR. HERBERT: Would it be inappropriate to delay the vote on this until the next meeting when we know exactly how much we have, and then we can make a decision about how much to put into this as well as how much to put into a share plan?

21 MR. KEANE: We know the answer to the 22 question.

DR. HERBERT: Oh, okay.

24 MR. KEANE: \$5 million, a little over \$5 25 million.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: That's the total 1 amount --2 3 MR. KEANE: Available. 4 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. 5 MR. KEANE: The previous money that we had, 6 \$32 million, we transferred over as part of the 7 pension reform into the new unfunded payment That was matched with the money that 8 account. came out of the city budget stabilization 9 account. Those two numbers came up to \$72 10 million. 11 That's the source of the 5 million this 12 year, 10 million, 15 million, and then 8 million 13 14 on out. Future money, which came in this year, \$10 15 million, a little over \$10 million, 5 million has 16 17 gone to the city because the pension reform agreement called for a 50/50 split. So there's a 18 little over \$5 million left. 19 20 Giving this payment to the retirees in 21 December, a little over \$2 million of it. That leaves 3 million to be disbursed into the share 22 plan that was created by the pension reform 23 24 agreement. 25 We've had ongoing meetings and discussions

	66
1	with the IT folks on the mechanism of setting up
2	that share plan and for that allocation to go
3	into effect in January. That's when that
4	happens.
5	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. So today, if there
б	is a motion, we can decide on what percentage for
7	the retirees. If it's 3 percent, it would be a
8	little over \$2 million that would go to the
9	retirees. In that same motion, if someone had
10	one, we could do the remainder of that little
11	over \$5 million to go into the share plan.
12	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: May I ask a question?
13	MR. TUTEN: I'll make that motion.
14	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: On your COLA or the
15	bonus, is that part is that an outside fund
16	that doesn't go into the retirement, or it does?
17	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Correct.
18	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: What?
19	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: That is paid out.
20	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: So it is kind of a
21	bonus.
22	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: That's for the retirees.
23	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Right. That's who I'm
24	talking about.
25	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: The share plan would go

1	into investment accounts for each individual
2	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Right. But the COLA or
3	the bonus goes in as a separate item; it's not
4	part of the pension?
5	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Correct.
6	MR. KEANE: Correct.
7	DR. HERBERT: Rich, would you like would
8	you allow me to make a specific motion on this?
9	And that is that we approve \$2 million to go into
10	the annual pension what do you call this?
11	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Annual retiree pension
12	bonus.
13	DR. HERBERT: Annual retiree pension bonus,
14	and that the balance would go into the share
15	plan, whatever is left.
16	Again, it seems to me and we've had this
17	conversation before. I just think that our
18	current staff are not getting as fair a deal as
19	they should, and that this would help to address
20	some of those concerns that we discussed
21	previously.
22	MR. TUTEN: I agree.
23	MR. SCHEU: I agree too.
24	The only question I have, Mr. Chairman, was
25	there a limitation in the retirement reform

1 agreement on the percentage or on the dollar amount to the Christmas bonus? 2 3 MR. KEANE: 3 percent. 4 MR. SCHEU: And the only thing we need to be 5 concerned about is that we comply with whatever that limitation, if any, there was, whether 6 7 that's in the form of a percentage or in the form of a specific dollar amount. 8 That limit is 3 percent. 9 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And \$2 million would be below that 3 percent 10 limit. 11 12 MR. SCHEU: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So the motion is 13 allocating \$2 million to the annual retiree 14 pension bonus, with the remainder of the current 15 16 year chapter funds going into the share plan. 17 MR. KEANE: Effective in January. 18 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Effective January 1st. 19 MR. GLOVER: I'm a recipient of that, so I 20 want to kind of defer. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. Good idea. 21 22 MR. KEANE: You can still vote. Now, last 23 year you voted and said you would forego --24 MR. GLOVER: Oh, yeah. Okay. Do the same 25 thing here?

1	Okay. I'll vote, with the full
2	understanding for the press, that I am
3	contributing I'm going to defer my share of
4	that.
5	MR. KEANE: The sheriff is rejecting his
6	distribution
7	MR. GLOVER: Yes, yes
8	MR. KEANE: of the annual retiree pension
9	supplement. Why don't you use that word instead
10	of bonus.
11	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay.
12	MS. FRENCH: Mr. Chair, just to clarify.
13	Was there a comment that there's a limit of 3
14	percent on how this money is spent? Because I
15	don't think it's in the agreement. I think
16	you-all can spend it the way you'd like, the 5
17	percent I mean, your share can go either to
18	the share plan or to the holiday bonus
19	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: No, no. What we're
20	talking
21	MS. FRENCH: I apologize. I may have
22	misunderstood and I just wanted to make sure.
23	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: What we're talking about
24	is the amount that can go towards the retiree
25	pension bonus.

MS. FRENCH: Got it. And that's in 1 another -- that's not in the agreement. 2 3 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Correct. 4 MS. FRENCH: Okay. I apologize for 5 interrupting. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So the motion is \$2 6 7 million to the retiree pension bonus, remainder of the current chapter funds to the share plan. 8 Sheriff Glover is rejecting his portion of the 9 pension bonus --10 Supplement. 11 MR. KEANE: 12 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: -- supplement. And we have that motion, and do we have a 13 second? 14 DR. HERBERT: Trustee Tuten, I think, 15 16 seconded. MR. TUTEN: I made the second. 17 18 MR. KEANE: So it's just going to be a shade 19 under 3 percent. 20 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. 21 Any other comments? 22 (No responses.) CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All in favor? 23 24 (Responses of "aye.") CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Opposed? 25

1	(No responses.)
2	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Passed unanimously.
3	All right. Trustee Scheu, you had another
4	item of business that you wanted to bring up?
5	MR. SCHEU: I did. I appreciate that,
6	Mr. Chairman.
7	Like all of you-all, I have continued to get
8	communications from Mr. Lee. Without speaking to
9	the quality or quantity of well, the quality
10	of those communications, they put such a precise,
11	voluminous amount of work on our staff and for us
12	to consider, and some of it may or may not be
13	stuff that we need to deal with, some of it is.
14	So I spoke to the general counsel about it,
15	and they're willing to assign a paralegal or an
16	attorney to handle these on our behalf.
17	I think we would be much more efficient and
18	responsive because Mr. Lee is entitled to
19	responses in a timely fashion if we referred
20	all communications, all pending communications
21	and all future communication from Mr. Lee to the
22	JPFPF or to individual trustees, although I don't
23	think that's appropriate, to the OGC with the
24	request that the OGC advise the JPFPF as to the
25	proper response.

1	I think that will just let Mr. Lee get his
2	money's worth and to let us go on to the
3	important things that we have to do.
4	So I would like to move, with your
5	permission, Mr. Chair, that beginning
6	immediately, the JPFPF transmit to OGC all
7	pending and future written communications from
8	Mr. Curtis Lee, to the JPFPF or its trustees,
9	with the request that the OGC advise the JPFPF as
10	to the proper response, if any, by the JPFPF to
11	such communications.
12	I think that this is a matter of policy, not
13	just administrative.
14	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I'll start with the
15	comments.
16	If we're going to do that, I don't think we
17	should single out Mr. Lee. I think if we're
18	going to do that, we should do that with all the
19	public records requests.
20	MR. SCHEU: Well, I'm speaking with Mr. Lee
21	with all his communications because some of it
22	it's hard to ferret through them. Some of them
23	are public records requests. Others of them are
24	demands for various actions.
25	So I think I would like to deal specifically

1	with him.
2	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay.
3	MR. GLOVER: I guess I'm a little bit
4	concerned about the slippery slope too.
5	I guess so if we had three or four other
б	people who decided that they have wanted to be
7	somewhat of a watch factor over the from the
8	citizen perspective over the Police and Fire
9	Pension Board, its administrator, and all of his
10	functions, would we do this for them as well?
11	And I guess that would be my concern.
12	MR. SCHEU: It would be my intention that
13	when that came up, we would probably refer them
14	too, but this is going to be the only one that is
15	overly burdensome at this time.
16	But I would have no objection to referring
17	all similar things, just not all communications.
18	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We're all three I
19	mean, lucky for us, he usually cc's almost
20	everybody in the city.
21	(Laughter)
22	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So OGC usually gets a
23	copy anyhow. But we could certainly request a
24	response from OGC on a proper response to
25	Mr. Lee.

MR. SCHEU: I just think that ought to be 1 our policy, and then we've protected ourselves. 2 3 And he keeps making threats, and I just think we need to have a policy that this is the way we're 4 5 going to handle them. Then he can deal with it 6 and we can deal with it and, you know, OGC can 7 deal with it. 8 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. And you said OGC has already agreed to do this? 9 MR. SCHEU: 10 Yes. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. Any further 11 discussion? 12 MR. TUTEN: Would this be kosher with all 13 the Sunshine laws and legalities involved with 14 public records requests of public entities, et 15 cetera? 16 I mean, are we allowed to defer this to them 17 18 without us actually seeing them first? 19 MR. SCHEU: I think we can always request legal advice. 20 21 Yes, I -- yeah, I agree with you MR. TUTEN: there, Bill. Believe me, I'm not arguing with 22 23 you the principle of the matter. 24 But what I have -- you know, if someone 25 makes a public records request of the pension

fund but they go through the city, and the city doesn't get to it in proper form or fashion for whatever reason -- I'm not casting aspersions -but you're still sort of in the same spot, it's just a layer between you and them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

The real question is two things, like you mentioned. Sifting through the comments section of the request versus the actual request, putting it nicely.

Number 2 is: We don't have enough people to 10 deal with this. It's almost to the point where 11 we have to hire someone just to deal with public 12 records. And I know we agreed to let the city 13 help us, and they have, but if still doesn't 14 solve the problem, because if someone besides 15 Mr. Lee comes in, we're bogged down as it is 16 17 right now.

We don't have enough manpower. We don't have enough staff. We've talked about it for at least ten years that I know of.

The problem, I wonder, is, can we do this if someone says, You know what, I don't want a public record from the city; I want it from you guys. We're still going to have to fulfill that request.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Rich, I think what the 1 process -- we can't send people to another agency 2 3 to fulfill our public records requests. 4 I think what the recommendation is that we 5 contact OGC and say, Hey, how do you want us to 6 respond? And we have to do the response. 7 MR. SCHEU: That's right. They're our 8 lawyers. 9 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Right. MR. TUTEN: Okay. So you -- in other 10 words -- well, Bill, you're going to have to 11 clarify because -- so you don't want to stop 12 people from making public requests to the pension 13 fund; you just want the OGC to give their legal 14 opinion on how we should reply to certain cases? 15 16 MR. SCHEU: Yes, on these communications, 17 because he's making lots of threats. And I frankly -- it's not my job to go through those, 18 and I don't have the facts on it. 19 20 So if the OGC can provide us the 21 appropriate response, and it may be really quick, and then we know what to do. 22 23 But I think we need to have a policy so we 24 aren't distracted, either as trustees or as 25 staff.

1	MR. GLOVER: Bill, I don't disagree with it,
2	but is it appropriate well, better yet, is it
3	fair to name Mr. Lee in the motion?
4	That's my question, I guess.
5	MR. DARAGJATI: If I could jump in real
6	quick.
7	The recent agreement that the Board came to
8	with the city and Mr. Keane, correct me if I'm
9	wrong
10	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: You need to speak up.
11	MR. DARAGJATI: The recent agreement between
12	the Board and the City
13	MR. SCHEU: I think it's appropriate
14	given the voluminous amount of requests.
15	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. Paul Daragjati is
16	going to make a comment also here.
17	MR. DARAGJATI: I was just going to say, the
18	recent agreement between the Board and the City
19	calls for the Office of the General Counsel to
20	assist with routine public records requests.
21	I would argue that kind of encompasses what
22	Mr. Scheu is asserting if the Board for your
23	consideration. I can get the wording exactly, if
24	you wish, what's in the agreement.
25	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I would rather have an

1	amendment of any public records requests where
2	the trustees receive or the Police and Fire
3	Pension Fund employees receive where they're not
4	certain on how to respond, that they send those
5	to OGC for instructions on the appropriate
6	response.
7	MR. SCHEU: I would be happy with that if
8	you added, "and related communications."
9	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: That sounds good.
10	MR. SCHEU: "public records requests and
11	related communications."
12	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And I don't think it
13	should be limited to any one individual. I think
14	it should be limited to circumstances.
15	MR. SCHEU: That's fine.
16	MR. DURDEN: And I it's Steven Durden,
17	and to confirm what Paul said.
18	Yes, we are there for you guys to answer
19	these kinds of questions. I don't even know
20	that you it doesn't hurt to have the motion,
21	but certainly anytime any Board member is
22	concerned about a question, a request that has
23	come to them or a communication that has come to
24	them, they can send it to us. And certainly
25	the any staff member who is responding to a

public records request or any other kind of 1 similar request that has a concern, then send it 2 3 to us. That is, in part, what the agreement was 4 contemplating, that you do that. 5 Some requests might be very simple. No need 6 to call us. It's like -- you know, give me --7 give me the agenda for tomorrow. Okay, fine. That's easy. And then some of them are much more 8 complicated. 9 So obviously we're there -- not obviously --10 I want to make it clear that we're there. 11 Anytime you need something, you let us know. 12 13 MR. SCHEU: I appreciate that, Steve. But I think as a matter of policy -- because you know 14 how to deal with it. We don't have to worry 15 about case-by-case. This is the way we're going 16 to handle it going forward. Mr. Lee knows it, we 17 know it, and we don't get distracted by it. 18 19 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. 20 So we have the motion to send related 21 correspondence and any public records requests to 22 OGC received by any trustee or employee of the 23 Police and Fire Pension Fund for an appropriate 24 response in the OGC's opinion. 25 Is that the motion?

1 MR. SCHEU: Yes. 2 MR. GLOVER: Second. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All in favor? 3 (Responses of "aye.") 4 5 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Opposed? (A response of "aye.") 6 7 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Passed 4 to 1. Okay. Are there any other items of 8 business? 9 DR. HERBERT: Just Personnel Committee. 10 11 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: The Personnel Committee. 12 I'm going to adjourn the meeting, and the 13 Personnel Committee will have a meeting following this meeting. 14 We are adjourned. 15 16 MR. SCHEU: Can we stay on for the Personnel Committee? 17 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yes, you certainly can. 18 (The Board meeting adjourned at 11:00 a.m.) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Denice C. Taylor, Florida Professional
4	Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida at Large,
5	the undersigned authority, do hereby certify that I
6	was authorized to and did stenographically report the
7	foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript, pages
8	3 through 80, is a true and correct computer-aided
9	transcription of my stenographic notes taken at the
10	time and place indicated herein.
11	DATED this 23rd day of October, 2015.
12	
13	Denice C. Taylor, FPR
14	Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large
15	My Commission No. FF 184340
16	Expires: December 23, 2018
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	