JACKSONVILLE POLICE AND FIRE PENSION FUND

SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

DATE: September 29, 2015

TIME: 2:36 to 3:55 p.m.

PLACE: Jacksonville Police and Fire Pension Fund

One West Adams Street

Suite 100

Jacksonville, Florida 32202

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Larry Schmitt, Board Chair Richard Tuten, III, Secretary Adam Herbert, Trustee Nathaniel Glover, Jr., Trustee William E. Scheu, Trustee

ALSO PRESENT:

John Keane, Administrator/Executive-Director Paul Daragjati, Board Counsel Debbie Manning, Executive Assistant Devin Carter, Controller Joey Greive, Fund Treasurer

These agenda matters of the special meeting of the JPFPF Board of Trustees came on to be heard at the time and place aforesaid, when and where the following proceedings were reported by:

Denice C. Taylor, FPR
AAA Reporters
233 East Bay Street, Suite 912
Jacksonville, Florida 32202
904.354.4789

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		PAGE
3	Work Shop	
4	Public speaker, Curtis Lee	3
5	Board Meeting called to order	8
6	Personnel Committee	8
7	Signature authorization	66
8	Certificate of reporter	73
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 September 29, 2015 2:36 p.m. 3 4 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. It's 2:36. Ι 5 call the meeting to order. 6 Please rise and pledge allegiance to the 7 flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under 8 God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for 9 all. 10 Let the record show that Chairman Schmitt, 11 Secretary Tuten, Trustee Herbert and Trustee 12 Scheu are all present. Trustee Glover is en 13 14 route. We'll go ahead and get started with the 15 public speaking period. We have one public 16 17 speaker. 18 MS. MANNING: Yes. Curtis Lee. 19 MR. LEE: My name is Curtis Lee. My address is on file. 20 First, I have copies for all trustees of the 21 email I sent yesterday, in case you didn't read 22 Incidentally, you should read all my emails 23 as fiduciaries. 24 First issue: I still don't have a document 25

I requested on September 25, regarding Devin Carter. Mr. Keane distributed the document on that date at the Board meeting concerning his misdemeanor. Clearly this is a public record.

When I asked both Mr. Tuten and Mr. Keane for it, I was refused. It is not online either as of this morning. In short, please comply with the law or I will be forced to sue.

Plus, I've asked you all for your 2015 evaluations of Mr. Keane. This obligation cannot be evaded or fobbed off.

Second: While the pension fund conceals documents relating to those misdemeanors, they post a picture of a dog online. I find this very strange.

Third: I provided two documents to you. I only have one copy. I'll give them both to the chair, Mr. Schmitt. Please share copies with others.

The first -- the longer of the two documents shows that Mr. Keane used fund resources to secure an airline ticket for Nancy Keane. This favoritism may constitute theft. Please see my email for details.

Fourth: The other document is three pages

of receipts from invoices that the pension fund pays to Robert Klausner's law firm. There are highlight -- highlit portions. This shows that Mr. Keane used the pension fund to pay Klausner over \$4,000 to research and prepare a lawsuit against the pension fund.

This was a big gift to Randy Wyse personally. Randy Wyse then sued the city and the pension fund. I believe this constitutes criminal conduct. It surely was unethical.

One billing entry in particular stands out.

Quote: "Draft pleadings, re firefighter suit
against fund and city" -- unquote -- "\$1,140."

It's mind boggling that Mr. Keane would have the pension fund pay money so that his own lawyers could be used against the pension fund.

There's many, many more unethical behaviors of Mr. Keane. I've given you outlined -- a seven- or eight-page outline of his misconduct periodically.

Do not give Mr. Keane a consulting contract.

Investigate him, audit him, sue him. And if appropriate, as is probable, refer him for prosecution. Mr. Keane has disgraced the pension fund repeatedly. Thank you.

Any questions? 1 MR. SCHEU: Mr. Chair, if it will solve 2 3 anything, I'll give him what John passed out. 4 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Perfect. Does that take 5 care of that public records request? 6 MR. LEE: It appears to be. Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. And no other speakers? All right. 8 That will close the public speaking session. 9 DR. HERBERT: Bill, just for the record, 10 could you indicate what you just gave him so that 11 it's clear that that portion of his request is 12 being addressed? 13 MR. SCHEU: That was the email that 14 15 Mr. Keane passed out at our meeting Friday that had to do with the prior record for Devin Carter. 16 17 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So there was a 18 document -- if I'm understanding correctly, there 19 was a document that was passed out to the Board members at the meeting Friday, the 26th, I 20 believe it was. 21 MS. MANNING: I wasn't here. The 25th. 22 23 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: That document that you 24 just gave a copy of to Mr. Lee is that document 25 that was handed out to the Board members?

MR. SCHEU: Correct. 1 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And it's in reference to 2 3 Mr. Devin Carter? 4 MR. SCHEU: Correct. And I guess for the 5 record, Mr. Lee acknowledged that the public 6 record request has now been satisfied. 7 MR. TUTEN: Yes. Because after the meeting 8 he came up and asked for a copy. I had my copy that I kept with me, and I didn't have another 9 I asked John. John said he didn't have 10 one. any, we'd put it on the website. 11 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Right. And, you know, we 12 try to abide by the public records laws as much 13 as we can. And the public records laws do 14 15 require us to fulfill those requests in a reasonable amount of time. And I think we've met 16 17 that obligation in this circumstance. 18 So thank you for giving us that copy. 19 MS. MANNING: Normally they are posted on 20 the website, but I was on vacation. So I was not 21 here to do that after the meeting. 22 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any other discussion on that issue? Okay. 23 24 The next item is referenced to the Personnel 25 Committee. Before we get into that, we'll take a recess and wait for Trustee Glover to arrive. It is now 2:40. We stand in recess.

(A break was taken; thereafter, the Board meeting continued as follows, with the additional appearance of Trustee Glover:)

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I call the meeting back to order.

The next item on the agenda is from the Personnel Committee. Dr. Herbert.

DR. HERBERT: Thank you.

First, I'd like to talk about a proposed schedule. It seems to me that to the extent that we can be aggressive in this search process, if we have more candidates, is to move quickly on it.

So our personnel liaison or HR person will be back in town tomorrow, so I will be able to talk with her. But let me suggest something along these lines. And the key question for Trustee Glover is what his schedule is like.

But what I would like to propose formally is that we ask personnel folks to give us a list of all the candidates by the 5th of October -- so next week -- so that Trustee Glover and I can individually go through all of these.

And if your schedule is such that we can do it, schedule a meeting of the committee on the 9th for the purpose of discussing our perspective with regard to potential finalists for the search, to see if we have anywhere from one to five candidates that we think look good and that are worth our bringing to the full committee. Obviously any member of the Board can attend that meeting as we go through them. And if any

Obviously any member of the Board can attend that meeting as we go through them. And if any of you want to see all the candidates, we can ask HR to make those available as well. There are over 30 candidates.

MR. TUTEN: Okay. So that's the ones that have applied already?

DR. HERBERT: Right.

MR. TUTEN: Okay. Because I was going to ask you about the current list.

DR. HERBERT: I'm going to come back to that in just a minute.

MR. TUTEN: Okay.

DR. HERBERT: So the thing we don't know is whether or not we have some outstanding candidates in there right now. And if we do, my bias would be to go ahead and -- if we have one or two that we think are outstanding, go ahead

and proceed with the search as opposed to dragging it out because we really need someone here as soon as possible.

And assuming we follow the kind of timeline I'm talking about, we won't probably get anyone here until the first of December.

MR. TUTEN: Right.

DR. HERBERT: So it's important for us just to kind of think about that. And I would like to have somehow here -- I don't know how you guys feel -- I would like to have someone here before the beginning of next year.

MR. TUTEN: Right.

DR. HERBERT: So this schedule is predicated on the assumption that we have strong candidates in the pool and that we could then move aggressively.

So on the 5th we ask that all the candidates who meet the qualifications be given at least to Trustee Glover and I from the committee perspective, but if any of you or all of you want to see all the candidates, then we will make those available.

And then, again, from Trustee Glover's perspective, if you could potentially be

available for a meeting on the 9th. 1 MR. GLOVER: Mr. Chairman, I am out of town 2 3 next week, but I come back an Friday, the 9th. 4 Now, I don't mind if I'm coming back early 5 to come on back and then come to a meeting, but let me call the office and see what my flight is 6 7 like. 8 DR. HERBERT: Okay. MR. GLOVER: Because we don't need to lose 9 any time. If it's in the evening, it's a 10 deal-breaker. If it's in the morning, if I come 11 back early, we can do it that afternoon. 12 Is that all right, Mr. Chair? 13 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Fine for me. 14 15 MR. TUTEN: I want to interject something, Dr. Herbert. Now, you may know, maybe Joey 16 17 knows. When the city -- the city is aggregating 18 a list of people that have applied for the job, 19 correct? 20 DR. HERBERT: And that meet the 21 qualifications. MR. TUTEN: Okay. Has to meet the 22 qualifications. 23 24 Now, what -- what I'm trying to get to is I want to make sure that, you know, we're not 25

overlooking someone who the city may or may not deem met the qualifications.

In other words, I remember the list that we had, but I don't -- I want to make sure that these people have -- you know, if the city says this guy doesn't meet the requirement, well, then, which box is it that he doesn't meet?

Because, you know, I think this goes back to what we talked about before, where it would be great to find the perfect candidate, you know, according to all our criteria. That would be lovely.

But sometimes that doesn't happen and I'm afraid -- I just don't want the city to prematurely eliminate someone just because, you know, they have a rote list and a rote -- you know, and that's what I'm afraid of, we're going to be doing this over and over and over again if we don't actually see who it is that's applying.

If they are disqualified, according to the city, why were they? You know, at least let's see that, because I would like to see those.

DR. HERBERT: Sure.

Joey, do you have a comment on that?

MR. GREIVE: Through the Chair, Trustee

Tuten, I think the suggestion that was mentioned at last week's meeting, to compile the list of those who, you know, failed to meet the HR screen-down and why, I think that's a good idea for the Board to see.

MR. TUTEN: Right, yeah.

DR. HERBERT: And it is my intention to ask for that. I just can't talk to her until tomorrow, but I will ask for all the names so that we have -- since what you're talking about, if she could give us a chart with all that, and then the ones that clearly meet from their perspective all the qualifications.

So we'll have all that available.

MR. SCHEU: I just -- I think this is good, but I just really think from the community's perspective we really need to make sure on the city processes that this is a national search.

I don't know what those -- you-all know what those processes are, but I assume that they are, because I think we need to cast a wide net. I think we have an opportunity to really, really go forward in a good position.

MR. TUTEN: Well, yeah, I agree with your -- your part is actually separate from mine. I

think we're casting a pretty wide net. We've got 1 28 people. 2 3 The problem is once they actually get here, 4 I just want to make sure they're not, you know, 5 stopped prematurely --6 MR. SCHEU: No, I'd like to see everybody. 7 MR. TUTEN: Yeah, right. Because the Board, we're responsible for hiring them. Like I said, 8 you know, I don't want somebody coming back later 9 and say, Hey, I applied, why didn't you guys put 10 me on the final list? I say, Well, did you have 11 anything? Yeah, I did. Well, who told you you 12 couldn't apply, you couldn't -- well, the city 13 did. 14 Well, I just don't want that to happen. 15 DR. HERBERT: Would all the trustees like to 16 17 have a copy of the list? 18 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I think that would be a 19 good thing. 20 MR. SCHEU: To protect the applicants, 21 what's the Sunshine rule on this? Does anybody know? 22 23 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I don't think there's any 24 exemption for applicants. 25 MR. SCHEU: Okay.

DR. HERBERT: Anyone whose name is in the 1 pool is subject to public review. 2 3 MR. TUTEN: Did you apply, Bill? Is that 4 what you're trying to tell us? 5 (Laughter) 6 MR. SCHEU: No, no. 7 DR. HERBERT: So I must say that I think one of the benefits of that is that if we set the 8 meeting up for the 9th --9 MR. GLOVER: I'm fine. 10 Perfect. 11 DR. HERBERT: Then any trustee that wants to come can also come to that. 12 know, I think the goal ought to be, again, for us 13 to try to identify -- to determine if we have 14 anywhere from one to five candidates that clearly 15 16 meet our expectations. And if not, then that 17 means that we've got to do something else. 18 But my assumption is that we now have over 19 30 applicants, because the last count a week or 20 so ago, it was 28. So I would be shocked if we 21 don't have more than that. 22 And then if we meet on the 9th, we could be 23 in a position to report to the full Board on the 24 16th, at our regularly scheduled meeting the

results. And that might mean that we need to

25

advertise further or we need to extend the deadline for our review process.

And then if by some chance -- and this is an ideal scenario -- if by some chance we find that there is a person or two people, we could potentially invite them to a special meeting.

And so I wanted to also check to see about either the 23rd or the 26th for the possibility to bring a candidate or two in to have interviews with us, with the possibility of then being able to make a selection.

MR. TUTEN: You say the 25th or -6th?

DR. HERBERT: Either Friday, the 23rd, or

Monday the 26th.

MR. SCHEU: I'm going to be out of town on the 16th, and I was going to ask if we could change it to -- I'll be back in Jacksonville on the 18th for that whole next week.

Would there be any appetite for moving that meeting to either earlier or later in that week? That would mess up your interview, I guess.

DR. HERBERT: We can do the 9th. I think in order to do some of this, it's important, but at least for the 9th for us to go through that screening process just so we have a census of

what the pool looks like. 1 And then the main thing on my schedule is I 2 3 am out of the country for a month. 4 MR. SCHEU: When do you leave? 5 DR. HERBERT: I leave on the 27th, 28th, one 6 of those two dates. I have to look, but one of 7 those two. Maybe the 28th, my flight is. Now, just for the record, and I 8 MR. GLOVER: know you-all have been expecting this, but I 9 agreed to -- I'm going to resign my position on 10 the Board after the first regular meeting in 11 October. 12 MR. SCHEU: We ought to put it October 31st, 13 then. 14 (Laughter) 15 16 MR. GLOVER: Emphasis on "first regular 17 meeting." CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: 18 That's 2017? 19 MR. SCHEU: He didn't specify. 20 MR. GLOVER: I mean, I know most of you knew 21 that was coming, but we'll just make it official here as whenever you schedule the meeting, I'm 22 23 going to make certain that I make the next 24 meeting, the next regular meeting, not including 25 the personnel meeting.

DR. HERBERT: So you would definitely be 1 with us until the 30th, the end of the month, the 2 3 end of October? 4 MR. GLOVER: That's not what I said. 5 DR. HERBERT: I was just trying to approach 6 it in a different way. 7 MR. SCHEU: Well, if you stayed, it would be just for that month. If your process does show 8 promise, then it would be vital for you to --9 MR. GLOVER: Well, let me -- let me just say 10 this. My big initiative was to get the pension 11 deal done with the city, and I want to stay long 12 enough to kind of get this process going as it 13 relates to getting some good candidates in here. 14 But I also feel like we need to start 15 looking for a transition person to decide who 16 that next director will be. But we've -- we've 17 been working on that Personnel Committee 18 19 together, so we just want to get some good candidates teed up so that a decision can be made 20 on who that is. 21 Now, I did move it from the 1st of October 22 23 to the first regular meeting. That's what I'll 24 do. 25 But you didn't say how long

MR. SCHEU:

after that meeting. Just keep your options open. 1 DR. HERBERT: The key is whether or not one 2 3 week would make a difference for you. If we're 4 able to meet that following week, the 23rd or the 5 26th, because the next thing, then, is that the four of us have to select a fifth trustee. 6 7 And I guess implicit in what you're saying, then, is that we need to begin thinking about 8 good candidates --9 MR. GLOVER: Yeah, yeah. 10 DR. HERBERT: -- for that, that all four of 11 us could get. 12 13 MR. GLOVER: Yes, the best person. Not that 14 you will forget, but I'm the fifth guy, and that 15 means --CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: The four of us need to 16 select them. 17 18 MR. GLOVER: Yes. The four of you-all need 19 to be thinking about who would be a good 20 candidate. And we really do need somebody that's 21 going to be able to move it forward. DR. HERBERT: Well, you've been part of this 22 23 selection process. What's happen historically? 24 I guess we can put an end to this, or do you want 25 to finish this and come back and deal with that?

Now, you're talking about as far 1 MR. TUTEN: as the fifth trustee? 2 3 DR. HERBERT: The fifth trustee. 4 MR. TUTEN: People will nominate --5 somebody -- John will nominate somebody. 6 will nominate. Whoever anybody comes up with 7 that they think will be a good fit, you know, and have some sort of experience, et cetera, et 8 Then we'll just talk about it and we'll 9 cetera. vote on it. It's as simple as that, you know. 10 Hopefully it will be painless. 11 MR. SCHEU: Let me do a little lobbying 12 13 here. With the change in what the fund's 14 governance is about, it's important that that 15 person -- I think, since it's going to be about 16 managing assets, that financial experience is 17 18 something I'm going to be interested in. 19 MR. TUTEN: I agree with you, Bill, but I'm going to interject the Rich reality here. 20 21 What happens from time to time in this situation, as we've seen, you know, we were lucky 22 23 to get you when Walt resigned. You know, there's 24 not a long list of people out there.

You know, sometimes -- I'm not saying you're

25

settling, but sometimes, you know, the perfect candidate doesn't come along. You know, so you just have to -- while I agree, the better -- the more financial, the better.

They may not necessarily have that, but they may have, you know, organizational skills, management. Who knows, you know?

MR. SCHEU: Yes. I was just thinking the issues as it relates to labor management issues is a lot different because we're not doing that anymore.

MR. TUTEN: Right. Exactly.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So the worries about that would be -- would tend to be less, I would think.

MR. GLOVER: But if I was -- if I was thinking this process through, I would say the diversity on the Board is what is the main ingredient for the selection of the right person. And that's supposed to -- the way that usually falls out is that you would be looking for a certain person that's got certain things.

And each individual will have a sense for what they see as the right person to serve on this Board. And, really, the one that will survive is the one that can win the majority of

three of the four here, which would mean that you would have served -- you would have achieved the diversity of what collectively you want.

I mean, that's -- that's generally the way the process works. Yeah, yeah. So -- which could be -- because with an equal voting component, you know, you can deadlock it all day. But you've got to put somebody on the table that can win consensus from both sides, you know.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. And I'll throw my two cents in also.

To me, the financial aspect and knowledge is more, but not the most important. With the level of governance that has been installed and the oversight that we have for investments, just the way it's set up, we pay a consultant to do a lot of research and keep us informed. If we have additional questions, they dive into it and they do the analysis. They're at our beck and call to do that. That's what we pay them to do.

We have the -- now we'll be getting into place very soon the Investment Advisory

Committee, which is going to be another level.

In addition to that, we have the city resources, including Joey.

I'm

The job of the trustees is far greater than 1 knowing specific aspects about investments. 2 3 MR. SCHEU: Agreed. 4 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So for me, the person 5 needs to have a greater knowledge of operations 6 and management and, you know, some long-term 7 focus on objectives and how to get there from where we are, and to be able to work with other 8 people to get those things accomplished. 9 The financial aspect? That's great. 10 But we can teach them if they don't have that. Or at 11 least they don't have it to the extent that we 12 want them to, we can provide that education. And 13 we do have a lot of oversight on that. 14 15 So that's my thinking on who this person 16 needs to be. 17 MR. SCHEU: Sure. 18 MR. GLOVER: That's what I'm talking about. Yeah. It will be a -- it will be a lot of mix, 19 but the proof will be what can survive the vote, 20 and that's all. And it works. 21 May I ask another question of 22 DR. HERBERT: 23 Trustee Tuten? 24 When you guys have done this in the past,

did you have -- were there several nominees?

25

just worried about how we do some of this --1 MR. TUTEN: Appearance-wise or 2 fairness-wise? 3 4 DR. HERBERT: And also people being embarrassed that their names were -- came up and 5 6 they weren't selected. 7 MR. TUTEN: Right. DR. HERBERT: Was that ever a question that 8 you had? 9 I mean, you can't really do 10 MR. TUTEN: No. a -- I mean, you hate to say you could do a 11 formal search because it's not a paid position. 12 So I don't think you have to worry about that. 13 It's usually just informally. 14 You know, Bill might know somebody he used 15 to work with or a friend. I might know -- and 16 17 you know, you throw it out there. We'll look at the whole list and, you know, talk about each 18 19 one. And you ask me, I ask you, you ask him, and then maybe the mayor's got somebody he likes. 20 21 Who knows? You know, it's all very informal, always has 22 been, because like I said, it's not paid, it's 23 24 voluntary. So you don't have to worry about 25 impropriety. No, I don't think anyone is going

to get mad if you don't give them a nonpaying volunteer position they have to show up to. You know, it hasn't happened yet. I haven't heard it yet, you know.

DR. HERBERT: So it sounds like what we need to do when we have our next meeting, we need to come forward with some potential names. Is that the way we would do this? And discuss those.

And maybe even -- if we put a name on the table, we've talked with them first to see if they would be willing to serve?

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I would definitely think that would be something we would want to do before.

MR. TUTEN: Well, yeah. I would think -- I would think before you even came up with a name, you know, that just -- make sure that -- you know, give you a simple yes-or-no question.

Hey, if someone was to nominate you to be a trustee on the pension board, would you want to do it? Yes or no. You know, I mean -- because I'm afraid you might run into a lot more nos than you realize.

MR. GLOVER: Well, in this environment, you're going to have some people apprehensive

about it. I mean, maybe three years ago it 1 wouldn't have been the case, but, I mean, it is 2 3 what it is now. 4 So, yeah, you want to ask them first and 5 then -- because you don't want someone to come 6 out formally and then turn you down. I mean, 7 that doesn't need to be said, but I know we all feel like that. Yeah. 8 Is it the view of the group 9 DR. HERBERT: that we ought to talk about that at the formal 10 11 meeting on the 16th and throw out the names and see if there's any --12 MR. TUTEN: Now, when are you talking --13 DR. HERBERT: -- or whenever we have that 14 15 scheduled meeting? MR. TUTEN: You're talking about at the 16 17 normal October meeting? 18 DR. HERBERT: Yeah, the normal October 19 meeting. 20 MR. SCHEU: Again, I don't want to rush it. 21 I would like -- if we have the names, I would like to reflect -- when there's a meeting at 22 23 which we're going to discuss and vote on them, I 24 would like to reflect upon it. 25 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I agree. We have names

1 to present and we can discuss them, but I think we should not vote on the same day we get the 2 3 names. 4 DR. HERBERT: Absolutely not. 5 MR. TUTEN: Right. And there's four of us 6 still, you know. It's not -- so there's still 7 enough to get something done. And then we might have to be nicer to each other for a little 8 while. You know, you still get three out of 9 four, you know. 10 And, truthfully, I don't think there's 11 anything coming up that's going to be any sort 12 of -- you know, contentious or anything. It's 13 just procedural at this point. 14 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Of course, it still 15 16 weighs on me that we will not find a replacement 17 nearly as good as what we have. MR. GLOVER: You're kind. A little 18 19 exaggeration, but you're kind. MR. GREIVE: At least not as well dressed. 20 21 DR. HERBERT: Right. Who dresses as well and also has the experiential base. 22 23 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Now -- and this is kind 24 of related to the search for the new executive 25 director. These candidates, when they're

applying, are now seeing a Board that is going to be 40 percent different. There's five of us.

Two are going to be swapped out here very shortly.

So that may be a consideration of who we're getting as candidates, too. Hopefully not, but something I would think that they would be considering as they're applying for the position.

And hopefully we can give them enough information to let them know that the replacements that we have are quite stable and capable.

MR. TUTEN: Well, there's going to be a learning curve anyway, though, gentlemen, because, I mean, you know, you saw the book John gave to the trustees. It's this big.

They're not going to know 112. They're not going to know 175, 185. They may have caught some of this stuff in the paper with the pension reform.

You know, truthfully, they're so far behind the curve usually anyway, it takes a while to catch up. So at this point, you know, hopefully we can find somebody that is familiar with the stuff, has good judgment, has a history of good

1 judgment, that type of thing, and then we just take it from there. 2 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Back to the scheduling. 3 4 DR. HERBERT: Yes. You said that the 5 currently scheduled meeting, the 16th, does not work? 6 7 MR. SCHEU: It doesn't work for me, and I apologize. But I'll be there all the next week. 8 I can do anything from the 19th to the 23rd. 9 DR. HERBERT: The 23rd is the Friday. 10 MR. GLOVER: I can't do the 23rd. I've got 11 the Board of Trustees -- you heard what the other 12 president said. No, you can't do that. 13 (Laughter) 14 That's one thing you don't 15 DR. HERBERT: 16 mess with. MR. SCHEU: What about the 19th, that 17 18 Monday, which is just a day later? Or to 20th. MR. GLOVER: I can do the 19th. 19 DR. HERBERT: I just have a doctor's 20 21 appointment that morning, but I can do it in the afternoon. 22 That date is fine. I'll be at 23 MR. TUTEN: 24 the fire station all day. DR. HERBERT: I should be able -- that 25

shouldn't last -- anywhere from about 11:45 on, I 1 can do it on the 19th. 2 3 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Do you want to do 1:30, 4 the 19th of October? 5 MR. GLOVER: 1:30. 6 MR. SCHEU: Thank you-all for that 7 accommodation. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And Debbie will make sure 8 that gets posted on the website. 9 Okay. And that will be the meeting to go 10 over the culled-down list? 11 DR. HERBERT: Yes. 12 13 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. So you-all are still going to meet on October 5th? 14 DR. HERBERT: Well, what will happen is that 15 16 we'll get the list on the 5th, and I'll get 17 copies of that to Debbie so you can circulate 18 that to all the trustees. And then we would meet 19 on the 9th --20 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. 21 DR. HERBERT: -- for the purpose of reviewing the candidates, and then that gives us 22 23 that next week in case something else happens 24 that we need to deal with. 25 MR. GLOVER: What time on the 9th?

DR. HERBERT: What works best for you? 1 can do it anytime on that day. 2 3 MR. GLOVER: Let's get it over with. Let's 4 do 9:00, our regular meeting time. 5 DR. HERBERT: 9:00, October 9th. 6 MR. SCHEU: Will there be arrangements to 7 call in if we decide we want to do that? CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: 8 Yes. MR. SCHEU: We can make arrangements for 9 that if someone wants to call in? 10 11 MS. MANNING: Oh, sure. MR. SCHEU: Great. Thank you. 12 MR. TUTEN: You said the 19th was at 1:30? 13 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Correct. So 9:00 on 14 October 9th and 1:30 on the 19th. 15 DR. HERBERT: And we should be able to make 16 17 a presentation to the Board, then, on the 19th. 18 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. We're all caught 19 up on that. 20 And then if we want to DR. HERBERT: 21 interview candidates, we could -- in fact, maybe what we ought to do is just see what happens on 22 23 the 9th with regard to a candidate, if there's 24 someone who really looks good and a couple that 25 really look good, and we'll just reach out to

everyone to see if we can have this special meeting.

Or we can either -- but we need to have our meeting so we can see if there's agreement on it, and then have a follow-up interview session with one or two or whoever they might be.

MR. TUTEN: Yeah. I think we should be able to do it in one day, but the 23rd, I don't think would be a problem.

DR. HERBERT: Good.

MR. SCHEU: Could I ask a question?

First of all, at Rogers Towers we found that once we get this -- as far as it's related to lateral hires, that we have the candidates go through an assessment that Hogan Consulting does, psychological assessment for fit. You-all are probably not familiar with it.

We found it really helpful. Is that part of the process that we might consider for the final people?

DR. HERBERT: I think -- in terms of what the city does normally, what happens with regard to senior-level positions? Is there a -- do people go through any kind of assessment process?

MR. GREIVE: My understanding, based on

1 personal experience of being hired was, there's a background check. You come in, you get 2 3 fingerprinted. You have to come in for an 4 on-boarding day on either a Monday or a Thursday. 5 You do fingerprinting. You submit all your 6 information to do the background check, and then 7 the same day you go to one of three Care Spot locations for drug testing. 8 And I think that's the extent to which we do 9 screening. You know, if the Board wanted to do 10 something along those lines, you know, of Myers 11 Briggs --12 MR. SCHEU: Yeah. It's a real short thing. 13 MR. GREIVE: I think that would be an 14 additional -- we could talk to HR. 15 16 MR. SCHEU: Can you do that, please? 17 DR. HERBERT: Yes. 18 MR. SCHEU: We use Hogan Consultants. It's about \$700 for the assessment. 19 20 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And that's something like 21 a fitness-for-duty type. 22 Is it like a Myers Briggs? MR. TUTEN: 23 MR. SCHEU: No. It's really -- I don't know 24 what they -- they've got their own -- it's a 25 psychological. They do values. They do -- there

are five categories.

And we have found it unbelievable. We did it at the seminary when I was up there. And it just gives you a sense of how they fit and what their -- what their personalities are.

MR. TUTEN: Do you get assigned, like, four letters? Like an E, an N --

MR. SCHEU: No, it's not just the Myers
Briggs. But there are five different categories,
and then the psychologist meets with the group
and goes over the report. So it's very helpful.

DR. HERBERT: What was the name again?

MR. SCHEU: Hogan Consulting.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Would you like to do that on the ones that we cull down and everyone we bring in for interviews?

MR. SCHEU: I think once you get to the final two or something. I don't think you'd -- so I think from the final two, because that tells you how they're going to relate to your other personnel.

MR. TUTEN: Well, the only thing I'll ask about that is, first of all, before we do anything, we have to set the parameters as to how it relates to what we're looking for. In other

words, what are we looking for?

Number 2: Who is going to interpret this?

Is it like an MRI where they have a separate doctor that tells -- you know, writes a report on their personality, or are we all going to get the report and -- I mean, I took child psychology in college, which was nice, but --

MR. SCHEU: No. There's a consulting psychologist that you call in and -- in most of our cases it's a she. And she goes through it and spends an hour on each one, hour and a half, whatever it takes.

And what also is helpful is when the candidate is selected, the psychologist meets with them to help them know if they might need improvement. So it's really a great employment tool.

MR. DARAGJATI: If I can make a comment real quick.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Go ahead.

MR. DARAGJATI: If that document is tendered to the Board, it becomes a public document. I think you guys should take that into consideration as well.

MR. SCHEU: That's a good point.

MR. TUTEN: Yeah, I don't know. I mean,
I've never done that as far as here. I mean,
we're looking at work experience, this, that, and
a background check.

But I don't know -- what you said, Paul, was good because I don't know how many people would be comfortable taking a psychological exam and having it out there on the web in case they didn't get hired. And then -- you know, not that anybody crazy is going to show up.

MR. SCHEU: I agree. I think we just ought to hold that as a possible tool.

DR. HERBERT: Okay. Well, that's the -those are the major issues, and then we will come
in at the meeting on the 19th with proposed
possible candidates for the fifth position.

MR. GLOVER: One of the things -- one of the things I try to do in the interviews, to get to what Bill is talking about, I ask this question of all the candidates:

Is there anything out there in your history that would raise eyebrows or that we would have to answer questions about when this -- if your name is announced? And usually they will respond to that question.

And then if we select someone and we subject them to a closer scrutiny again, and if we find out that they lied during their interview process, then that bleeds over into the integrity issue then.

MR. SCHEU: Sure.

MR. GLOVER: So I hear what you're -- you want to make sure you don't get someone who's just been released from -- I don't want to say this in a public -- some place where, you know, people go when they're a little off center, you know. That's about as mild as I can put it.

But that kind of thing, you can ask that in a gentle way, and it's a reasonable question in an interview process because we are a public board. And let them answer that question so you can achieve this kind of thing. You won't get the details, but you can achieve the same thing.

DR. HERBERT: Okay. Well, those are the major items with regard to the search.

The next thing that we needed to talk about -- and this really -- I think all the Board members can take part in this conversation, you know. This was a committee discussion. So we can bring it back to the full Board.

But as we discussed at the last meeting, we addressed one of the critical issues. There are two major challenges for us as part of this transitional process. One is on the investment side. And with Joey -- he's able to do what we talked about. And, again, I just appreciate Mike Weinstein's feeling that we're all in this

together.

The next part is on the management side.

Let me also tell you that when I talked with

Mike, he indicated that if for any reason we need

some help from Kevin, that he would try to work

with us also, especially coming to the end of the

year, with helping Devin on anything that looks

like it's important, where he has the knowledge

about what's going on. Mike said that he could

make that happen as well.

So we know we have -- between Devin and Kevin, if we have any issues along those lines, that would be helpful.

But there are so many additional matters that have to be done on the management side, that I think it's clear that we have to have someone who is at least providing some oversight on all of this.

And there's some matters that will actually require someone here on the staff to deal with collecting data. I don't know how the payroll thing, for example, works or who signs off on those without him being here, without John being here.

But there are little things like that that have got to be addressed. But I think one thing that I think John has done is he's hired some good people, and they can -- everyone has their assignments and they can do what needs to be done.

But we still have to have someone who can provide overarching leadership, and I have been trying to identify someone who might come in full time.

In doing that it occurred to me that there's one other possibility, and I don't know whether he would be willing to do it, whether or not those in his chain of command would agree to it; but to have the chairman, since he deals with so many of these things on a day-to-day basis anyway, if -- if you would -- if you could see your way through doing some of this in terms of providing overarching leadership, and then figure

out what else you might need to make it work.

Again, I don't know how the sheriff would feel about that, let alone how you would feel about it. But it seems to me that you know so much now about what's going on here, what needs to be done, that if you would be willing to do it, we don't have to go out and bring in someone who has got to learn everything.

And then we end up with a new executive director coming in versus some -- I just want to throw that out for discussion to see, one, how you would feel about it, Larry, you know.

And as you know, in many companies that's one of the things that happens, is that the chairman of the Board will frequently come in to help for short periods of time. And I think what we're talking about is maybe a couple of months.

Again, I don't -- I don't know what everyone is doing -- I have a general feel to what everyone is doing right now, but what you would need to have here for support if you were to assume this kind of role.

I just want to throw that out because I don't -- I haven't seen anyone that could clearly address this short term, and John's last day is

tomorrow. So it's just critical that we have 1 someone that fills that role immediately. 2 I think that would be a natural -- I'm not 3 trying to put a lot of pressure on you, but I 4 5 just want to throw it out just to see if it would 6 be something that you would consider doing on 7 behalf of all your colleagues. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Right. Well, I didn't 8 quite see that one coming. Maybe I'm losing my 9 edge. 10 That's what happened at the 11 MR. SCHEU: seminary. I was The board chair and didn't 12 even (inaudible) 13 14 DR. HERBERT: So you understand. 15 MR. SCHEU: It's short term. Because it may be that when you get the candidates out, we'll 16 have a sense of whether we need a real interim, 17 18 like Tommy Hazouri was saying. It may be that we 19 need a real interim, but we don't -- we don't 20 need that yet. 21 DR. HERBERT: And the problem is we don't have -- frankly, we haven't had time to 22 23 identify --24 MR. SCHEU: I've been working on some of 25 that.

DR. HERBERT: Oh, great. Good. 1 MR. SCHEU: But, I mean, I'm not in a 2 3 position to say anything. 4 MR. GLOVER: I think we stopped right here. 5 MR. SCHEU: He's got deer in the headlights' 6 look. 7 MR. TUTEN: Go ahead, Larry. Do you want to say something? 8 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Well, I mean, I will do 9 whatever I need to do to make it through this 10 11 process for the members. I mean, that's why we're all here. As many of you may know, I do 12 have a full-time job as well that oftentimes 13 extends beyond 40 hours a week. 14 So one of the keys to all this is how my 15 sheriff, my current sheriff, feels about this and 16 17 that may -- I would say I'm going to have to have 18 a discussion with him and he might want to have a discussion with some of you-all. 19 Timewise, obviously, my plate just got quite 20 21 a bit fuller, but I'd be able to manage it. It's a lot more work that I wasn't expecting, but 22 23 willing to do. 24 MR. SCHEU: Good. 25 Well, gosh, why do I always feel MR. TUTEN:

like I'm the Debbie Downer of the group?

The only problem I have -- first of all, I don't know if Larry can actually do it, being in the sheriff's office and this being an independent agency.

With that said, that doesn't -- I think

Larry would be fine either way. The problem I

see is the fact that this transition management
has been delayed, delayed. And now we're
basically up against literally the last day,
which is obviously not the way to do things.

John is obviously a very, very hot topic these days. He's a lightening rod. There's people that are coming after him for this, that and the other. That doesn't concern me as a Board member.

What concerns me is all the little things that we have to do every day, that have to get done every day, which, like I said, I've been down here 13 years and there's lots of things that I have no clue as to what goes on with because John deals with that stuff. He's been dealing with it for a long time.

Now, we've already got the process identified. Truthfully, if it was me, I would

hire somebody and have them shadow -- I would have had them shadowing John six months ago. But we didn't. We're here. Let's just deal with it.

The problem I have is, from a pension fund standpoint, regardless of what's all going on out there, regardless of what, you know, people say, the truth is we have 12-, 1300 current members in the fire department. We have I don't know how many people in the police, way -- a lot. We have a couple thousand retirees.

This is not a matter of, you know, Well, if somebody can do it good enough or -- we're not going to do that because I don't want the members coming back to me saying, Well, why didn't you guys -- look, the best solution is offer John a contract.

We don't have to specify if it's going to be a year or six months. We'll say, Leave it open-ended until we find a replacement and we're comfortable with him taking over, because I'm afraid that there's so many little things that happen on a daily basis -- like, for instance, if Debbie has a problem with something that's going on in Tallahassee.

I mean, no offense to you, Larry, because I

don't -- who do you call? Where do you go? Who is the person we talk to?

You know, you just -- there's a myriad. I know sometimes the press and people outside like to oversimplify what it is we do here, but what they don't understand is we do it with a minimum level of staffing, always have.

The truth is we should have had an assistant director a year or two ago who would have been shadowing John, who would have -- all we would have had to say was, Number 2, you're Number 1 until further notice. But we got our funding taken away and that's a whole nother story.

The truth is we're here now. It's not ideal, believe me. But, you know, it's going to be up to the Board to vote, but my thinking is John stays in place, we give him a contract, we work out the terms when he gets back. If he says yes or no -- I don't know if he's going to say yes or no.

But we continue on this path that we're on. Joey is handling the financial stuff. So that's one less thing John has to really worry about. And then we can start smoothing this thing over for the next guy because the truth is, we don't

know, the next candidate, he may have just been an assistant director somewhere, but he might be perfect for us.

How much is it going to take to get him up to speed? We don't know that. And it's just -- I just don't feel comfortable, you know, going from a well-oiled machine, whether you like John or not, to seven people that work here and then hopefully they catch what they're supposed to. Because if they don't, you know, whether it's Larry, you, me or Randy in the audience, I don't know how to correct it.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Go ahead.

DR. HERBERT: In terms of my reaction, what I would say is I would be more comfortable with Larry coming in trying to -- give him a chance to assess what needs to be done. If he feels that it's essential that we have a contract with John to do something, then he can come back and tell us that.

But I just have a lot -- based upon everything I've seen since I've had an opportunity of working with you on the Board, I just think that you have the skills necessary to make those assessments and to determine what best

can be done to assure the effective operation of the enterprise, and that may include a contract.

But I think that, you know, John has served us very well. And I think about some of the challenges that he's been dealing with, and I think we ought to respect that as much as possible.

I just come down on the side that having

Larry if -- since he's indicated a willingness to

help, to ask him to do that, get him to assess

what needs to be done.

In the meantime, we're going to be working very aggressively to expedite this search. If he concludes that something else needs to be done over the next two months, then we can do that.

I mean, if it looks like we can't hire someone in November and it ends up being later, we clearly have to have an interim probably on a full-time basis, and we can make that judgment at that time.

But personally I feel comfortable with Larry doing it and for him to make a judgment if he feels that he needs someone else to do this, or if he concludes that we really need someone full-time.

I can tell you, I've talked to several folks, and going back to what you were saying, Trustee Glover, not a lot of interest.

MR. TUTEN: Well, I think part of that problem, Dr. Herbert, is I think a lot of people understand what the job entails as far as the level of knowledge.

To give you an example -- was it last meeting, Friday, or the one before? I can't remember. When John showed up the computer printouts of all the payroll stuff.

Now, I don't know, maybe Larry knows all about it. I have no earthly idea. Or whoever we bring in knows all about it. I know the only guy that knows all about it because he was here from the start is John.

And like I side, personalities aside, whether you like him or not, it doesn't concern me. What concerns me is making sure this thing stays on track until we get the right people in place. We've got one day to decide if -- and, truthfully, I mean, I don't know if legally we can do it with Larry as far as being a part-time loaner to an independent agency from the sheriff's office. Because he is a chief. You

have a whole, what, squadron or whatever you-all 1 call it to run? And, you know, the sheriff is 2 3 going to have to replace him with somebody. 4 DR. HERBERT: Well, not necessarily, though. 5 Pardon me for interrupting. 6 Because if he's doing this -- much as with 7 the case with Joey. It's the same basic principle. Joey works for the city, but he's 8 going to help us out --9 10 MR. TUTEN: Right. DR. HERBERT: -- and the same thing would 11 happen with Larry. Now, from a legal 12 perspective, I'm not an attorney. I don't know 13 whether or not there would be any problems or 14 issues with the chairman of the Board filling 15 that role on an interim basis. He's not being 16 17 paid for it. I don't know the answer to 18 MR. DARAGJATI: 19 that. We would have to look at it, to be honest 20 with you. I hate to shoot from the hip and give 21 you an answer that may or may not be correct. MR. TUTEN: Well, that -- go ahead, Bill. 22 23 MR. SCHEU: I was just going to say, sort of 24 splitting the difference here, because I agree

with a lot of what you say, I'm really concerned

25

that we need a real interim.

John has indicated he would be willing to stay on on a consulting basis. I think we've got community perspectives and we've got what's right for the organization. So how can we do that?

It might be that between now and the 19th, we could -- I would be amenable to having a consulting contract with John for that period of time on some basis that you could negotiate.

So we pick that up, and then take that time to check the legality of what you could or could not do, what the sheriff has to say about it.

And by that time -- I've been working -- and there may be somebody that would be a good interim.

So when we come to that meeting on the 19th either deciding to go forward with John or -- I would not have a stated time anyway. I would say either of us can terminate it, you know, on short notice. I wouldn't want to say one month, two months or until we get the next person. I would want to make it an at-will contract.

But at the same time we then might have an indication whether we would be able to get a new interim on some sort of basis, still using John

on a consulting basis. I think that's very important.

But in terms of the management of the organization, which is what you were really talking about and what Tommy was talking about, I think we've got somebody closer.

MR. GLOVER: You still haven't heard from him on a couple of things. I mean, does he want to do it? Are you willing to go to the sheriff and ask him? Can you do it? Is it legal for him to do it?

But the first thing -- and do you think you can do it, knowing what you know about it? I think that's still where we start from right there before we go any further.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I mean, operationally, I think I can do it. That's not what I would lose sleep over. Not having John as a resource, that would be trouble. He's got more knowledge about this place than all of us put together. And to just have him walk out the door and not utilize any of that, to me, would be foolish.

And, again, whether you like the guy or not, that is a side note. We have to do what's in the best interest of the members.

MR. GLOVER: Whether you like John or not? Is that what you said?

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Right.

MR. GLOVER: Well, I think -- I think
whether we -- I think -- and I agree with public
perception. But we're a governance board, and
what we are charged to do is look at what's in
the best interest of our constituency and the
best interest of this operation, and we have to
call it the way it is.

Now, that -- that might be one of those situations like, you know, a sheriff elected president. You have to make some calls that you know this is not going to go over well. But if it's in the best interest and you're trying to do the right thing for the right reason, I think we make the call on that.

MR. SCHEU: Absolutely. And that's why I would be in favor of continuing John, to let us have time to think about it. Let Larry talk with the sheriff and find out legally whether it can be done, and go to the -- and have an arrangement with John through that period so that we know we're covered so that on the 19th we'll be in a position to say, Yeah, we want to go this way or

that way, but we're not sort of grasping at it today.

MR. TUTEN: Like I said, I don't -- it's not that -- I don't think that Larry is unqualified to do it. I'm pretty sure he is. The problem comes into -- it's just like when you get promoted to officer on the fire department. You know, you've ridden with a lieutenant for ten years. You've driven him. You know. You really don't because you've never ridden over on that side of the truck.

Well, now you realize that there are so many hidden things. And the truth is that, while, yes, we have Joey helping us, Joey's got experience with the financial part of it. So that's where the problem comes in with our friend Larry here, is the fact that there's so many little hidden things.

And I understand all the outside pressure.

I do. But that's not my concern. My concern is making sure this pension fund -- that people get their checks, we do the balances correctly, we get the new pension plan incorporated correctly, whether that takes a month, two months, three months, whatever it takes, we get it done because

the problem is if we don't to it correctly, we do mess up, John is not responsible for that all.

This Board is.

And my only concern with putting Larry in charge and having him decide two, three months, Well, you know, I really need John, well, why do we need John now? What did you screw up, Larry? Did you screw up something? Accidentally or not, you know.

I prefer not even go down that road because then we've got the members to contend with because this is their retirement fund. This is their pension check. This is their life.

To me, it just seems like it would be -incorporate like what you said, Bill. Let's just
get -- you know, it's piecemeal. It's not -it's not perfect, but . . .

MR. SCHEU: Would you entertain a motion to negotiate a short-term consulting arrangement with John to carry us through the next meeting? And then we can make the decision.

John is critical to this. And, you know, the community perception is one thing, but we've got to get it right. And these members out here -- I've learned. I mean, we have -- we have

people out there that are dependent upon this organization.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So if you'd entertain it, I would make that motion, that we ask you to negotiate that with John on that basis.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT:

about the Board, our Board.

I'm going to add to that. Well, first I'm going to read this. This is out of Section 22.05 of the charter. This is

"Each member of the Board shall discharge his duties solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of the Jacksonville Police and Fire Pension Fund for the exclusive purpose of providing benefits to participants and their beneficiaries and to frame reasonable expenses of administering the plan and shall exercise such care and diligence as is necessary to accomplish the purpose, according to the provisions of the Florida state statute."

To me, that's what I center myself around when I'm doing this. And that's -- the outside pressures, the accusations, false accusations, the inaccurate news stories, the rhetoric from City Council members, all of that has to be put aside, and we have to do what is right for our

members. And I agree, we need to have John here. 1 MR. GLOVER: What we think is right, what we 2 3 think is right, that will serve our constituency, 4 in the best interest of our constituency. 5 And that could be one way or the other, but 6 I hear what you're saying. I can hear and see 7 both sides of it. But I think you entertain the motion, we get 8 a second and we put it to a vote. 9 MR. SCHEU: With the motion is the addition 10 that we'll get the legal stuff, you'll talk to 11 the sheriff and all that, because we want to keep 12 our flexibility open at this point. 13 So the motion is? 14 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: MR. SCHEU: That we use the chairman to 15 negotiate a short-term relationship with John to 16 17 cover us through the next meeting on the 19th 18 where he would be responsible for the management of the fund. 19 20 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And the operations. 21 The operations of the fund, MR. SCHEU: until we could then readdress the issues on the 22 23 19th. 24 MR. TUTEN: Well, before we get to that 25 part, I know this might be overstating the

obvious, though, but let's assume that Larry goes 1 to bat under the table. Now we've got another 2 3 trustee to replace while we're trying to find a 4 director. 5 So now we're -- I mean, it's going to be --I don't even know how that even works as far as 6 7 having to have another -- you can't have an election for a temporary trustee, I don't think. 8 That's why we need to get this 9 MR. SCHEU: squared away. That's why I'm not in favor of 10 voting on it now. I think we ought to get with 11 John now until the 19th so we can get all these 12 questions answered. 13 MR. TUTEN: Okay. I didn't hear that part. 14 Sorry. I'm trying to simplify things. 15 16 all. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So the motion on the 17 18 table is for negotiating a contract with John 19 just as a consultant through October 19th. 20 MR. SCHEU: Yes. MR. TUTEN: 21 Yeah. 22 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Do I hear a second? 23 MR. GLOVER: I'll second it to get it on the 24 floor. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Additional discussion? 25

MR. TUTEN: Should we limit it to that date?

Because what I'm afraid of is we'll be back here

on the 19th again, we haven't found anybody, we

have to reopen the search.

I mean, can we word it to where it's like, until the Board decides that the services are no longer needed, or something to that extent?

Because if you put the 19th and we draw up a contract for him to sign until October 19th, if we're right back here again on the 19th, then we're going to have to make another motion for another contract. And it just seems like it's sort of, you know . . .

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Well, I think it's important for us to have the flexibility to say, It ends now, or it can go on in perpetuity. We have to have the flexibility to say -- I think we have to have the flexibility to say on whatever day. You know, this time we're going to the 19th, but that doesn't mean it's going to go to the 20th.

MR. TUTEN: Well, in other words, we could put something, Services rendered as needed, until the Board determines at a future date, and we will promise to give you X amount of days notice.

Like we do with money managers. We give them 30 days notice even if they sign a contract. You know, I mean, I'm just trying to save us from having to go through all this again.

MR. SCHEU: Well, again, I'm not in favor of making it -- because the tendency, as good as that sounds, the tendency is, Well, then, we'll just carry it on. I'm not prepared to carry it on.

I want to really explore whether an interim and capable person could be done. And I think by the 19th we'll know that. So I would like to hold our feet to the fire and say, Yes, sir, by then Larry will have answers to those questions and we will be in a much better position than just doing it today with really not a lot of information.

MR. TUTEN: Oh, yeah. I'm not worrying about us determining somebody by the 19th. I'm just worried about if for some reason we don't, or if the guy that we hire -- or woman that we hire says, Look, I'm familiar with X-Y-Z pension down in Tampa, but I'm not familiar with what you guys are doing up here; I would be more comfortable if someone would get me up to speed.

Well, let's just face facts. The only person that's going to get that person up to speed is John. It's not going to be us. It's going to be John. So, you know, like I said, I'm just trying to -- I'm not disagreeing with what anybody says. I'm just saying I would rather just keep it to the point we don't have to go through all this again.

MR. GLOVER: Well, what I hear is that we're talking about John in the interim between now and the next Board meeting. That gives Dr. Herbert and myself to continue to look for an interim too as we look at these other candidates. And when we get to our next meeting, that would determine where we go from there with whatever the situation presents.

If it's the end of the two weeks, that's his motion, until the next meeting. Then we will have another decision to make. But right now I think what he's saying is I'm limiting it to the next meeting.

Now, unless you can convince him to modify his motion, he's saying next meeting, and so that's it.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And beyond the next

meeting, are you seeing us having an interim hired at that point?

MR. SCHEU: I don't know. I would say at that next meeting we'll know what your status is, so that's an option. We'll know whether it would be better to go ahead and have a longer-term relationship terminable on some basis with John where he would do it. Or we would have somebody else that we could think, Well, yeah, over the short period of time, that person might be willing to serve as management, because I think we'll be in that position.

MR. TUTEN: While we're here with that,
Paul, is appointing, say, an interim director -let's say I have a guy I went to school with and
he got his Ph.D. in economies, yadda, yadda.
There's not -- what's the process of us
appointing him?

In other words, like I said, I don't want there to be any appearance that we're favoring somebody we know either in our personal life or professional life, even if it's for interim director.

Is there anything we need to abide by or adhere to? Do we need to put -- you know, in the

Times-Union and say, Hey, we're looking for an interim director? Or is this -- can we find someone, approve them as a Board, and not be, you know --

MR. DARAGJATI: Assuming you don't violate the standard ethical issues that would come with hiring any type of contract employee, then I think it would be best if you're going to hire an interim director, you may want to do it as an independent contractor-type of employee, a consultant.

MR. SCHEU: Exactly.

MR. DARAGJATI: That would be the easiest way to do it, and that way there's no issues of them being able to outlive their contract. I mean, it's just like any other professional that you hire for this fund, lawyers, money managers, anything else. I think that would probably be the most efficient way.

And as far as personal contacts with the Board, as long as you make it known to the rest of the Board that Mr. Doe here, I went to school with him back in high school. But as long as you're not profiting in any manner whatsoever, there shouldn't be a problem.

0.0

It would -- as far as belt and suspenders, announce that you know this person, announce that you encouraged them to apply for the position, and announce any type of -- if you have any type of economic arrangement from them on something else, either side business with them, whatever, make sure everybody knows that.

And then you may not want to -- you may want to recuse yourself from voting on that particular issue.

But I think just the regular standard ethical issues that you consider in every vote you make would apply here.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I think there's a very good possibility that if there is an interim director, it's going to be somebody that one of us knows. That's where our pool is probably going to come from, because most likely they're going to be -- to carry us through this period.

In addition, I would like me to be Plan C, not Plan A.

MR. GLOVER: I'm sensing that.

MR. TUTEN: Yeah. And I think by doing it that way, I really am just trying to streamline and get this thing done as fast as possible

1 because it really has drug on way too long. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I agree. 2 3 MR. TUTEN: And a lot of it -- really, most 4 of it was out of our control because we had 5 pension reform up until about three weeks ago it seems like. So we haven't been able to deal with 6 7 stuff like this, because even though we've been here for one meeting today, we see it's already 8 branched out, you know, as they so often do. 9 And I have no problem with Larry being in 10 charge just as long as -- you know, if John 11 consults two days a week, three days? 12 It doesn't matter to me, but I want to be able to make sure 13 we have someone that knows, Yeah, you're having 14 trouble with payroll? Oh, you need to call 15 16 so-and-so over at City Hall, or so-and-so. Or, 17 This is where you really need to look. Debbie 18 may not know or Devin may not know. I surely 19 don't, you know. 20 MR. SCHEU: And that's why we need a 21 consulting arrangement with John. 22 So did you make a motion? MR. TUTEN: Is 23 that what --

Yes, I did make the motion.

think it gives us maximum flexibility to come

24

25

MR. SCHEU:

back on the 19th prepared to discuss it. 1 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So, once again, to 2 3 summarize the motion, I will negotiate with John 4 a consultant arrangement with him through the 5 19th, to run basically the day-to-day operations. 6 Any suggestion on number of hours? 7 MR. SCHEU: I would leave that in your judgment. 8 DR. HERBERT: I'd just make it an hourly 9 10 contract. Right. 11 MR. SCHEU: CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: With a cap? 12 DR. HERBERT: With a cap. 13 MR. SCHEU: No benefits, straight hourly. 14 DR. HERBERT: A 1099-kind of thing. 15 16 MR. TUTEN: Right. MR. SCHEU: And don't forget the General 17 Counsel's office is available to do this kind of 18 thing. So they -- now, if you want, I'll call 19 Jason when the meeting is over and tell him what 20 21 we've done. We're going to need some legal help on that, and that's what they're there for. 22 23 that doesn't cost us anything. 24 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: That sounds good. 25 that's the motion.

1	Do we have a second?
2	MR. TUTEN: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any further discussion?
4	(No responses.)
5	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All in favor?
6	(Responses of "aye.")
7	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Opposed?
8	(No responses.)
9	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Passes unanimously.
10	All right. That was the Personnel
11	Committee.
12	DR. HERBERT: That concludes the agenda that
13	I had to bring to the Board.
14	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: The last item we have on
15	the agenda is the signature authorization fund,
16	treasurer.
17	MR. GREIVE: Did John leave you guys with
18	anything on that?
19	MS. MANNING: Yes. I have the forms you
20	sign. So I guess we just need to vote on it. We
21	just need to approve it. It's just Larry,
22	Tuten we have the original form. I can go get
23	it. We didn't make copies, I guess.
24	DR. HERBERT: This is what we agreed on at
25	the last meeting in terms of authority that will

1 be given to Joey? MS. MANNING: I believe so, yes. 2 MR. SCHEU: So we don't need another motion. 3 4 We've already approved it. 5 MS. MANNING: You've already approved it. 6 Good. I wasn't at the last meeting, so I 7 apologize. MR. GREIVE: Through the Chair, I think 8 procedurally all that needs to happen is the 9 secretary would need to sign that. 10 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So we can show that for 11 information purposes. 12 And is there any additional items? 13 MR. SCHEU: Just this thing. So are we 14 deferring this until -- this is the ad? 15 Because it seems to me that if we find them, we don't 16 17 need to do that yet. DR. HERBERT: Yeah. I would like to hold 18 off on this ad now until we see what the pool 19 looks like. 20 21 MR. TUTEN: Joey, do you want to say something? 22 MR. GREIVE: The only thing I would -- I 23 would say, Mr. Chair, is, you know, Pensions & 24 25 Investments magazine is widely followed, widely

I think the majority of the Board members 1 known. get a copy. Pretty much everybody in the 2 3 industry has the app too. So we get the little alerts, you know, when jobs pop up and stuff like 4 5 that. 6 So if and when the Board decides to post, if 7 you need to post -- I mean, it is -- I noticed it's over \$2,000. So that may be given some 8 consideration. But if you decide to post, that 9 will be casting the net as wide as you ever could 10 in the industry. 11 DR. HERBERT: Well, the posting day would be 12 13 10/5. So do we post it once? 14 MR. TUTEN: Yeah. You can always call them 15 up and see if they can -- I'm sure they can. Let me ask, what was the last advertisement 16 in? Was it in Pension & Investments? 17 18 MR. GREIVE: Yes. DR. HERBERT: Yes. 19 MR. TUTEN: Was it just a line, like in the 20 21 back where you see -- just like a sentence, it wasn't a block or anything? 22 23 DR. HERBERT: Actually, I thought it was a 24 block. 25 For the deputy executive MS. MANNING:

director, it looked just like this one. 1 MR. GREIVE: It looked a lot like this. 2 3 MR. TUTEN: Oh, okay. 4 MS. MANNING: And it was 2000- -- I think it was around --5 6 MR. TUTEN: So basically the same ad. 7 They're just doing it again. I gotcha. Let me ask you, what's the next step up for 8 the ad? In other words, more real estate on the 9 page and how much is it? 10 MR. GREIVE: For an extra \$300, looking at 11 the second page, it looks like you could become a 12 featured job. So you would show up at the top of 13 14 all the postings. MR. TUTEN: I mean, maybe that -- to me, if 15 just seems like a featured job, additional 300 --16 17 would it help? Do you think it would be more prominent? Do you think it doesn't matter? 18 19 MR. GREIVE: Well, I read this periodical every month when it comes out. And that page 20 21 with the jobs is only one page, so it's not a lot to get through. Maybe you save 300 bucks. 22 23 don't think it makes it prominent enough to pay 24 for that. Is Pension & Investments the 25 MR. TUTEN:

only avenue we have? I mean, is that -- or is that just the best avenue?

MR. GREIVE: There's GFOA as well, but then you're casting the net with kind of the government finance crowd, not -- you know, in Pensions & Investments, you're getting the endowment crowd, the foundation crowd, the corporate pension-plan crowd. You're getting a pretty wide net.

But to Trustee Herbert's point, you know, maybe, depending on the candidates you've already got, maybe you save the \$2,000. I don't know what the candidate pool looks like.

MR. TUTEN: Well, I'm afraid, too, if we post it, like tell them to ASAP it, then we're still going to be open, you know, after the initial round. Then we get back to that whole, Hey, I put my application in, you know, why didn't you -- so I think we either hold it for now --

DR. HERBERT: My bias is to hold it. Let's just see. Because we'll know in a few days what the pool looks like, and if it looks like this -- looks like it's weak, if the Board doesn't have a problem, I'll just go ahead and ask that we post

this so we don't have to wait for another meeting 1 to do it. 2 3 MR. SCHEU: We can just delegate that to the Personnel Committee based on your meeting when 4 5 you think it's a good idea. 6 DR. HERBERT: Okay. 7 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I would have no issue with posting it now. If you want to wait, use 8 your judgment and see what kind of applicants we 9 get and then decide from there, I'm fine with 10 that. 11 It's just important that we know 12 MR. SCHEU: 13 that we've cast a large net. 14 DR. HERBERT: Yes. I've got you. Okay. Well, I'll talk with Rhonda. 15 back tomorrow, get a feel for the pool, and she 16 17 may have some advice on that also, in which case I'll call back over. 18 MR. TUTEN: And I would ask the other 19 trustees, too, once you get the list and it's 20 21 given to everybody, you know, it's sent out, that we all spend some time, you know, really for 22 23 whatever metric you have personally --24 (inaudible) -- you know, that we kind of come

in -- and that way we don't have to sit here and

25

go, These are my top five. And then you say, 1 Well, no, these are -- well, I go, That's a good 2 3 idea. And then maybe hopefully we'll find somebody that's, you know, good enough to go and 4 5 then we can all wrap this up and go home. 6 MR. SCHEU: We don't need somebody that's 7 just good enough to go. I hope we get a really good, really outstanding person to come in. 8 MR. TUTEN: Well, I agree a hundred percent, 9 I have -- I have thoughts on that process, 10 Bill. but I'm going to save them for a later date, my 11 Let's see what comes out of it first. 12 friend. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: One last comment. 13 I took the liberty of asking the staff to 14 make extra copies of the Board packets to place 15 on the table for anybody in the public who wanted 16 17 I think it's the right thing to do and them. save us time, in addition. 18 19 MR. SCHEU: Very good. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So I've asked them to do 20 21 that for each one of the meetings. And if there are no further items --22 23 DR. HERBERT: I move we adjourn. 24 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We are adjourned. 25 (The Board meeting adjourned at 3:55 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Denice C. Taylor, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida at Large, the undersigned authority, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript, pages 3 through 72, is a true and correct computer-aided transcription of my stenographic notes taken at the time and place indicated herein. DATED this 15th day of October, 2015. Denice C. Taylor, FPR Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large My Commission No. FF 184340 Expires: December 23, 2018