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MR. KEANE: Everyone please rise and join me as we pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

Thank you very much. Please be seated.

Mr. Chairman and Trustees, the first item on the agenda, public speaking period.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Do we have any public speakers?
(No public speakers announced.)
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We will close the public speaking period.

MR. KEANE: Mr. Chairman and Trustees, I recommend that we deviate from the current agenda and go ahead and take up the consent agenda and approve the members under the Trustee Rule, and clear and unrestricted.

MR. TUTEN: I'll make a motion we accept the consent agenda.

DR. HERBERT: Second.
MR. SCHEU: Third.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All in favor?
(Responses of "aye.")

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Opposed?
(No responses.)
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Passed unanimously.
MR. KEANE: Mr. Chairman, I suggest
that we take up the Personnel Committee
recommendation next because of the volume of work that's going to be required after whatever you do, you do.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Dr. Herbert.
DR. HERBERT: Yes. First, I'd like to give a report on behalf of the Personnel Committee. We had the opportunity of interviewing six candidates. I think overall our feeling was that we had some very good candidates.

And I know we're very grateful for the interest that each of them has demonstrated, the kind of research that they were engaged in. The feedback that they gave to us, I thought, was particularly helpful. And some of the ideas that were put forward, that could be very helpful to us.

The committee did feel, however, that
there was one candidate who stood out, and in part, because of the extensive background that she has had in the administration of programs such as ours, entities such as ours.

She's currently serving as executive director of the City of Fort Lauderdale's police and fire retirement system. She also has held a similar position in Miami Beach where she served as pension administrator for, in that case, two large retirement systems actually helping that community develop its plan.

And so what I'd like to do, on behalf of the committee, is to recommend -- let me tell you what the recommendation is, and I'd like to make a motion, Mr. Chairman, that we extend an offer to Lynn Wenguer to be the next executive director of the Jacksonville Duval County Police and Fire Pension Fund.

And I think, as I recall, that the intent of the committee is that the offer be extended to her, that the chairman of the board be authorized to negotiate with her and that the salary range for those negotiations would be within the framework of the position advertisement.

As you will recall, we did conduct the appropriate salary study, and the range, included in the advertisement, was consistent with that study. We also feel that it's important that she undergo the normal background check to ensure that there are no issues there.

And so if there are any additional comments about that, this might be a good time to do that. If not, I'd like to make a motion consistent with that recommendation from the committee.

The committee moves that we extend an offer to Lynn Wenguer to be the next executive director of our pension fund, contingent upon successful completion of the appropriate background studies and that the chairman of the board is authorized to negotiate a contract with her within the salary structure that we have previously adopted and within the context of the benefit plans that we have established.

MR. TUTEN: I'll second the motion.
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any further
discussion?
MR. SCHEU: Yes, technically, a motion that comes from a committee doesn't require
seconds, so that will save some time going forward. I really do want to wholeheartedly -I'd really like to thank you guys for deferring this 'til today and having her have an opportunity to meet with Mike Weinstein, who I spoke with, and I'm really convinced that we did need administrative talent more than the investment talent in this position.

I think she's got loads of experience that will be very helpful, so I really think this is great. I do suggest that you try to get a commitment from her. I'd keep it at an atwill sort of contract but get some sort of understanding of how long she's -- she is from Jacksonville, as you all know, because we were all there. And one great thing is, she's a Gator.

MR. TUTEN: Like I tell people, as far as down here's concerned, my friend, the only thing I'm concerned about is the color green. If they make us money, that's all I care about. MR. SCHEU: I do think this was a bridge-building opportunity going forward, and I'm grateful that you all did -- it's our decision. There's no question about it. But I
think to have the input of the mayor's office and the senior staff there is very important. I'd also hope, given our conversation with her, that we ask the council to move, one way or another, on the ordinance. I think John said that has to do with naming the executive director as being within the general employees' funds, so we have that alternative. I think that gets us beyond what the task force was saying so that $I$ think that just starts to create a new sort of framework going forward. And that ordinance is pending, and they ought not sit on it.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And that is in the agreement, the agreement between the PFPF and the city, but $I$ guess it hasn't made it's way into the extra ordinance yet.

MR. SCHEU: Steve may know that, but it is a pending ordinance. And John may know it too. It has not been --

MR. KEANE: It has not been adopted yet.

MR. SCHEU: So we need to really push that forward. And I'll be glad to help with that to the extent I can be helpful.

MR. TUTEN: We're trying to put the future director in the general employees' plan?

MR. KEANE: It will give you that option.

MR. SCHEU: Right. It gives you the option.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And I agree. I think she'd be a great fit. She has the background, and I think she'd represent us well. She'd care about the members. She's in it for the right reasons.

On the negotiation side, $I$ think it's going to be a little difficult to say just an at-will contract, considering the board turnover, number one, and the history up to this point which, hopefully, is turning a corner. But I think we need to keep open the possibility of more than just an at-will contract. I'm not sure she would agree to that.

MR. SCHEU: As long as it's terminable on some reasonable basis. That's all I mean by it.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay.
MR. SCHEU: So like 30 days or 60 days or whatever, but it's not a five-year contract,
for example. It's either party can terminate it on some basis.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I'll try that. If that's the limitation you all want to put on it, then that's what I'll go with.

MR. SCHEU: No. I think we'd consider the contract when you bring it back.

MR. TUTEN: Bill, you're talking about leaving that parameter in there for the entire duration of a contract with her or any director? In other words, that we could come -- the Board decides that we can terminate you within 30 days?

MR. SCHEU: Thirty days or sixty days, but some shorter period than just the whole term.

MR. TUTEN: Going forward like one -no matter how long she's here? Is that what you're --

MR. SCHEU: I think it's always good, in an employment contract, to have the employer be able to reconsider.

MR. TUTEN: I'm going to disagree with you, simply for the fact that in this position you're talking about policemen, you're talking
about firemen, people that have committed long term to the city.

We're looking for someone -- now, I don't expect the director to be John Part Two and work 30 years for us. But, like I said at the last meeting, I want to inspire this person to have confidence in coming here, that, you know, because we have had some excitement around here for a while, $I$ don't want them to think, well, these people are just -- if for some reason they don't like something I do or say that they can get rid of me in 30 days. She's uprooted her entire life and career to come here.

John, what was our average duration with you? A three-year contract on average? MR. KEANE: Um-hmm.

MR. TUTEN: Yes, I think there needs to be some level of security for this person outside of -- I mean, I understand coming from the private sector. I understand that type of arrangement is not -- but pension world, public civil service world, you know, and especially in our case, we're looking for somebody with a specific set of skills, with a specific level of
experience, with a specific -- and then we've pretty clearly seen so far they're not knocking down the door to get here.

So let's make sure that we go into this negotiation, you know, with everything available. You know, like the chairman said, "Look, we can try that to see if she wants to agree to it," but I don't want us to be held a hundred percent, fast rule, that, you know, she doesn't agree to be able to get fired with a 60day notice from here 'til whenever she leaves, that that's it because I don't personally feel that that's the way to go about it. But I'll go along with it for now, but I don't think that's the way to go about it.

MR. SCHEU: I'm sensing that too, and I appreciate that. And it really is the for cause, so that if she -- as long as we're adequately protected. And maybe the general counsel's office cold help with that.

MR. TUTEN: Well, I understand what you're saying there, but we need to specify the "for cause" part, you know what I mean, because civil service rules and that -- and it's just I don't want it to muddy the water to the point
where we have trouble finding someone.
DR. HERBERT: The "for cause" thing, I think, is really key. But in terms of your contract, John, is that the three years, and it's renewed every three years, or how does that work?

MR. KEANE: It started out as a threeyear contract. And then it had, for a while, an automatic renewal feature. It always extended a year. And the last the time the Board renewed it, they renewed it for a five-year period.

And the language is in the employment agreement. They can be terminated for cause, and you can terminate them without cause. You've just got to pay them off, just like football coaches.

MR. SCHEU: That's exactly right.
MR. KEANE: We could use the language that's in the current existing employment agreement as a basis for you, Mr. Chairman. I'll get you a copy of that, and you use that as an outline.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Again, my concern is given the history up to this point, the turnover on the board -- and most likely one more member
is going to be leaving in the near future -- to ask somebody to commit to us when we've had that much turnover just on the Board, in the history up to this point, I think without extending some assurance that we want you here long term, it's going to be a difficult sell.

MR. SCHEU: You all have convinced me on that.

DR. HERBERT: As a matter of fact, I'm comfortable with the idea of the five years renewable with the clause in there about termination for cause, and that's standard, I think, in terms of --

MR. TUTEN: I'm fine with that. Bill, I'm sorry, Buddy, if I misinterpreted what you were saying, but $I$ just didn't --

MR. SCHEU: You all helped me out on that. That was good.

MR. TUTEN: I don't know if anybody would take a job where they could, you know --

MR. SCHEU: I don't think it ought to have an automatic renewal every year. I do think that it ought to be renewable upon mutual agreement at the end of the term. I think where it gets automatic, then $I$ think that doesn't
work out well.
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Or at least review to see if you want to extend it by the Board.

MR. SCHEU: At the end of the term, right.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: But, I mean, at the end of the term.

MR. SCHEU: Well, you can review it -right. You don't have to say when you're going to do it, but by the end of the term. It's not automatically extended. It's subject to renegotiation, so I'm comfortable with that.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mr. Durden.
MR. DURDEN: Yes, Steven Durden, General Counsel's Office.

As a reminder, we're here to -- this is one of these that our office can help with, and I'm glad I've heard some of the conversation because there is a balance between a pure atwill contract, which I know you all don't want, and a contract with the sense of security that you clearly want with your new executive director, and there are ways to do that.

Creating the definition of what is for cause, all of those are things that can be done
by using what you've got for now as a starting basis would be good. I'll make sure -- I don't know that I'll -- we'll talk later about who might help you with this, creating the contract, because there are plenty of contract ideas that make sure you guys get what you want which is a sense of security for this person.

And you've got the ability, if things turn out horribly bad, you can get rid of her. I know you want that as well because I've heard you. So we're here to help get a contract with you. If you'll -- whenever you'd like to contact me after the meeting and get started, we can help you, in any way you'd like, to create the contract that gets you guys what you want which is this person.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And one thing from your office I'd like assurance on is I don't have to wait for things. I want to be able to have an attorney that's dedicated to getting this done when we need it to get done.

MR. DURDEN: Yes, sir. I will talk to Jason. I will make sure that's done, whoever it is, whether it's me or somebody else who has done a few more contract negotiations.

MR. SCHEU: I absolutely agree. It's a service business, and we can't dispute. We've got to get service too.

MR. DURDEN: And we realize this is important to you guys to get this done, by the way, and we we'll make sure that that happens.

MR. SCHEU: Great.
MR. DURDEN: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. So we've had the motion. Any other discussion on it?
(No responses.)
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All in favor?
(Responses of "aye.")
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Opposed?
(No responses.)
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Passes unanimously.
DR. HERBERT: I think she'll be a great addition to the community as well as to the fund.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I'm very hopeful.
MR. SCHEU: And thank you for the process. We didn't really get a whole lot of administrative types. And our decision was on the investment side versus the administrative side. I think we've come down right. And she's
really going to be -- she's going to be good.
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And I'd like to compliment the Personnel Committee Chair. You did a very good job in keeping it all organized and on track, inviting people to attend and getting all input from all the perspectives. It was a very good process.

DR. HERBERT: Now, the one other thing that we may need to at least think about, my assumption is that you're going to negotiate very effectively. And the sense I had was that she was definitely interested in the position.

If by some chance things don't work out, I think it's important for us to be prepared to begin advertising immediately if you determine that that is not going to work.

And under those circumstances, I'd like to just get a sense of the Board that perhaps we ask John to post this in the professional publications and that we include a clause that indicates that we're especially interested in someone who has had prior experience in fund administration so that it's not as open-ended as it was this time. Because the thing that struck me was that all of us were impressed with the
scope of her background in administering a fund. And it seems to me, at this point, that's absolutely essential.

And so if you're in agreement, then what we could do is ask John to make sure that -- hopefully, this will all work out, but if it does not, then we would immediately proceed to advertising with that additional component added to the position description.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I agree.
MR. KEANE: So then the motion before the Board is, in the event the --

DR. HERBERT: It's a separate motion.
MR. KEANE: The last motion's already been adopted. The motion Dr. Herbert's making is in effect that if the designee declines that we're authorized to start the nationwide search.

The emphasis is going to be on publicplan administration background. And I can get with Rhonda and have them rescore the various qualities in there and move that up to a higher level. Because as Dr. Herbert just pointed out, most of the people you got were investment people, and we have squadded them already.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And we may get a
different group of applicants with all those qualifications.

MR. SCHEU: With all that said, she's eminently capable. And to the extent we need to recruit her as much as just negotiate -- I mean, if we need to sell it, we need to sell it too, so.

MR. KEANE: So the standby motion is to do the nationwide search with these different parameters. That was the motion Dr. Herbert made. And who seconded that?

MR. SCHEU: I will.

MR. KEANE: Mr. Scheu?

MR. SCHEU: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any further
discussion?
(No responses.)
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All in favor?
(Responses of "aye.")
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Opposed?
(No responses.)
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Passed unanimously.
All right. We'll switch back to the new business, selection of a fifth trustee for the Board of Trustees.

DR. HERBERT: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to propose that we delay this. I'd like to have -and I appreciate the opportunity to meet the person that you recommended, but I'd like to see if there's some other candidates as well.

I feel very strongly that one of our objectives ought to be commitment to maintaining diversity on the Board. And, again, the person you recommended may be the right person, but I'd just like to have an opportunity of talking with some additional candidates before a decision is made on that.

So I'd like to ask this be deferred until the next meeting until we have an opportunity to see if there are other candidates that might be willing to serve and then make a decision.

MR. SCHEU: I agree with that. It was good to meet him. It brought back some memories when we had engaged with each other before. But this is an important decision, and I'd really like to see it deferred until Adam comes back from his travels.

I think we were trying to get where we wouldn't have a November meeting, if possible,
but he'd be back. So in the first week or so of December we could meet. And I think that would give us some time to reflect upon it and to see if there are other candidates and then make a decision based on that.

DR. HERBERT: And I'll just also note that as we went through this process where there were six candidates, one stood out, and I just like the idea of having more than one person to look at as we make those decisions.

MR. TUTEN: Does anybody have a candidate?

MR. SCHEU: I've been inquiring, and I've asked some people for suggestions. They've gone out of town. So I want to see what people -- what the community wisdom -- what they think. And I think Adam's point about diversity's very important. And so I just --

MR. TUTEN: Well, the only caution I'll have is, number one, everybody's going out of town, and I don't think we should rush something just so we can actually have a November meeting, but that's part of this.

But the other part of this, as I'm going to caution everyone, is, you know, we have
someone who's familiar with the process. And I'm all for diversity. I'm all for -- like I mentioned earlier, the only color I care about here is green. I want people to make me money so my pension survives.

Part of that is having people at this table that have an idea of the process. Now, admittedly, some people come and they're new to the process like Dr. Herbert, but Dr. Herbert's a sharp guy. It didn't take him long to catch on.

Not everybody is acclimated to this environment. And when you throw in the fact that there's a lot of people that probably won't volunteer simply because of what's -- you know, the environment that we're in, you factor those, and what we're going to have is sort of a narrowing pool of applicants to volunteer to sit here.

Let's remember, volunteer. You do not get paid. There's no benefits to this. And I'm afraid if we've got somebody that's actually willing to volunteer and is familiar with the process, you know, I'm happy to have you, in other words. That's just my opinion. I don't
know where all these other applicants are going to come from. I just don't. I don't see them. You know, that's up to you guys.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And, again, my
number one concern is the function of the Board. Dr. Herbert's going to be out for a month. We have three trustees remaining.

DR. HERBERT: Well, technically, you have four.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Right, if he's available.

MR. SCHEU: But three's still a quorum, and we'll be able to operate.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: You travel quite a bit. So if a situation arises, I don't think it's in the best interest of the members for us to put into place a fifth member who could help us avoid a situation where if something comes up and we don't have a quorum. To me, that's not in the best interest of the members.

Rick Townsend is very well qualified. He's been in the community a long time. He's got administrative experience. He's been on the advisory committee for over ten years.

He understands the pension. He
understands the issues. I don't think there's any question about his qualifications. To me this should not be a political appointment. This should be somebody who can come in and we can trust to do what's in the best interest of the members.

I haven't heard anything that would lead me to believe that anybody thinks anything other than that of Mr. Townsend. Very capable, would fit in quite well, would take this position seriously and do a good job at it.

He doesn't have a political agenda. To me that should not enter into our decision making at all.

MR. SCHEU: I agree.
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I agree with the diversity issue, and there will be another opportunity for the city council to make sure that happens.

And, again, I think we should put, number one, the best interest of the members. And to me the best interest of the members is to have a fifth member who is available, who's committed, who's qualified, and we trust will do a good job and not leave us in a position to
where if an emergency comes up, and we can't handle it because we didn't do our job of putting in a fifth member. DR. HERBERT: Bottom line is I agree with you. And I guess the only thing I would say is that the process requires that the four of us agree on someone. And I guess what I'm saying is, right now I'm not prepared to do that.

And I trust your judgment about him. He clearly has the experience. This is not a negative statement about him, but $I$ just need to have more time. And I want to have a chance to look at at least one other candidate to make sure that, at least in terms of my analysis of it, that $I$ feel that I've cast a vote in the right way for the benefit of our members. I'm not there yet.

MR. TUTEN: Well, let me ask you this,
Adam. When are you going out of town?
DR. HERBERT: Monday morning.
MR. TUTEN: When are you coming back?
DR. HERBERT: The 25th.
MR. TUTEN: Of November?
DR. HERBERT: Of November.

MR. TUTEN: So is there going to be anybody that you're going to interview or talk to between now and then, or you're just waiting to see if somebody else -- because, I mean, I don't personally know anybody or have any candidates for the spot.

You know what I mean? Most of my friend circle are current members, so they're not really eligible. I can't talk my dad into it no matter what. Trust me. He doesn't want anything to do with it.

Bill, do you have -- and what I'm trying to do is, I don't want to keep it from him if we're just going to keep waiting for somebody to show up delaying the vote.

MR. SCHEU: I'm trying to see if
people -- I've talked to a couple of people. One said, yes, he would be interested. And then his employer said, "Well, maybe that's not such a good idea."

MR. TUTEN: Well, that happens a lot.
MR. SCHEU: Right. So anyway I'm like Dr. Herbert. I don't think we need to rush. We've got a month. I'm going to be working -- I want a sense of what people in the community --
maybe somebody from the task force might be good.

I think Rick is a good candidate. I'd like to just see a resume' of his. I mean, he said what he did the other day, but we don't even have a bio. I'd like to see that.

MR. TUTEN: Well, and another thing to take it with the chairman's -- Chief Schmitt's idea -- looking down the road further, we get a fifth one here, we get someone here who's not familiar with the process.

DR. Herbert's a short-timer. You've only got, what, a year? A year and a half maybe?

DR. HERBERT: Yes.
MR. TUTEN: So, in other words, within a year and a half, this entire board, more than likely, if I'm still here hanging on by a thread -- it will be me and Chief Schmitt -- and we'll have essentially three brand new people that really, truthfully, don't really know much about this place and how it works and the whole process.

And it's going to become -- I don't want to say it's going to bog down the process,
but it's much easier to get things done and explain things with somebody that already knows what's going on versus explaining to them what are chapter funds, and what's Chapter 175. It's no offense. I was there -- been there, done that.

But it's just a lot easier as a board, as a pension fund, for the director to have someone that knows.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And I want to make one more appeal to you all. The impact of not selecting a fifth member, the impact that could have on our recruiting for the executive director position, if I'm looking to uproot and move and accept a position to a board that can't even agree to elect a fifth member, with the amount of turnover that we've already had, that's a red flag for me if I'm that candidate.

I think this is a compounding impact, and it's just going to make that that much more difficult. If we don't do this and we lose our candidate, we're that much further behind.

I don't think that is in the best interest of the members. This is not a political thing. This is an operational thing.

We have to have our members in place. We have a very competent candidate.

You both had an opportunity to meet him. And this isn't a rush job. We knew about this for over a month, and we've been working on this for over a month.

MR. SCHEU: And me too. And I don't think we're saying we're not electing a fifth member. I think we're saying we need to be thoughtful about it and have an adequate group, if possible.

As I recall, she said she couldn't come for 90 days anyway, so we've got plenty of time to elect a fifth trustee.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: But she has to commit to do that. If I'm in her shoes, a huge red flag.

MR. TUTEN: Well, part of the problem is, like I said just a second ago, let's extrapolate out and go forward with your two positions. If she's seeing what problem we're having here just having to come up with a fifth one, then she's going to go ahead and say, "Well, when I do commit to this job a year from now, what's it going to turn into?" because now
the city council is going to replace two people.
"Well, who am I going to have to deal with?" She'll be here just long enough to get comfortable with you, not real comfortable with you, and then she's going to deal with two more people. In other words --

MR. SCHEU: It's going to work out just fine. It really is.

MR. TUTEN: Well, how about we do this. It's obvious we're not going to be able to get a vote passed today on anything. Can we --

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Well, I'm going to put it up for a vote because $I$ want it on record we've predicted what's going to happen here. We don't vote on something today, and something comes up two weeks from now, three weeks from now that we could have prevented, there should be no question as to why we weren't ready for it.

MR. TUTEN: I'll make a motion we -- I don't want to say elect Rick Townsend, but I guess select Rick Townsend as the fifth trustee. I'll make a motion.

MR. SCHEU: I technically don't know if the Chair can second that motion. Steve can
answer that because it might die for lack of a second.

MR. DURDEN: Under Roberts Rules of Order, generally, the Chair does not get involved in motions, seconding, et cetera, et cetera. Voting of course, but not seconding.

I think you can ask someone to be the Chair, if you like; but normally it does take a second from someone who's --

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I don't think we need to go that far. If we don't get a second, we don't get a second.

MR. SCHEU: That's not to say it can't be -- we're not saying no to Rick Townsend. We're saying no to the timing. And I want that made clear, and I hope he will understand that.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: To me it's
disappointing that we have an opportunity to make sure we're not in a position that puts the members in a bad spot. We're not taking that opportunity.

DR. HERBERT: I don't agree with your assessment of the situation, although I agree with almost everything you do, but I don't agree with this.

MR. TUTEN: When did we schedule for
the November meeting?
MR. KEANE: November 20th, I believe it is.

MR. TUTEN: So it will just be the three of us?

MR. SCHEU: And I'll be on the phone then.

MR. TUTEN: We can't have a meeting unless we have, physically, three people here.

DR. HERBERT: I thought the last time we talked about it we were going to do it in December.

MS. MANNING: It was December, and we were going to change it to --

MR. TUTEN: We're going to change it
'til -- well, then we're going to just have to change it until after Adam gets back?

MR. KEANE: Sometime in December?
DR. HERBERT: Yes.
MR. TUTEN: Okay. So we're essentially
not going to have a November meeting then?
DR. HERBERT: No.
MR. SCHEU: Right.
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. Now,
since we don't have a fifth member, we need to designate a planned administrator. John's official title right now is consultant. We can designate him consultant/plan administrator. That's different from the executive director.

MR. SCHEU: Could we designate the controller for this purpose?

MR. TUTEN: Yes. Can we say interim director or interim administrator, or what would that be?

MR. SCHEU: I think you could do plan administrator, and we could redesignate it when we get the new executive director. But I think having a consultant is different. I'd rather have an employee do it. But he would be the logical one, I would think.
(Mr. Herbert left the room.)
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So we need a motion

```
to --
```

MR. TUTEN: I'll make a motion.
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: -- to designate Devin Carter as the plan administrator.

MR. TUTEN: I'll make a motion.
MR. SCHEU: I'll second it.
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Do you want to wait
for Dr. Herbert to return to vote?
MS. MANNING: So he's going to be
signing -- making decisions?
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yes, he will be the plan administrator, not the executive director -- interim.

MR. KEANE: He's going to be designated plan administrator until it's revoked by the Board?

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yes.
MR. SCHEU: We'll wait for him to come back.

MR. KEANE: We're going to have to recess if he leaves the room.

MR. TUTEN: Dr. Herbert's back.
I've got a question for John as far as the negotiations with the candidate.

MR. SCHEU: We've got to vote on that motion first.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Let's finish up the motion.

The motion is to have Devin Carter designated as the plan administrator until revoked by the Board.

That's your motion?

MR. TUTEN: Yes, I made a motion.
MR. SCHEU: I seconded it.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any further
discussion?
(No responses.)
All in favor?
(Responses of "aye.")

Opposed?
(No responses.)
Passed unanimously.
Next item?
MR. TUTEN: I'll continue it. With us essentially not having a board meeting here until late November, is there anything that could -- during the negotiation process that we're going to need to vote on with this candidate, or is the contract process simply just going to be, you know, here's what we're going to have, here's what we offer. She signs up for it. There's nothing that we need to administratively do once she agrees to or disagrees, whatever it is?

DR. HERBERT: Well, the one thing I can
tell you is that -- I hope I worded the
motion -- certainly, the intent was that the
position would be offered to her subject to the negotiations. And as long as the salary fits within the framework, and the benefits are within the context of that framework, that the chairman is authorized to sign a contract. That was my interpretation.

MR. TUTEN: Well, yeah. No, I
understand that. But what I'm curious about is -- let's just say the chairman, during negotiations, something comes up where he feels like, you know, well, he's authorized to go ahead and approve it, but there might be something -- you know, whatever that is, I do not know.

But what I'm curious about and worried about is the fact that if something does come up, what would be better for the entire board to make that decision.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: This is how I plan on approaching it. We have the parameters. I mean, the parameters were listed online. There shouldn't be many questions about the salary and benefits package.

The one thing I think we've clarified already is a contract length, which we had the
discussion. I'm pretty comfortable with the parameters on that. Hopefully, we come to terms using the template.

OGC systems comes up with that contract and the terms. Hopefully, we can come up with something, and we agree on it; but $I$ will bring it back to the Board for an actual ratification. And again --

MR. SCHEU: If I need to come home to be physically here, I'll come.

DR. HERBERT: And I'm sure that Trustee Glover, if he knows that this is a critical issue and we need his presence, I'm sure he'll make --

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And I don't think we'll need another meeting before December. But if something comes up to where, look, this is a deal breaker and I'm not comfortable making that call, you know, I'll call an emergency meeting and we'll get a quorum here, and we'll discuss that item then.

But, hopefully, that won't even be necessary. But if it is necessary, that was my thought process on how this will go.

MR. TUTEN: Well, that was obvious
because, like I said, I don't think there will be anything crazy come out of that, Bill. I mean, she might chocolate M\&Ms or something like --

DR. HERBERT: And if it's absolutely critical that I attend the meeting by telephone, if $I$ get an email message, I'll check that periodically; and I can call back in for a few minutes. I mean, telephone charges are pretty --

MR. SCHEU: Right.
DR. HERBERT: But I'll even absorb it.
MR. SCHEU: We could probably call you.
It would be cheaper.
DR. HERBERT: Yes.
MR. TUTEN: I'm just more concerned
about having at least three people here.
MR. SCHEU: If I have to that, I'll be there. And Nat will be here too. That's a good idea. I'll make that commitment.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. Are
there any other items for the agenda?
DR. HERBERT: Could I just ask one
question? This is just an historical question.
Previously with regard to the fifth member, tell
me about the backgrounds of those folks. I'm just thinking about some of the things Rick was articulating earlier.

Have all of the previous fifth members been members of the Advisory Council? The sheriff, I assume, was not. But can you give us a feel for the kind of backgrounds that people have had?

MR. KEANE: Sure. The first fifth was Jack Shoffner. At the time he was selected, he was president of Marine National Bank. When he left, he was replaced by Arnold Tritt, who at the time was the executive director of the Northeast Florida Builders Association, a longtime community activist, as was Jack Shoffner.

When Mr. Shoffner was replaced, he was replaced by Hastings Williams, Jr., who was at the time a retired Chief of Emergency Preparedness for the city. He served on the Board until Mayor Peyton brought him back to work, and he was appointed to an administrative position in the fire department and later made the Fire Marshal and Chief of Fire Prevention.

When he came back to work, mandatory, he had to leave the Board, and then he was
replaced by Former Sheriff Glover. That is all the ones there ever were.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any other
discussions on that?
(No responses.)
Any other items before the Board?
MR. KEANE: No, sir.
MR. SCHEU: I'd just like to thank you for the spirit of the meetings. I think we can disagree but disagree agreeably. And I just appreciate all of you all's services. Thank you. I really do mean that.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Well, and, likewise, I respect your opinion. We don't always have to agree. And we can do so agreeably.

DR. HERBERT: And you're doing a great job.

MR. TUTEN: I think it's nice that the firemen and police can actually agree. We have a common, you know -- just, well, normally I don't agree with much he says, but this time $I$ do.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All right. We are adjourned.

MR. TUTEN: Thank you very much.
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