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A Mechanical engineering.
Q And what was your job out of college?
A Out of college, my first job was actually
working doing hazardous material remediation, asbestos, lead paint, things like that.

Q And --
A Shortly after that I started working for a civil engineer.

Q When -- between working for that civil engineer and coming to J- -- the City of Jacksonville, how long was that?

A So I would think 2005, 2004, so 15 years, whatever.

Q Okay. So you've held some other jobs in between?

A Yes.
Q Were they all in the engineering field?
A Engineering construction.
Q Okay. If you'd look in your folder there.
This will become Exhibit 1. It's an announcement, JEA
Announces Negotiation Team Changes.
Have you seen this document before, Mr. Smith?
A Yes, I believe I've seen this before.
(Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.)
Q Okay. Do you know who prepared this document? Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
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A I do not.
Q Okay. Did you know before the date of this document, which is $11 / 22 / 2019$, the public announcement of your appointment as negotiator for the INT [sic] process for JEA, that you had been appointed?

A Could you ask the question again?
Q Yeah. Sure. Probably ask it better too.
Did you know before this announcement that you had been appointed as a negotiator?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Do you know why new negotiators were needed?

A I do not.
Q In the announcement, it says you were made available to be a negotiator, who made you available?

A My boss. I guess Brian Hughes would be the --
Q Okay. Do you know who chose you to be the negotiator?

A No, I -- I do not. I know I interviewed with Brian Hughes and the OGC J ason Gabriel.

Q In connection with becoming negotiator?
A Yes.
Q Okay. In that interview, did you have any clues as to why you were being chosen?

A Yes. I have extensive experience in utilities. Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

I handle all the engineering construction, RFPs, RFQs for the City so I have pretty extensive experience in the procurement process as well.

Q Did you recall asking any questions of either Mr. Hughes or Mr. Gabriel about your role as negotiator?

A General -- general questions about what that role would mean?

Q Did you receive any instructions from Mr. Gabriel about your role as a negotiator?

A No. No. None.
Q How -- how about Mr. Hughes?
A No.
Q In this meeting with Mr. Gabriel and Mr. Hughes, did you talk about any specific --

MR. MURPHY: Well, you're assuming it's the
same meeting.
Q Yeah, it is the same meeting. It was not the same interview.

A They -- it was not the same. It was two separate interviews.

Q Okay. Do you know -- I mean, the announcement will help you figure it out, the first meeting with David Hurth?

A The first meeting with the negotiating team? Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

Q The first meeting with -- the meeting with Brian Hughes and Jason Gabriel?

A I don't recall exactly when. It would have been a day or two before this announcement.

Q Okay. Did you meet again with Brian Hughes and Jason Gabriel?

A No.
Q Well, I was trying to ask still about that first meeting.

MR. MURPHY: Yeah. I think you're still confused. There was a meeting with Brian Hughes and there was another separate meeting with Jason Gabriel.

MR. RUSSELL: Okay.
MR. MURPHY: And you're assuming it's the same meeting.

MR. RUSSELL: I was. Yes.
MR. MURPHY: Yeah.
MR. RUSSELL: So they're separate meetings.
BY MR. RUSSELL:
Q Of those two persons you met with, you described Brian Hughes and Jason Gabriel, who did you meet with first?

A I met with Brian Hughes first.
Q And at that point in time, had you already been Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
chosen or were they making up their mind about whether you would be chosen?

A It was my impression that I was interviewing for the position.

Q Okay. Do you recall in connection with this interview the questions that you were asked by Mr. Hughes?

A I -- I don't recall specific questions. I recall the general tone of the questions.

Q Okay.
A They were regarding my qualifications and -and my work history.

Q And how long after your meeting with Mr. Hughes was your meeting with Mr. Gabriel?

A I -- I believe it was later the same day.
Q Okay. Did Mr. Gabriel advise you of any specific instructions concerning the INT process if you were selected?

A He did not.
Q Didn't tell you it was confidential and you should not talk about it to anybody outside of the INT group?

A I do not recall any discussions of that.
Q Okay. You were selected as one of the three negotiators; is that correct?

Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc. the process.

Q Did you communicate in any way, e-mail or text, with Mr. Hughes about the ITN process?

A No.
Q Any further communications with Jason Gabriel about the ITN process?

A No.
Q When you were appointed negotiator, had -- you know if the other two negotiators had been chosen?

A No, I do not know.
Q Okay. Did you -- how did you learn who the other two negotiators were?

A Quite frankly, I don't recall how I knew.
Q Prior to commencing the ITN process, did you Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
talk with either of the other two chosen negotiators?
A I mean, they're both colleagues. I mean, I've had conversations with them, but never discussing the ITN process.

Q It's a broad question because it covers the whole INT period from your first meeting as a group and then your meetings with the bidders and then more meetings of the negotiating group.

Did you and the other two negotiators, Stephanie Burch and Randall Brian [sic], ever talk about the ranking of the bidders, what bidders you think were in what position on the list?

A No. And it's Randall Barnes.
Q What did I say?
A I think you said Brian.
Q Brian. Oh, Randall Barnes. That's the name the first time.

MR. RUSSELL: Let's go ahead and attach this document as Exhibit 2.
(Exhibit 2 was marked for identification.)
BY MR. RUSSELL:
Q It's a letter to you, Mr. Smith, asking you -directing you to come to this interview and acknowledging that you've agreed to do so; is that correct?

Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
A Yes.
Q Would you hand that to the court reporter and she'll mark that one as 2.

A (Witness complies.)
Q You spoke about you believe your experience in utilities was one of the reasons you were chosen. Did you have any prior experience, prior to this event, Mr. Smith, in negotiating extremely large dollar sales of assets, in the billions of dollars?

A So it -- at that dollar value, I had not had any previous experience, but extensive experience in procurement of multimillion dollar projects.

Q Okay. Now, you said procurement. That's my --
A RFP process, RFQ process, negotiations, contract negotiations, all -- all facets of that.

Q And selling those not billions, but million dollar assets?

A In some cases.
Q Okay. Can you give me an example of a multimillion dollar asset, whose sale you were involved in?

A I can't think of it off the top of my head right now.

Q Prior to your being appointed as one of the negotiators, there was a group of evaluators who ranked Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
the bidders. And one of those bidders was E\&W Development Corporation. Do you know why E\&W
Development Corporation did not continue in the process, the ITN process?

A I don't know.
Q There are a number of sessions with -- I think you were being the negotiator, I think there were eight bidders still, there were eight bidders that were still in the process, if you recall, during that period of time, those multiple meetings, do you recall ever asking any bidder a question?

A Me personally asking the --
Q Yeah.
A No.
Q Do you recall, in all -- all those sessions with the bidders, ever answering a question asked by a bidder?

A Me personally answering a question, I do not recall ever answering a question asked by a bidder.

Q Did you ever have any reason to talk with our mayor about the INT process?

MR. MURPHY: ITN.
MR. RUSSELL: ITN. Sorry. Thank you. Don't put that up on the transcript that I'm messing up that word.

Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
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MR. MURPHY: Just that I corrected him.
Q ITN. Did you speak to the mayor about the ITN process?

A No, I have not. And to -- I have never actually spoken with the mayor.

Q Okay. I think we confirmed before I asked it narrowly, during the IN- -- ITN process, did you ever communicate by text or cell phone to anybody about that process?

A No.
Q Going to the next document, which will be Number 3. It's an invitation to negotiate, which is what ITN means. Were you given this document, Mr. Smith?

A This document was made available to me.
(Exhibit 3 was marked for identification.)
Q And did you read it and understand it?
A Yes.
Q Did you have an understanding in terms of the minimum requirements of a bid that that bid, in order to meet the minimum requirements established for the ITN, would have to satisfy the -- what's referred to as the Plant -- Plant Vogtle liabilities?

A It was my understanding that the -- the proposal had to have a plan to deal with those Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

## liabilities.

Q Okay. And can you explain to me what you mean by "deal with those liabilities"?

A So there's multiple different scenarios laid out. And we were open to scenarios that we -- that had not been thought of. We were part of the -- it was looking for creative ways to handle the situation.

Q Okay. Did you have a cell phone during the time of the ITN process, which would have been November 19 and December 19, 2019?

A Yes.
Q Was that a cell phone provided to you by the City of Jacksonville?

A Yes.
Q What was the number for that cell phone?
A (904) 801-9603.
Q Do you recall the manufacturer of the phone, what type?

A Do I recall -- it was an iPhone.
Q Okay. And who was the cell phone provider for that phone in November 2019 and December 2019?

A The service provider?
Q Yes, sir.
A To -- to be honest, I don't recall. It's a -it's a phone that's provided to me by the City. I'm not Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

## sure who the service is through.

Q Do you still have the same cell phone?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Did you ever send any text from that cell phone related to the ITN?

A No.
Q Did you ever make any calls on that cell phone relating to the ITN?

A Not that I recall.
Q Do you have on your phone the texts that were sent in November -- by you in November of 2019 and December of 2019?

A Do I have them currently on my phone?
Q Yes.
A I do not.
Q Could you explain that to me, please?
A So it appears that sometime in March, when I reset my phone, I lost all the text messages on that phone.

Q And you have no understanding as to how that happened?

A I reset the phone.
Q Right.
A I did not intend to delete the phone, that's not why I was resetting the phone, but apparently that Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
has -- is what happened.
Q Let me show you this: I don't have it in the package and you may not recall it at all, but just in case. Actually, it was about that time.

And you said when you inadvertently deleted the texts from your phone was in March --

A Uh-huh.
Q -- were you aware of this memorandum signed by the General Counsel of Jacksonville and Rory Diamond, requiring that anybody in possession of JEA information protect and preserve that information?

A I was not aware of this.
Q We're going to mark that as 3, please.
MR. MURPHY: Be 3A?
MR. RUSSELL: Yeah, 3A. Thank you.
(Exhibit 3A was marked for identification.)
BY MR. RUSSELL:
Q I'm not the technician, the IT guy. What do you mean by resetting the phone?

A So to be frank, I -- I went through a divorce in March. I had literally shared accounts on my phone. We had a family plan through Apple. And following my divorce, which was finalized in March, it was my desire to remove any trace of my ex-wife from my cell phone. So I reset the phone, signed out of my account, signed Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
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back into my account. And only recently did I realize that those texts were not there.

Q Okay. It's a City-owned phone, does the bill for that phone go to the City?

A Yes.
Q And you use it for City business?
A Yes.
Q And you use it for personal business also?
A I try not to, but my ex-wife was not very discriminating.

Q Okay. Do you, on the cell phone, use the iTunes service?

A Not on my City cell phone.
Q Did you ever back up or save the data that was on your City cell phone?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And what, I guess, I understood from what you're saying, in addition to your City phone, you have a personal cell phone?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And did you use that for any City business?

A Never.
Q Okay. I spoke with your lawyer before this interview and if for some reason we were able to retain Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
cell phone records, will you answer questions about those records in the future?

A I don't see why not.
Q We'll make it -- we'll make it -- probably over the phone.

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, I didn't get your answer.
A Yes.
Q The iTunes was only on your personal phone?
A ITunes I view is on my personal phone.
Q Okay. It was never on the City phone. And you never used the personal phone for City business?

A No, no.
Q During the ITN process, there were materials handed out to you by -- in connection with the event, the meeting or the session with the bidders?

A Uh-huh.
Q Did you save any of those materials?
A No.
Q What did you do with them?
A Returned them at the end of the meetings.
Q Was that something that happened routinely, somebody come around at the end of each meeting and pick up the documents that the negotiating time had been

## A Yes.

Q During this process that was almost two months and at the end of the process you were going to make the decision, you three negotiators, as to whom -- as to what entity was the best bid, did you take notes in that two-month period?

A I did not.
Q So you were going to make the decision of who would be the best bidder on this multibillion dollar asset with just your recollection?

A No, not at all. We were expected to have updated proposals from all the bidders so we -- I intended to make selection from those updated proposals.

Q During the INT -- ITN process in which there were meetings or telephone calls with bidders, were there ever any efforts by the negotiation team to cause bidders to drop out of the process?

A No.
Q Did you ever hear in the phone calls, particularly, a negotiator tell a bidder that their bid was far off the mark?

A I don't recall that.
Q You don't recall Stacey Burch telling that to multiple bidders on the phone?

Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

MR. MURPHY: Stephanie Burch.
MR. RUSSELL: Stephanie Burch.
A I don't recall her using the phrase far off the mark.

Q How about along with -- well, what phrase do you recall her using in discussing the bidders?

A I -- I recall, in general, there was discussion that certain bidders would need to increase their bid if they wanted to be competitive. But that -- my recollection is that's something that we really told all bidders. It was a -- it was a -- my impression, it was a tactic to get a better proposal from the -- from the bidders.

Q Do you recall that that kind of comment was made to NextEra?

A I don't recall specifically, but the -- the tone of every -- even with -- with all firms, the tone was to elicit the best offer out of every firm.

Q These calls were transcribed, that's why I can ask these very specific questions. And if you don't recall, that's fine.

Do you recall, during these phone calls with the bidders, and I think they began on December 4th, 2019, that some bidders were told they had a long way to go?

## Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

A I do not recall that phrasing.
Q Okay. Or the phrase "have little chance of succeeding unless the bid was materially increased"?

A Again, I don't recall that specific statement.
Q During the ITN process, do you recall observing Stacey Burch and Aaron Zahn meeting separately from the rest of the group?

A Stephanie Burch?
Q Yes.
A No, I do not recall. Never seen them.
Q Do you recall during the December 4th -- I
think it was December 4th and December 5th, that the bidders were told that the bids would need to be submitted to the JEA board by January 30, 2020?

A I don't recall the specific date, but I -- I -generally that's -- that sounds correct.

Q In the ITN that you reviewed, I believe it provided for a different time line and -- do you recall when the ITN process began that there was a time line that called for the submission of bids at the end of March in 2020?

A I do not recall that.
Q Okay. So you don't know whether January, end of -- that is, before January 20, '20, was a changed date?

Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

A I do not recall.
Q Do you recall the bidders having any reaction when they were told the submission date would be January 20, 2020?

A Not specifically. I -- I do recall the -- the time line was -- was tight for all bidders.

Q Do you recall one bidder not complaining about the time line and saying there would be no problem for them?

A I do not recall that. And my -- my recollection is that there -- there was frustration from all bidders at the time I was associated with it.

Q Did anyone suggest to you who you should select as the winning bidder?

A No.
Q You never had a discussion within the group of who is going to be the selected bidder?

A No. We -- I mean, we -- we were always waiting for the revised proposals to begin our evaluation. In my mind, I never even began the valuation of which was the best proposal.

Q For the conversations that happened with the bidders on the telephone beginning on December 4th, can you describe to me how that process worked?

A Well, there's many different kinds of Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
conversations. So typically the -- the lead -- the lead -- the JEA negotiation team, Jenny or John would schedule a call. We'd all show up at that time of day, the experts that were needed for that call would all be there and we would make the phone call. And, you know, if it was an information session, we'd be -- you know, the bidder could ask questions, we'd answer them, vice-versa.

Sometimes it's -- you know, there's actual negotiations happening, but, you know, there was a lot of different sort of -- it wasn't a one type of phone call, I guess.

Q Okay. But structurally, the phone calls that were being made by the JEA negotiation team were made to one bidder at a time?

A Yes.
Q And then for a set period of time and then you'd move on to the next scheduled bidder?

A It's a one bidder's team, right.
Q Yes. One bidder's team would get the next phone call?

A Yes.
Q You would do that with each of the eight bidders that were still in the process?

A Yes.
Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

A There were.
Q You need answer out loud.
A I'm sure -- I'm sure there was -- there was many calls with NextEra.

Q And do you recall NextEra being told in that separate call that you are very competitive in this process -- excuse me, the word very isn't there -- that you are competitive in this process?

A I don't recall that specifically.
Q Do you recall any others that are being told anything like that?

A I do not recall.
MR. RUSSELL: What number are we up to? THE REPORTER: 4.
MR. RUSSELL: Go ahead and make this 4A. It was a transcript I was reading from and it was a transcript from the December 4th call to -- and it says on top NextEra, Negotiation Session, NextEra
Energy. If you'd hand that to her, please.
THE WITNESS: Sure.
MR. RUSSELL: We'll make this 4A so I don't mess up my numbering.

THE REPORTER: You haven't marked 4 yet. Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
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Q Okay. And there was a separate call then to Hen.

MR. RUSSELL: We don't have a 4 yet?
THE REPORTER: No.
MR. RUSSELL: So that will be 3A.
MR. MURPHY: We already have 3A.
THE REPORTER: You already have 3A.
MR. MURPHY: You want to make it 3B?
MR. RUSSELL: Yes.
(Exhibit 3B marked for identification.)
BY MR. RUSSELL:
Q Did you ever receive, Mr. Smith, a set of written instructions that you were to follow in determining and evaluating a bid?

A No, not that I recall. There was -- you know, the ITN process was laid out in the document we looked at earlier. And, yeah, I don't recall any specific document telling me how to evaluate this, no.

Q There wasn't a list of factors and how those factors should be weighed?

A There was differently factors of, you know, different -- different goals that the INT process was to achieve. And to be clear, you know, I -- I wasn't -- I was not looking at the process as a sale. There was a lot of different options besides selling the asset.

So, you know, there was -- it wasn't -- to me, it wasn't just a bid for a sale. It was a lot of Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
different criteria, as you said, some community criteria, things like that, that were to be taken into consideration.

Q Okay. But for the --
A I don't remember the exact -- what they are, but I know they are -- like you said, four or five specific criteria.

Q Just conceptually, if you were going to give a criteria, did it end up with a numerical score where you -- was each criteria going to have a numerical score?

A No. So we -- we, the negotiating team, intended to develop how we were going to score these, you know, once we had received a bid. So we didn't -because it was -- you're not just comparing dollars to dollars, we were going to have to get creative on how we scored them. So there wouldn't -- there would have been some sort of scoring system, but we never discussed that.

Q Okay. The negotiation team did receive bids, didn't it?

A There -- the bids that were received were received well before we were the negotiation team. We in -- in our -- the process we were going through was receiving a second set of bids that we would then grade Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

## those second revised proposals.

Q Okay. And to grade the second revised set of proposals, you never received any instructions?

A No.
Q Did you ever see the criteria by which the bids
were ranked before you were appointed?
A I do not recall seeing that.
Q The sheet with numbers on it, you don't recall that --

A I do not recall.
Q -- of each of the bidders?
A I do not recall seeing that.
Q In connection with the bids that you would ultimately evaluate, what was your understanding, Mr. Smith, about how many bids would be submitted to the JE board -- JEA board for consideration?

A How many -- how many bids of -- of the ones we were scoring?

Q Yes.
A My intention was to provide one proposal from the -- from the process.

Q If you'll look at the next document, which will be 4. It's an agenda dated $11 / 25 / 2019$. And its purpose was a negotiation strategy session. And the document shows that you did not attend this initial negotiation Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
strategy session?
A I -- I don't recall just -- just specific
date.
(Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.)
Q Okay. You don't recall that the --
A I mean, I did -- so the -- if I'm looking at
the agenda, I recall a meeting where we went through
that agenda. And so if there's no other one that has my name on it with that agenda, then that's perhaps an error. I do remember going through the negotiation appointment letter, through -- through all these things. I don't recall what day it was.

Q Tell me about the negotiation -- the negotiator appointment letter. What was that? I've never seen it.

A I believe it's the letter that you just refer- -- that you had at the beginning. It just -- as far as I recall, it just said the new team is the three of us.

Q This announcement was the negotiator appointment letter?

A It was that or something very similar to that.
Q Okay. And item number 2 on this agenda is negotiator training. Tell what that was about.

A I -- my recollection is kind of walking through Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
the ITN document, discussing the goals. There was some discussion of the JEA procurement policy. We were provided with that. I don't recall whether we reviewed it or not. Just basic things like that.

Q Okay. How long did that training session last?

A I recall that meeting lasting in the range of an hour or so.

Q Okay. The -- the individual component part was negotiating training, of that hour, how much was devoted to the negotiator training?

A I don't recall.
Q Less than an hour?
A Less than an hour.
Q Was the bulk of the meeting devoted to negotiator training, if you recall?

A Looking at the agenda, it -- I re- -- yes, that would have been the bulk of the -- the discussion.

Q Okay. Okay. Do you recall who did the negotiator training?

A John McCarthy.
Q And the last item was scheduling negotiator strategy sessions. Do you recall how many negotiator strategy sessions were actually scheduled?

A I do not.
Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc. me what that is, please?

A That was discussion about what we expect the -Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
Q At this meeting on October 25th, did y'all discuss, in connection with negotiating training, any of the goals that were to be achieved in the ITN process?

A So just to be clear, this meeting was November 25th, not October, and I do not recall if I was actually at this specific meeting.

Q Okay. Do you recall any negotiator sessions, meaning negotiator strategy sessions, in which the topic of goals to be achieved were discussed?

A Only the goals that were outlined in the ITN.
Q Okay. If you'll hand that to the court reporter and she can mark that as Number 4. This is 4.

A (Witness complies.)
Q And this is 5. This is another agenda for a
Negotiation Strategy Session and it is the day after 4, the 26th, you were at this one according to the attendees?

A Uh-huh.
Q You need to say yes.
A Yes, I was actually reading.
Q Thank you. The revised replies, can you tell
the revised proposals to be.
Q Okay. They weren't here at this meeting?
A No.
Q They were coming sometime in the future?
A That was a discussion of when we expect to end this process to make the selection.

Q Okay. And explain to me the concept of -- if
you can, please, subject matter experts?
A The concept of subject matter experts?
Q Yeah. What were they?
A People who had specific knowledge of JEA's interbusiness that were helpful in the process.

Q So a subject matter expert throughout this process was a JEA employee?

A So I believe the subject matter experts were JEA employee -- there was also consultants. I -- they had a different -- I don't believe they were called subject matter experts, they had a different name, but --

Q Okay.
A -- there were JEA employees and consultants that were helping us with the process.

Q And do you recall that the consultants were, some of them, lawyers?

A Yes.
Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

Q Do you recall what law firms provided consultants that you worked with?

A I mean, they're listed here. Foley \& Lardner is one that $I$ recall.

Q All right. Do recall working with another law firm, Pillsbury? Master, I think is the second name.

A The name Pillsbury is familiar. I'm not sure which representative from them, but I do recall the name -- the name Pillsbury.

Q Of the appointed three negotiated, as we saw at the beginning of this interview, was one of those three designated as the lead negotiator?

A Was selected as a lead negotiator, yes.
Q Who was that?
A Stephanie Burch.
Q And who made the selection that Stephanie would be the lead negotiator?

A The negotiation team; Randall, Stephanie and 1.

Q So there was discussion of it and you and Randall agreed that it would be Stephanie?

A Yes.
Q Okay. It didn't happen where she was first appointed? It was actually sometime after that?

A No, I -- my recollection is that it was in one Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
of these meetings and there should be a transcript of it.

Q Okay.
A It was -- it was definitely the three of us, though.

Q And it was at one of the meetings, then it was done with others present because I don't remember any transcripts that was just of the three --

A No. I mean, it was -- it was in a strategy session with the --

Q Right.
A -- the JEA. I don't remember exactly who was there at the time.

Q And by designating Stephanie Burch as the lead negotiator, what was that intended to allow her to do?

A My -- my -- my impression is it allowed us, as a team, to streamline this process. And she could act as the spokesperson for the team during the -- during these sessions.

Q Okay. For this $26--11 / 26 / 2019$ meeting --
A Uh-huh.
Q -- do you recall anything that you actually did, said?

A At this 11/26? Not specifically.
Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

Q Anything you participated in particularly?
A I mean, I participated in the meeting. I don't recall exactly what I said during the meeting.

MR. RUSSELL: Okay. If you'd hand Number 5 to
the court reporter.
THE WITNESS: (Witness complies.)
(Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.)
BY MR. RUSSELL:
Q Do you recognize the document that I had marked as Number 6, Mr. Smith?

A So this would have been -- this was issued before I would have been part of the team, but it -- the substance of this looks familiar. I -- I don't know that it's specifically this document that I've seen, but I 've seen some -- it looks familiar.
(Exhibit 6 was marked for identification.)
Q Okay. What we just looked at in 5, you -there was something that said revised replies?

A Yes.
Q And you were at this meeting, waiting to begin reviewing those; is that correct?

A I --
Q You didn't have them at --
A No, at that -- we were discussing what those revised replies would look like. Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

## Q Is it --

A We did not have the -- the replies.
Q This says it's a Revised Reply and it's dated October 29th, 2019.

MR. MURPHY: It says, Revised Reply Instruction to be fair.

MR. RUSSELL: Okay. I'm sorry. That's
correct.
A So this -- this is giving guidance to those respondents on what those revised replies should look like is my understanding of this document.

Q Okay. Did you review this document?
A I don't know that I reviewed this exact version of this document, but a document very similar to this, yes.

Q Okay. Turn to Page 3, if you would, please.
A Okay.
Q And Page 3 addresses, I think in its entirety, the Plant Vogtle issue?

A It -- I don't know about its entirety, but it discusses that issue, yes.

Q Well, the whole page is --
A Yes.
Q -- devoted to --
A Most of the page, yes.

Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

## by which a bidder could deal with the Plant Vogtle

 liability?A Yes.
Q Okay.
A It looks like it.
Q And when the revised bids were actually submitted, did the bidder -- bidder select different alternatives?

A The revised bids were never submitted.
Q Okay. I understood that the updated revised replies never got submitted, but the revised replies, they were, in fact, submitted, weren't they?

A So I know -- I don't -- I don't know what the -- the answer to the question you're asking. I -- my recollection is that we did not have final replies to review.

Q Okay. Did you ever review any replies?
A There -- yes, before we started the process, there was already a reply --

Q Okay.
A -- from all eight of them -- of them.
Q Okay. And this reply here would have been -the response to this request for a reply would have already existed when you were appointed? Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
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Q And it provides a number of different options
in the letter that went out by JEA asking for revised replies?

A Could you state the question again?
Q Yes. This is a request for revised replies --
A Yes.
Q -- which I understand you saw.
A Yes.
Q Did the revised replies, which you saw, have to meet the criteria established by this letter?

A Yes.
Q If you feel --
THE WITNESS: You mind if I take a five-minute break?

MR. RUSSELL: That would be great. Any time you want, please ask.
(Recess taken.)
A So I just want to -- to restate, I recognize some of the content of this letter. I don't know that this letter, in specific, that I reviewed.

Q Okay.
A I just want to make that clear.
MR. BLODGETT: Okay. When you say letter, though, just make sure you're talking about this request --

THE WITNESS: Yeah, October 29, 2019 -Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

MR. BLODGETT: Exhibit 6?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. BLODGETT: Got you.
MR. RUSSELL: Thank you.
BY MR. RUSSELL:
Q Do you recall being invited to something called -- access to something called the data room?

A Yes.
Q And explain what that is.
A The data room was an online database of various files.

MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And this is Number 7.
(Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.)
BY MR. RUSSELL:
Q And it's just a simple e-mail. It is addressed to you. And it says, The negotiation team should now have access to folder 26, Current Drafts of Documents.

Is folder 26.25 something that would have been in the data room?

A I assume so.
Q Do you recall what documents you had accessed in the data room?

Let me ask you this first: Did you ever access any documents in the data room?

A Yes, a few, but I do not recall specifically Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
which they were.
Q Did you call -- did you access the data room multiple times?

A I -- likely, yes. I don't recall exactly, but likely, yes.

Q Okay. And you may not know this. Was the access that you had to the data room to all of the documents in the data room or is it limited to certain documents?

A I do not know.
Q Okay. And, again, you may not know. Did you have the same access to the materials that the subject matter experts, the JEA employees had?

A I do not know.
Q Let me back up a little bit because I want to make sure I understood something. I asked you about your cell phone.

A Yes.
Q And I think I asked you did you back it up.
What was your answer?
A You did not ask me that.
Q I'm sorry.
A My cell phone does back up. The -- so -- where you're going with the question is do I have a backup that possibly has those texts? And I checked and I do Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
not.
Q How did you -- and what --
MR. BLODGETT: You know where this is going.
Q Where did you -- I just need some help.
A Okay.
Q How did you back up the phone?
A iCloud, which is an Apple service.
Q Right.
A So my phone backs up regularly. Recently, when
I discovered my texts were not there, I looked to see if
there was a previous backup stored that would have had those messages and I was not able to find one more than a few days old.

Q Okay. Did you ever check with iCloud to see if they had any explanation for why the items that should have been in the iCloud were there -- were not there?

A I don't know whether or not they should be there or not, but, no, I have not reached out to iCloud.

Q You have been, in addition to this interview, interviewed by the Inspector General for the City of Jacksonville?

A Yes.
Q And in connection with that interview, you were Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
able to produce, I believe it was a single text that still was on your phone --

A Yes.
Q -- for her?
A Yes.
Q Who was that text to?
A That text was from Brian Hughes. And the content of the text was I need to meet with you.

Q Was -- and that text was sent during the period of time in November or December when the ITN was in process?

A The ITN was in process. At that time I was not a member -- I don't -- part of the process. That was his invitation to come to his office and discuss the process.

Q Okay. Thank you. If you could look at the document -- that was 7. I'll have her mark that as 7, please.

This is another negotiation session and shows you attending. This one was on 11/29/2019. Do you recall at any of these negotiation sessions that a member of the JEA board, actually may have been Chairman Alan Howard, being present?

A Alan Howard?
Q Alan Howard.
Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

A I do not.
Q Do you know who Tim Baker is?
A The same sounds familiar, but I don't know who that is.

Q Okay.
A I don't -- he was not in any of the sessions.
Q Were there any JEA board members who attended any of these negotiating --

A I don't know --
Q -- sessions?
A -- who all the JEA board members are, but, to my knowledge, there -- none of the people in the meetings were JEA board members.

Q Do you know why there was a further meeting on this day about the revised replies? It says, Clarifications. A better question may be, do you recall what was clarified?

A I do not recall, but it was likely a dis- -- a similar discussion with a different company. But I do not recall specifically why or if there was another meeting on that same day.

Q By this date, 11/29/2019, had all of the Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
revised replies been received by JEA?
A I do not recall.
Q Did you actually review each of the revised replies?

A So I'm -- just to be clear, I'm a little maybe confused about the terminol- -- so there was a set of replies that we were viewing, but we anticipated another
final set. So we -- yes, I reviewed a version of the replies for all the bidders.

Q Okay. And do you recall anything further about the clarification of the revised replies?

A I do not. I don't remember the specifics of what those clarifications were.

Q And I take it most of the agendas have on them open discussion. Do you recall there ever being
anything that fell in that category and actually got discussed in any of these meetings?

A I don't recall.
Q In preparation for this meeting on $11 / 29 / 2019$, did you review all of the replies that had been turned in on $11 / 26 / 2019$, do you recall?

A Likely -- I don't recall, but, likely, I would have reviewed them by now, by this time.

Q Did you recall you making any comments about this meeting, about the revised replies personally? Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
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A Do I personally? I do not.
Q Do you know one way or another whether you did or did not?

A Whether I made any comment in the meeting? I do not.

MR. RUSSELL: Go ahead and get that document marked as 8 .
(Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.)
BY MR. RUSSELL:
Q You might want to leave it right there for just a moment.

A Okay.
Q Because the next document I'm looking at is
12/2, becomes Number 9. It was for an agenda for a
December 2, 2019, meeting. And, again, it says Revised
Replies Clarification.
Do you know what causes a further meeting on
Revised Replies Clari- -- Clarifications?
A Not specifically, I do not recall.
(Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.)
Q 10. This is an agenda for a 12/3/2019 meeting
the day after the -- I think it was Number 9. At this meeting, do you recall having in your possession the -each of the revised replies?

A I do not recall.

Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
(Exhibit 10 was marked for identification.)
Q But you --
A I -- I see on the -- the -- it says on the
agenda the production of the summary document, but I don't recall what $\mathbf{I}$ had in my hand at this meeting.

Q Okay. You have a -- you do have a specific recollection at some point in time, you were given each of the eight revised replies for you to review?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
A And, again, I'm not -- I'm a little unclear on the revised term. I was given a proposal to review from every firm.

Q Okay.
A Yes.
Q I think I'm done with 10.
And I think the answer to this is independent knowledge. Do you have any knowledge that the initial deadline for submission of final bids to the JEA board was March 20, 2020?

A I do not recall that date.
Q Do you recall some date later than January
20 -- I mean, 30, 2020, being the intended submission day?

A No. My recollection is that we were -- we were Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

## always talking about J anuary.

Q Okay. Do you recognize the document that's been tagged as Number 11, which is called JEA Project
Scampi - Summary of Revised Replies? And it has a December 2019 date on it.

A Yes, I recall it.
(Exhibit 11 was marked for identification.)
Q Did you review this at -- do you recall reviewing this at the December 4th meeting?

A Again, I don't recall exactly the date, but based on the agenda that you just showed me, that it's likely this was -- that was the date this was reviewed.

Q Did you have any input into the preparation of this document?

A No.
Q Explain to me what this document is, if you would, please.

A So, in short, this document evaluated different aspects of the different proposals and explained them to me and the other members of the negotiation team to sort of help us begin our valuation process.

Q Okay. Now, when you saw this document -- if you'll turn to Page 2, there's some redactions. When you saw this document, it didn't have any redactions; is Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
that correct?
A That is correct.
Q Okay. And if you look at Page 2, at the top of the page, under Total Deductions from Gross Proceeds, you see it's been blacked out?

A I see that.
Q And net proceeds has also been blacked out?
A Yes.
Q Do you recall which bidder --
A No.
Q -- is being analyzed in this top column?
A I do not recall.
Q Do you recall at this -- this is the one -where did I get this? This group of people on this $12 / 3$ addenda were sitting around talking about this document?

A I -- I -- I don't recall that specifically, but I do remember discussion of this document.

Q Okay. And in connection with the discussion, this document prepared by the J.P. Morgan, Morgan Stanley, who had the highest dollar bid, if you recall?

A Which proposal had the highest dollar value?
Q Which bidder, yes.
A NextEra.
Q Do you recall how much higher the NextEra Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
proposal was to the next nearest bid?
A I do not recall exactly, but I believe it was more than 1 billion over the next lowest -- next highest, I should say.

Q This document summarizes the revised replies; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And do you recall the date on which the revised supplies -- revised replies were due at JEA?

A I do not.
Q Okay. But whatever date the revised replies were actually received at JEA, they would have from that point in time to the date of this document, to December 3rd when you saw this document, to get it prepared?

A Yes.
Q That probably wasn't a good question, but thank you.

Do you recall ever seeing any other document, other than this document, which actually ranked the bidders?

A I'm -- I'm not sure that this document ranks the bidders, specifically, but I do not recall any document that ranks the bidders.

Q Perhaps a better word is prepare the bids. Do you recall any other document --

Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

A I did not.
Q But this document prepares the bids, it's one where --

A This document prepares the bid, yes.
Q Okay. Do you recall any other document that actually prepared the bids?

A I do not recall.
Q Okay. And you don't recall that from the top going to the bottom was, in fact, the ranking, the best bid was put at the top. And what the investment banker perceived was the worst bid was put at the bottom?

A I do not recall that being the case.
Q Okay. The meeting that happened on December 3rd, which this document was discussed, do you recall how long that meeting lasted?

## A No, I do not.

Q Do you recall during that December 3rd meeting you personally saying anything about this document, which we've marked as Number 11?

A I do not recall.
Q Do you recall Stephanie Burch at this meeting says -- saying that NextEra is the clear winner?

## A I do not recall that.

Q You just don't recall one way or another? She could have said it, but you just don't recall? You're Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
not saying she didn't say it?
A I do not recall her saying that.
Q Okay. Thank you. Now, at this meeting, I think I know the answer, but do you recall Stephanie Burch further talking about accelerating the time line from the scheduled time, from March to the end of January 2020?

## A I do not recall that discussion.

Q Okay. Was there discussion at this 12--December 3rd, 2019, meeting of -- you hear the term at this meeting "self select" in describing bidders?

A I don't recall that term being ever used.
Q Do you know what it means?
A I do not.
Q Okay. And like your other meetings, at the conclusion of this meeting, did you make any notes on this document or take any notes concerning this document?

A I don't believe I did, no.
Q Okay. Well, this document was picked up and taken away from you at the end of the meeting?

A It would have been, yes.
Q I don't know if I asked this, I may, how long have you -- do you recall how long this meeting lasted?
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A I do not.
Q Okay.
A Just -- just to be clear, there was many,
many, many meetings, so recalling specifics of one meeting, there's -- I'm not going to be able to probably do that.

Q From this meeting, did you personally reach any conclusions concerning any of the bids and where they were falling in ranking order?

A No, I didn't. I didn't even think we were looking at the final bids.

Q Well, you said these were bids.
A Based on these bids, I made no determinations whatsoever.

Q Okay.
A And to be clear, they're not specifically bids, they're proposals.

Q Actually, I've -- actually, replies.
A Replies, yes.
Q Okay. You told me a few moments ago that you had reviewed all of the replies. Do you recall when you first received the replies, how long before this
December 3rd meeting?
A I do not recall.
Q Okay. How were the replies given to you? Were Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
they given to you by JEA?
A I don't recall. But I would assume that that's how they would be given to me, but I do not specifically recall.

Q And did you do anything to personally verify or check the information that was in Exhibit 11? Look at the replies and if see they matched up?

A I mean, I -- I would have reviewed this with the replies, yes.

Q Okay.
A You know, I don't -- yes, I would have referenced this with the replies themselves.

Q So the replies, you were allowed to keep those outside of a meeting? You were given the replies, couldn't read them all at the meeting and you had them with you again when you came to this December 3rd meeting?

A I do not recall ever having a copy of the replies on my person.

Q How did you read the replies?
A We had many, many meetings. We were in conference rooms for many hours, many days.

Q But sometime between -- this meeting happened on December the 3rd, as shown by the agenda, and the documents were the replies, were submitted at a date Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
certain and do you know that date?
A I do not, no.
Q But you just -- physics, you couldn't read them before they were submitted to JEA?

A Yes.
Q Okay. So whatever that date is, by the date of this meeting, $12 / 3$, you would have had sat in a meeting somewhere and read the eight replies?

A I don't know that at this meeting I had thoroughly reviewed all the replies, but I would have used this document in reference to the replies.

Q Were you given -- well, how did -- you weren't given the replies at this meeting, were you?

A I honestly do not recall.
Q Okay.
A The replies were available to us, but I just never had any copy that I left the room with in my -that I recall.

Q How -- were they available to you in the data room?

A I do not recall.
Q Do you recall -- okay. You don't recall. You didn't go there and look at them?

A I mean, it's -- so my recollection is reviewing the paper copy, but I did not keep that copy. I prefer Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

## to review paper documents over a computer.

Q Okay. And what I'm understanding from you, Mr. Smith, is that if you reviewed the paper document, the only place you would have done that is sitting in a meeting with other members of the negotiation team because you never left the room with a document -- a paper document?

A That sounds accurate, yes.
Q The document shows on the first page that it was prepared by J.P. Morgan and Morgan Stanley. Do you recall representatives of those investment banks talking to you at this December 3rd meeting about how the stock that was prepared and what criteria they used?

A In general, I recall something along those lines.

Q And -- and applying that criteria, did you understand from the investment bank, which is Morgan Stanley and J.P. Morgan, that this is, in fact, a ranking of the bidders, this document?

A Well, regardless of how they intended it, I did not consider this to be a ranking that I was going to utilize.

Q Okay.
A So perhaps that was their intention, but that's Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
evaluate against. And so that is the same as any RFP, Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

## RFQ process I've ever done, you evaluate the proposals

 based on the criteria and the document where you request the proposals.Q And what I think we may have talked about before, in the ITN document, did it tell you how to use the criteria in terms of making a valuation? Were those directions in there?

A As I recall, the ITN document laid out the minimum requirements, but did not lay out how we were to evaluate those minimum requirements.

Q Okay. If you'll look at 12, and this may be very quick, did you ever have a document that was provided to you that looked like this document?

A I do not recall receiving this. No, I do not recall this document.
(Exhibit 12 was marked for identification.)
Q Okay. Thank you.
If you look at the next document, going pretty
much in order here, this is an agenda from 12/4/2019.
You're working hard, Mr. Smith. And do you recall what happened at this meeting?

A I do not.
Q Do you recall that this was, what, the
beginning of the meetings, which were phone calls who were made by a negotiation group to each of the bidders Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
and the bids were discussed over the phone?
A I do not recall that about this specific meeting, no.

Q Did there come a point in time sometime in the process of early December in which the negotiation team came to a room and made calls to each of the bidders and discussed their revised bids?

A Multiple times.
Q Multiple times. Okay. Do you recall in the phone calls with the bidders that -- the date of January 30th, 2020, for the submission of the bids was discussed?

A Do I recall that on the phone calls that -- not specifically, but it's something that would have been discussed.

Q Okay. And -- and you don't recall any reaction
from those bidders saying that's not right, it's supposed to be March 30th, 2020, we can't get it done that quick?

A I -- I do not recall that.
Q Okay. And, again, I may have asked this before, but do you recall any specific comments to the bidder about the likelihood of success of their bid, whether it was on the --

A I -- I do not recall that.
Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
happening.
Q Okay.
MR. RUSSELL: Did we mark 13? Give that to the
court reporter.
THE WITNESS: (Witness complies.)
(Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.)
BY MR. RUSSELL:
Q You don't recall which bidders dropped out, do you?

A I do not.
Q Do you know why they dropped out?
A I do not recall.
Q This is just the document by which you
acknowledged that the INT -- ITN process would be confidential?

A Uh-huh. (Exhibit 14 was marked for identification.)
Q Who provided you with this document?
A I believe the JEA negotiation team.
Q Did you have any questions about this document with the person that -- discussion about this document with the person that provided it to you?

A I don't recall specifically. I -- I vaguely remember some general discussion about this, but I don't -- I do not specifically recall if we discussed Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
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this.
Q Okay. Were you told that you had to sign
this document in order to participate in the ITN process?

A No, I don't know that I was told that, but, you know, I was handed the document as a part of the process. So if I were -- I didn't have any problem signing it.

Q And --
A I believe I've -- I've signed this multiple times.

Q Sure. And you complied with it?
A Absolutely.
Q Okay. And -- and I don't understand the concept, you said the JEA negotiation team gave you this document?

A So -- so Jenny and John McCarthy were our sort of contacts.

Q Right.
A So I believe one of them would have given this to me.

Q That's what I was trying to get at.
A Yeah. They had a specific title. I forget what it was, but they were, you know --

Q Document 15 is another agenda for the Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
negotiating strategy session on December 9th, 2019. And
it shows, Mr. Smith, you were at this meeting?
A Yes.
(Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.)
Q And it says, Production of Management
Presentation Document.
Tell me what that's about, if you know,
recall?
A That was a large PowerPoint presentation that I believe was in the same presentation that was presented to the respondents in Atlanta.

Q Was that document complete and shown to you at this meeting, if you recall?

A I be--- I can't respond to the completeness. It's a very long document, but we were provided a copy of it to review in the -- and my recollection is the document was what was presented in Atlanta.

Q Okay.
A And just -- just to back up, the designated procurement representative was the term I was looking for Jenny and John.

Q In describing it for me, it was Jenny McCarthy and John --

A J ohn McCarthy and Jenny --
Q McCollum?
Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

| A | -- McCollum, yeah. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q | Tell me what their role in this process was. |
| A | They -- you know, they schedule all the |

meetings and sort of facilitated the meetings, I guess
you would say.

Q Okay. Number 2 is the Production of the draft APA.

Do you recall what that document -- what that's about?

A I do not recall.
Q Do you recall what the APA is?
A I do not.
Q It's not a trick question. It's the asset purchase agreement. Do you recall that --

A Okay.
Q -- the discussion was -- at this meeting was the APA, potential asset purchase agreement, was being drafted?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And do you recall who was actually drafting what could become the asset purchase agreement?

A I do not recall who specifically was drafting it, no.

Q And do you understand in number 3 what the MIRA Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
communication was?
A I do not recall what MI RA stands for.
Q And I don't know either.
MR. BLODGETT: It's an acronym for one of the bidder names, MacQuarie.
A Okay. No, I do not recall what that --
Q Okay. Does it refresh your recollection if I tell you that the MIRA communication, as I understand it, was that MIRA told JEA that it was dropping out of the process? Does that refresh your recollection?

A So I remember something along those lines, you
know. Specifically was it this date, likely it was, but I don't recall for certain. But that does sound -- I do remember something like that.

MR. RUSSELL: Okay. That will be 15 .
Okay. Let me -- I need to take a break for
about five minutes.

## (Recess taken.)

BY MR. RUSSELL:
Q Before we took the break, we were talking about what we've marked as Exhibit 16, entitled Management Presentation.

Did you have any involvement in the preparation of this document?

A No, I did not.
Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
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(Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.)
Q Did you have any input into what was going to go into it?

A No.
Q The management presentations occurred in Atlanta?

A Yes.
Q And do you recall when they began in Atlanta?
A I don't recall the specific date. It was in December.

Q Okay. Prior to those meetings beginning, you had been given this document, did you read this document?

A I -- I mean, I didn't read it in detail. This is -- this is a presentation I was about to be given, so, you know, I -- I glanced through it --

Q Okay.
A -- before the presentations.
Q At the meeting, which I have the agenda for, do you recall anything that was discussed about this document at the meeting that occurred on 12/9/2019?

A I don't recall anything -- any details of that discussion.

Q Anybody at that meeting suggest something in this document should be changed or altered?

Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

A I don't recall that at all.
Q Okay. I'm going to back up again because I don't think I got this nailed down.

In connection with the problem with your phone when the --

A Yeah.
Q -- texts disappeared, that event happened after this ITN process was completely over?

A Yes. I believe that I -- it was some time in

## March.

Q Okay. March of 2020?
A March of 2020, this year.
Q Okay.
A Yes. I wasn't aware of it until yesterday.
Q Really? Okay. Got it.
Do you have the document -- 16A, we're not
going to need so just give that one back to me.
MR. RUSSELL: You can keep it, Neils, but I'm
not going to use it.
MR. MURPHY: Okay. I'll just --
MR. RUSSELL: Sure.
MR. MURPHY: -- throw it in my file.
BY MR. RUSSELL:
Q 17, do you recall reviewing this document,
Mr. Smith?
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A I do not.
(Exhibit 17 was marked for identification.)
Q Okay. This was actually what we were talking about earlier. It was the asset purchase and sale agreement.

A (Nods head.)
Q It's your understanding a document like this was being prepared for the potential bidder?

A For the potential bidders, yes.
Q Bidders. Excuse me. Yes.
And so do you have any knowledge as to whether this document was being prepared for specific bidders, each one had a specific APA, or asset purchase agreement, or were all of them generic and the same?

A My understanding is everyone was given a sort of standard one to review and make comments on.

Q Okay. And along with the asset purchase agreement, there were certain related documents, a number of them, in fact, that were going to go along with the asset purchase agreement.

The one that I have here is the system coordination agreement. Do you recall any discussion about this agreement?

A No, I do not.
(Exhibit 18 was marked for identification.) Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

from your perspective, was going to take all the bidders and rank them and give that to the JEA board?

A We never discussed how we were going to present that to the board, to be -- to be clear.

Q Okay.
A But my intention was never to provide a -- you know, this is back up number one, back up number two. To present a clear -- the best proposal out of the -the bunch.

MR. RUSSELL: Okay. Let's go ahead and hand that to her and she can mark it as 19, please.

THE WITNESS: (Witness complies.) (Exhibit 19 was marked for identification.)
BY MR. RUSSELL:
Q Ultimately the negotiation team was going to make the selection of who the submitted bidders would be?

A Would -- say -- state the question again.
Q Ultimately --
A It was a statement. I don't think it was a question.

Q Yeah. Meant to be a question.
My understanding is that ultimately the three negotiators would make the decision of who the
successful bidder or bidders would be and that would be Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
submitted to the JEA board?
A So I would use the term "successful." We intended to select the best proposal out of the proposals received.

Q Okay. And since those three were making that selection and it was up to them when they were going to make the selection, do you know why this letter went to the JEA board instead of to you, the three evaluators?

A I don't know.
Q Outside of this letter, did you ever receive anything similar to the instructions provided in this letter from anybody else?

A No.
Q Do you believe you were required to follow the instructions in this letter?

A No.
MR. RUSSELL: That'll be marked as 20.
(Exhibit 20 was marked for identification.)
BY MR. RUSSELL:
Q This is 20 there, Mr. Smith. And this -- I was at the right page. This subject of this letter is Updated Revised Reply Instruction for Project Scampi Invitation to Negotiate.

Do you know why this document was sent out? Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.

Q Well, do you recall there came a point when it was decided by the negotiation team that having already sent out a reply -- revised reply for instructions, that you would send out an updated revised reply for instructions?
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```
MR. MURPHY: First, I mean, you're assuming it was sent out. I mean, you're making a lot of assumptions. Has he ever seen the document? How about we start with something like that --
MR. RUSSELL: We'll do that.
MR. MURPHY: -- like, predicate questions --
MR. RUSSELL: Sure.
BY MR. RUSSELL:
Q Have you ever seen --
MR. MURPHY: -- instead of all the leading questions, Lanny, of a live adverse witness.
MR. RUSSELL: I've been very pleasant.
MR. BLODGETT: This is not evidentiary.
MR. RUSSELL: Don't cause something else.
BY MR. RUSSELL:
Q All right. Have you ever seen this document before?
A Again, I-- the content of this document looks familiar. Have I seen this exact document? I -- I can't recall.
```
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A It is my recollection that -- that a letter like that was sent, yes.

Q And what necessitated an updated revised reply for instructions?

A In general, there were questions that were shared across the different proposals. And -- and in some cases we chose to answer them in a letter that would go to all, rather than to individually. So it -you know, specifically, I don't recall exactly why, but I recall generally there were issues that we felt were best handled in a correspondence that went to all bidders.

Q Okay. And did you have an understanding that this document went out to each of the bidders who were still participating in the ITN process?

A My understanding is that a document similar to this was sent to all the respondents that were still participating in the process, yes.

Q Did you have any input into the preparation of the document?

A You know, the -- the content of the document was based on discussions within the meeting. So in that, yes. But did I actually have any direct -- no.

Q Okay. Do you know who prepared the document?
A I do not.
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A I do not recall specifically, but I believe it Hedquist \& Associates Reporters, Inc.
was because the -- the process was coming to -- was stopped. I don't recall exactly why this meeting was cancelled.
(Exhibit 21 and 22 were marked for identification.)

Q Okay. I guess that's what I'm trying to find out, the notice of cancellation of the ITN process came out of JEA on a date of December 24th, 2019, but for some reason on $20--12 / 23 / 2019$, the NextEra negotiation session was cancelled, is that because there was prior knowledge of the upcoming cancellation by the negotiating team?

A Soll-- we never had prior knowledge before there was a public knowledge of the cancellation. I don't know what the dates -- when that know- -- when that was made public.

Q Okay. Well, the notice that I have is $12 / 24$, but the NextEra cancelled session is $12 / 23$. Can you explain why the NextEra session was cancelled?

MR. MURPHY: I'm sorry, Lanny, which --
which -- what did it say, NextEra was cancelled?
MR. RUSSELL: Sure.
MR. MURPHY: I see Jethawk, I'm just curious.
MR. BLODGETT: Yeah, that's -- that's the
NextEra code name. I don't --
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MR. MURPHY: Oh, okay. I'm sorry. NextEra on here. And Jethawk, who's the same thing, is NextEra.

MR. RUSSELL: It is.
MR. BLODGETT: Yes.
MR. MURPHY: I didn't know that. Sorry.
MR. RUSSELL: That's all right.
MR. BLODGETT: We didn't until recently either
so.
BY MR. RUSSELL:
Q I'm just trying to --
A So I -- I do not recall the specific time line of when we -- when we were asked in the process, but I don't -- I don't recall exactly.

Q Do you know why the ITN process was cancelled?
A I do not know specifically why it was

## cancelled.

Q Going back to the document we talked about, which had the ranking of the bids, I recall your recollection being that the FPL, Florida Power \& Light, bid was approximately $\$ 2$ billion higher than any other bidder?

A I said one billion.
Q One billion. I'm sorry.
A Yes.
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Q One billion is enough. You said you thought it
was about $\$ 1$ billion higher than any of the competing
bids. At that discussion of that document that had that
information in it, did anybody question how it was
possible that that bidder, Florida Power \& Light, could
be a billion dollars higher than the nearest bidder?
A I don't recall any discussion about that.
Q Okay.
A I have an opinion, a personal opinion about
that, but I don't recall any discussion about that with the committee at all.

Q What's your opinion?
A My personal opinion is that strategically it's the most important for them. More valuable to them.

Q Do you know who made, on Exhibit 21, the decision to cancel the --

A I do not --
Q -- session?
A I do not recall.
Q Was it communicated to you before you came to the meeting?

A I don't recall.
Q Do you recall, on this day, November 23rd, in the morning before the cancellation of the 2:00 o'clock session, a session with other bidders?
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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