#### Report on the Long-Range Projected Finances of the City's Pension Plans

## Police and Fire Pension Plan

Introduction Description of updates from Milliman's prior projection model Projected City Contributions Projection of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability Projection of Funded Ratio Projection of Liquidity Ratio

#### **General Employees Retirement Plan**

Introduction

Projected City Contributions

Projection of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability

Projection of Funded Ratio

**Projection of Liquidity Ratio** 

#### Corrections Officers Retirement Plan

Introduction

Projected City Contributions Projection of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability Projection of Funded Ratio Projection of Liquidity Ratio

#### All Three Plans Combined

Projected City Contributions Projection of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability

The purpose of this report is to enable the City to better understand the pattern of emerging pension costs and liabilities due to proposed benefit and financing changes. The projections contained herein are for illustration purposes only. This project is not intended to provide precise projections of current or future costs; the plans' actuaries will prepare annual actuarial valuations which will be the basis for the City's actual contributions. Differences between our projections and actual amounts depend on the extent to which future experience conforms to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual amounts will differ from projected amounts to the extent that actual experience deviates from expected experience. Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions, changes in economic or demographic assumptions, increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the plan's funded status), and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. Due to the limited scope of our assignment, we did not perform an analysis of the potential range of such future measurements.

Our projections are based on a deterministic model that assumes emerging plan experience always exactly matches the actuarial assumptions; in particular the market returns will match the assumed rate year in and year out. Actual experience will have a significant impact on the financial health of the plans, the liquidity constraints on plan assets, and the City's future contribution levels. We highly recommend that stochastic projections be prepared that would enable the City to understand the potential range of future results based on the expected variability in investment returns.

Milliman's work is prepared solely for the internal business use of the City of Jacksonville. To the extent that Milliman's work is not subject to disclosure under applicable public records laws, Milliman's work may not be provided to third parties without Milliman's prior written consent. Milliman does not intend to benefit or create a legal duty to any third party recipient of its work product, and Milliman may include a legend on its reports so stating. Milliman's consent to release its work product to any third party may be conditioned on the third party signing a Release, subject to the following exceptions: the City may provide a copy of Milliman's work, in its entirety, to the City's professional service advisors who are subject to a duty of confidentiality and who agree to not use Milliman's work for any purpose other than to benefit the City; and the City may provide a copy of Milliman's work, in its entirety, to other governmental entities, as required by law. No third party recipient of Milliman's work product, should rely upon Milliman's work product. Milliman recommends that third party party recipient of Milliman's work product. Milliman recommends that third party party recipient of Milliman's work product.

am a member of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

Rebecca A. Sielman, FSA Principal and Consulting Actuary

City of Jacksonville	Police and Fire Pension Fund - Long-Range Forecast Based on October 1, 2015 Valuation	06/24/2016
Purpose of the project	Enable the City to better understand the pattern of emerging costs and liabilities due to proposed benefit and financing changes. This project is not intended to provide precise projections of current or future costs; the plan's actuary will prepare annual actuarial valuations which will be the basis for the City's actual contributions.	
Basis for projections	This project is based on Milliman's replication of the October 1, 2015 actuarial valuation prepared by Pension Board Consultants Inc. (PBC), as described in their report dated January 29, 2016. We have relied on the valuation results and actuarial methods and assumptions described by PBC in their October 1, 2015 actuarial valuation report and on the census data that PBC supplied to us. We make no judgment as to the appropriater we explicitly valued the cost of the DROP and BACKDROP features, whereas PBC indirectly valued them via a load factor. We assumed 100% of employees would elect the DROP. We performed a limited review of the data used directly in our analysis for reasonableness and consistency and have not found material defects in the data. If there are material defects in the data, it is possible that they and comparison of the data to search for data values that are questionable or for relationships that are materially inconsistent. Such a review was beyond the scope of our assignment. If the underlying data or information methods and with a constraint of the order of the	ness of PBC's actuarial methods or assumptions. Note that would be uncovered by a detailed, systematic review on is inaccurate or incomplete, the results of our analysis
Projection assumptions	The number of active employees remains constant; terminating and retiring active members will be replaced by new members with the same age / service / gender characteristics as members who were hired during the past few plan years. New members will be subject to the plan changes that were contained in Ordinance 2015-304-E. The City always pays the minimum contribution (offset by 50% of Chapter Funds for 15 years and by Court Fines) plus the additional amounts required by Ordinance 2015-304-E (i.e., S5m%10m%15m%32m). Surplus funds in the Enhanced Benefits Account are used to fund annual retiree bonuses and/or share plan contributions such that the balance of the account remains at its October 1, 2015 level. Allocations from Chapter 175/185 Funds are assumed to grow by 2% per year. Court fines are assumed to be equal to 0.58% of payroll for all active employees (including future new hires who may be covered by a DC plan). Based on data provided for members currently in DROP we estimated current DROP balances and the outstanding balances for those who are past the DROP period. We assumed this remaining balance would be paid out over a period of 15 Emerging plan experience will exactly follow the actuarial assumptions, except 100% of retiring members are assumed to leet DROP or BackDROP, respectively. In particular, the investments will earn the assumed to return every year. The mortality assumption will be updated effective with the October 1, 2016 valuation to match the Special Risk mortality assumptions that were used in the July 1, 2014 valuation of the Florida Retirement System. There are no other plan changes, assumption changes, funding method changes, or actuarial gain? (Josses, except as described herein. Differences between our projections and actual amounts depend on the extent to which future experience confines confirms to the assumptions made for this analysis extent that actual experience deviates from expected experience. Future actuarial gain? (Informity from the current measurements presented in t	years. 5. Actual amounts will differ from projected amounts to the 11 that anticipated by the economic or demographic 12 or contribution requirements based on the plan's funded
Employee contribution increase	Effective April 1, 2017, the employee contribution rate may be increased to 10%.	
Plan closing	Effective October 1, 2018, the DB plan may be closed and new hires thereafter may be covered by a plan which will cost the City 12% of pay. Such changes are subject to the collective bargaining process.	
Resetting amortization bases	Effective with the October 1, 2017 valuation, all existing amortization bases may be combined and reamortized over 30 years. The salaries of both current plan members as well as new hires who will be covered by the new plan may be included for purposes of determining the payroll growth assumption that is used to calculate the amortization p Note that 30 years is longer than the expected working lifetime of members covered by this plan, and therefore falls outside recommended actuarial practice. The Government Finance Officers Association in their 2013 "Core Elements of a Pension Funding Policy" Best Practice stated that amortization periods should "never exceed 25 years, but ideally fall in the 15-20 year rar The Conference of Consulting Actuaries in their 2014 "Actuarial Funding Policies and Practices for Public Pension Plans" labels amortization periods longer than 25 years as a "Non-recommended Practice". A long amortization period coupled with level percent of payroll amortization produces a situation known as "negative amortization", where amortization payments are not sufficient to cover interest on the Unfunded Actuarial The City should therefore be prepared for a long period when the Unfunded Actuarial Accured Liability may potentially increase as a dollar amount and the funded ratio may potentially increase to mave the Unfunded Actuarial Accured Liability may potentially increase as a dollar amount and the funded ratio may potentially increases To avector at a "pay now or pay more I Although combining and reamortizing bases reduces City contributions in the short term, this should not be considered a savings, but rather a deferral of contributions. Funding a pension plan is a "pay now or pay more I	ayments. nge". urial Accrued Liability for a number of years. 00%, until towards the end of the amortization period. later* proposition.
Limitations on our analysis	Our projections are based on a deterministic model that assumes emerging plan experience always exactly matches the actuarial assumptions; in particular the market returns will match the assumed rate year in and year Actual experience will not match the actuarial assumptions each and every year. In the past ten years, for instance, market returns for this plan have varied from -13.07% to +18.25%. Such variation will have a significant impact on the financial health of the plan, the liquidity constraints on plan assets, and the City's future contribution levels. We highly recommend that stochastic projections be prepared that would enable the City to understand the potential range of future results based on the expected variability in investment returns. Milliman's work is prepared solely for the internal business use of the City of Jacksonville. To the extent that Milliman's work is not subject to disclosure under applicable public records laws, Milliman's work may not be pr written consent. Milliman does not intend to benefit or create a legal duty to any third party recipient of its work product, and Milliman may include a legend on its reports so stating. Milliman's consent to release its work to the following exceptions: the City may provide a copy of Milliman's work, in its entirety, to the City's professional service advisors who are subject to a duty of confidentiality and purpose other than to benefit the City; and the City may provide a copy of Milliman's work, in its entirety, to the City's professional service advisors who are subject to disclose the dide by their own actuary or other qualified professional when reviewing the Milliman work product. I am a member of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.	ar out. rovided to third parties without Milliman's prior roduct to any third party may be conditioned on d who agree to not use Milliman's work for any pon Milliman's work product. Milliman
	Rebecca A. Sielman, FSA Principal and Consulting Actuary	

# City of Jacksonville Police and Fire Pension Fund - Long-Range Forecast Based on October 1, 2015 Valuation

## Updates from Milliman's prior projection model

Prior model was based on October 1, 2012 census data with some updates to reflect certain 2013 demographic experience; current model reflects October 1, 2015 census data Census data Active population very comparable in demographic composition Little or no pay increases Retiree population has grown by about 200 members Members in DROP are about the same Investment performance Prior model was based on October 1, 2013 balance; current model reflects October 1, 2015 balance Return for 2013-14 was +10.73% Return for 2014-15 was -3.95% Prior model and current model both reflect plan changes made per Ordinance 304-E; prior model assumed 2.6% COLA for employees with < 20 years of service; current model reflects PBC's assumption of 2.5% Plan changes Prior model reflected PBC's implicit recognition of the impact of the DROP and BACKDROP provisions via a load; current model explicitly values these provisions Assumption changes Prior model reflected the assumptions used in the 2012 valuation plus the 2013 expense load change; current model reflects a number of assumption changes implemented in the 2013 and 2015 valuations as well as the mortality update that will be implemented in 2016 2% load added to reflect value of DROP - note our analysis indicates that 2% is somewhat conservative 2013 2015 Salary increases lowered from 4.0% to 3.5% 2015 Turnover rates increased 50% 2015 Mortality base table updated; longevity improvement projections extended and strengthened 2016 Mortality assumption will be further updated to reflect the 2014 change in the Florida Retirement System valuation assumptions Prior model assumed DROP accounts for current post-DROP members would be paid out over 5 years; current model uses 15 year period Prior model assumed administrative expenses would increase by 2.5% per year; current model assumes 3.25% Financing changes Early versions of the prior model reflected 2012 valuation's combination/reamortization of bases over 23 years; later versions and the current model reflect 2015 valuation's change back to individual bases Prior model assumed the new 50% Chapter 175/185 allocation would be a flat \$5m/year starting in FYE2015; current model reflects actual FYE2015 allocation of \$5.3m with 2% increases thereafter Prior model reflected 2012 valuation's development of a mid-year contribution that was payable 2 fiscal years later; current model reflects 2015 valuation's application of 1 year of payroll growth plus 2 months of interest; models also differ in whether adjustments for timing are applied before or after reductions for Chapter 175/185 allocation and Court Fines

This work was prepared solely for the City of Jacksonville for the purposes described herein and may not be appropriate to use for other purposes. Milliman does not intend to benefit and assumes no duty or liability to other parties who receive this work. Milliman recommends that third parties be aided by their own actuary or other qualified professional when reviewing the Milliman work product.

# 06/24/2016

This projection is for illustration purposes only, based on Milliman's replication of the October 1, 2015 valuation. This projection assumes that the number of active employees remains constant; terminating and retiring active members are replaced by new members with the same age / service / gender characteristics as members who were hired during the past few plan years; the City always pays the contribution; the investments earn the assumed rate of return: there are no plan changes, assumption changes, funding method changes, or actuarial gains / losses. Differences between our projections and actual amounts depend on the extent to which future experience conforms to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual experience will not conform exactly to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual amounts will differ from projected amounts to the extent that actual experience deviates from expected experience.

300

250

200

150

100

50

0

We have relied on the valuation results and actuarial methods and assumptions used by Pension Board Consultants Inc. in their October 1, 2015 actuarial valuation report, with the exception that we explicitly valued the cost of the DROP and BACKDROP features, whereas PBC indirectly valued them via a load factor. We make no judgment as to the appropriateness of PBC's actuarial methods or assumptions.

## Police and Fire Pension Fund - Long-Range Forecast Based on October 1, 2015 Valuation

# **Estimated City Contribution (\$ millions)** ncrease Employee Contributions to 10% @ 10% Contributions; New Plan; Reset Amortization Bases @ 10/1/17 10% Contributions; 12% Plan for New Hire @ 10/1/18 FY17 FY18 FY19 FY20 FY21 FY22 FY23 FY24 FY25 FY26 FY27 FY28 FY29 FY30 FY31 FY32 FY33 FY34 FY35 FY36 FY37 FY38 FY39 FY40 FY41 FY42 FY43 FY44 FY45 FY46 FY47 FY48 FY49

City Contribution	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY29	FY30	FY31	FY32	FY33	FY34	FY35	FY36	FY37	FY38	FY39	FY40	FY41	FY42	FY43	FY44	FY45	FY46	FY47	FY48	FY49
Baseline Projection	177	191	210	210	208	210	211	213	214	215	215	193	169	161	162	164	167	171	149	151	154	140	143	111	112	116	38	0	0	3	0	0	0
Increase Employee Contributions to 10% @ 4/1/17	177	190	208	207	205	207	209	211	212	213	214	192	168	160	161	164	166	170	148	150	154	140	143	111	112	116	38	0	0	3	0	0	0
10% Contributions; 12% Plan for New Hires @ 10/1/18	177	190	208	208	207	209	211	213	215	216	216	194	170	162	163	166	168	172	151	153	156	142	145	113	114	118	41	28	30	31	32	33	35
10% Contributions; New Plan; Reset Amortization Bases	177	190	171	171	172	173	174	175	175	174	173	174	158	150	150	152	155	159	164	168	172	176	181	186	192	198	205	211	218	224	231	238	35
@ 10/1/17																																	
Combined impact of all changes from baseline	0	(1)	(39)	(39)	(36)	(37)	(37)	(39)	(39)	(41)	(42)	(20)	(11)	(11)	(12)	(12)	(12)	(12)	15	17	18	36	38	75	80	83	167	211	218	221	231	238	35

This work was prepared solely for the City of Jacksonville for the purposes described herein and may not be appropriate to use for other purposes. Milliman does not intend to benefit and assumes no duty or liability to other parties who receive this work. Milliman recommends that third parties be aided by their own actuary or other qualified professional when reviewing the Milliman work product.

Milliman

# 06/24/2016

## Police and Fire Pension Fund - Long-Range Forecast Based on October 1, 2015 Valuation

06/24/2016

(924)

(472

(74)

2 (123) (241) (370) (474) (584) (705) (751) (758) (766) (777) (784)

396

255

(16) (142) (261) (391) (495) (605) (725) (771) (806) (843) (882)

522

This projection is for illustration purposes only, based on Milliman's replication of the October 1, 2015 valuation. This projection assumes that the number of active employees remains constant; terminating and retiring active members are replaced by new members with the same age / service / gender characteristics as members who were hired during the past few plan years; the City always pays the contribution; the investments earn the assumed rate of return: there are no plan changes, assumption changes, funding method changes, or actuarial gains / losses. Differences between our projections and actual amounts depend on the extent to which future experience conforms to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual experience will not conform exactly to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual amounts will differ from projected amounts to the extent that actual experience deviates from expected experience.

We have relied on the valuation results and actuarial methods and assumptions used by Pension Board Consultants Inc. in their October 1, 2015 actuarial valuation report, with the exception that we explicitly valued the cost of the DROP and BACKDROP features, whereas PBC indirectly valued them via a load factor. We make no judgment as to the appropriateness of PBC's actuarial methods or assumptions.



100

1.049

983 911

829

738 636

.237 1.199 1.156 1.106

#### Estimated Unfunded Accrued Liability

Baseline Projection Increase Employee Contributions to 10% @ 4/1/17 10% Contributions; 12% Plan for New Hires @ 10/1/18 10% Contributions; New Plan; Reset Amortization Bases @ 10/1/17

1.801 1.855 1.827 1.776 1.749

.721

This work was prepared solely for the City of Jacksonville for the purposes described herein and may not be appropriate to use for other purposes. Milliman does not intend to benefit and assumes no duty or liability to other parties who receive this work. Milliman recommends that third parties be aided by their own actuary or other qualified professional when reviewing the Milliman work product.

1.520 1.467 1.410

1.354 1.301 1.270

1,801 1,855 1,827 1,776 1,710 1,639 1,565 1,482 1,391 1,291 1,181 1,060 929 818 715 630 538 437 328 206

1.689 1.653 1.613 1.569

This projection is for illustration purposes only, based on Milliman's replication of the October 1, 2015 valuation. This projection assumes that the number of active employees remains constant; terminating and retiring active members are replaced by new members with the same age / service / gender characteristics as members who were hired during the past few plan years; the City always pays the contribution; the investments earn the assumed rate of return: there are no plan changes, assumption changes, funding method changes, or actuarial gains / losses. Differences between our projections and actual amounts depend on the extent to which future experience conforms to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual experience will not conform exactly to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual amounts will differ from projected amounts to the extent that actual experience deviates from expected experience.

We have relied on the valuation results and actuarial methods and assumptions used by Pension Board Consultants Inc. in their October 1, 2015 actuarial valuation report, with the exception that we explicitly valued the cost of the DROP and BACKDROP teatures, whereas PEC indirectly valued them via a load factor. We make no judgment as to the appropriateness of PEC's actuarial methods or assumptions.

## Police and Fire Pension Fund - Long-Range Forecast Based on October 1, 2015 Valuation

# 06/24/2016



Estimated	Funded	Ratio
-----------	--------	-------

Baseline Projection Increase Employee Contributions to 10% @ 4/1/17 10% Contributions; 12% Plan for New Hires @ 10/1/18 10% Contributions; New Plan; Reset Amortization Bases @ 10/1/17

This projection is for illustration purposes only, based on Milliman's replication of the October 1, 2015 valuation. This projection assumes that the number of active employees remains constant; terminating and retiring active members are replaced by new members with the same age / service / gender characteristics as members who were hired during the past few plan years; the City always pays the contribution; the investments earn the assumed rate of return: there are no plan changes, assumption changes, funding method changes, or actuarial gains / losses. Differences between our projections and actual amounts depend on the extent to which future experience conforms to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual experience will not conform exactly to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual amounts will differ from projected amounts to the extent that actual experience deviates from expected experience.

We have relied on the valuation results and actuarial methods and assumptions used by Pension Board Consultants Inc. in their October 1, 2015 actuarial valuation report, with the exception that we explicitly valued the roots of the DROP and BACKDROP teatures, whereas PBC indirectly valued them via a load factor. We make no judgment as to the appropriateness of PBC's actuarial methods or assumptions.

## Police and Fire Pension Fund - Long-Range Forecast Based on October 1, 2015 Valuation

## 06/24/2016



#### Estimated benefit payments (\$ millions) Baseline Projection

Increase Employee Contributions to 10% @ 4/1/17 10% Contributions; 12% Plan for New Hires @ 10/1/18 10% Contributions; New Plan; Reset Amortization Bases @ 10/1/17

#### Estimated assets (\$ millions)

Baseline Projection Increase Employee Contributions to 10% @ 4/1/17 10% Contributions; 12% Plan for New Hires @ 10/1/18 10% Contributions; New Plan; Reset Amortization Bases @ 10/1/1

FY17 FY18 FY19 FY20 FY21 FY22 FY23 FY24 FY25 FY26 FY27 FY28 FY29 FY30 FY31 FY32 FY33 FY34 FY35 FY36 FY37 FY38 FY39 FY40 FY41 FY42 FY43 FY44 FY45 FY46 FY47 FY48 FY49 145 170 179 172 189 185 195 197 203 210 220 231 244 259 278 273 287 287 300 312 307 305 307 317 328 321 322 323 325 327 329 333 337 145 170 179 172 189 185 195 197 203 210 220 231 244 259 278 273 287 287 300 312 307 305 307 317 328 321 322 323 325 327 329 333 337 145 170 179 172 189 185 220 230 244 258 277 272 286 286 298 310 305 303 304 314 325 317 317 317 318 317 316 315 314 195 197 203 210 145 170 179 172 189 185 195 197 203 210 220 230 244 258 277 272 286 286 298 310 305 303 304 314 325 317 317 317 318 317 316 315 314

FY17 FY18 FY19 FY20 FY21 FY22 FY23 FY24 FY25 FY26 FY27 FY28 FY29 FY30 FY31 FY32 FY33 FY34 FY35 FY36 FY37 FY38 FY39 FY40 FY41 FY42 FY43 FY44 FY45 FY46 FY47 FY48 FY49 1.341 1.443 1.548 1.673 1.825 1.970 2.127 2.287 2.457 2.634 2.818 3.005 3.195 3.353 3.491 3.592 3.731 3.869 4.020 4.171 4.298 4.441 4.599 4.753 4.909 5.031 5.171 5.323 5.402 5.445 5.488 5.536 5.579 1.341 1.443 1.550 1.675 1.827 1.973 2.288 2.458 2.635 2.819 3.006 3.195 3.353 3.490 3.591 3.731 3.868 4.019 4.170 4.297 4.439 4.597 4.751 4.907 5.029 5.168 5.320 5.400 5.442 5.485 5.532 5.576 2.1291.341 1.443 1.550 1.675 1.827 1.972 2.127 2,971 3,146 3,287 3,404 3,481 3,593 3,699 3,814 3,926 4,008 4,101 4,204 4,296 4,386 4,436 4,496 4,562 4,549 4,517 4,483 4,447 4,408 2.284 2.449 2.620 2.795 3,956 1,341 1,443 1,550 1,675 1,788 1,890 2,003 2,564 2,666 2,751 2,818 2,841 2,895 2,938 2,986 3,026 3,059 3,102 3,151 3,206 3,258 3,306 3,369 3,441 3,522 3,612 3,713 3,828 2,113 2,227 2,342 2.455

City of Jacksonville	General Employees Retirement Plan - Long-Range Forecast Based on October 1, 2015 Valuation	06/24/2016
Purpose of the project	Enable the City to better understand the pattern of emerging costs and liabilities due to proposed benefit and financing changes. This project is not intended to provide precise projections of current or future costs; the plan's actuary will prepare annual actuarial valuations which will be the basis for the City's actual contributions.	
Basis for projections	This project is based on Milliman's replication of the October 1, 2015 actuarial valuation prepared by Segal Consultants, as described in their report dated March 22, 2016. We have relied on the valuation results and actuarial methods and assumptions described by Segal in their October 1, 2015 actuarial valuation report and on the census data that Segal supplied to us. We make no judgment as to the appropriateness of s	Segal's actuarial methods or assumptions.
	We performed a limited review of the data used directly in our analysis for reasonableness and consistency and have not found material defects in the data. If there are material defects in the data, it is possible that they would be u and comparison of the data to search for data values that are questionable or for relationships that are materially inconsistent. Such a review was beyond the scope of our assignment. If the underlying data or information is inaccur may likewise be inaccurate or incomplete and our calculations may need to be revised.	ncovered by a detailed, systematic review rate or incomplete, the results of our analysis
Projection assumptions	The number of active employees remains constant; terminating and retiring active members will be replaced by new members with the same age / service / gender characteristics as members who were hired during the past few plan years. The City always pays the minimum contribution. The payrol growth assumption will grade over the next ten years from the rate used for the October 1, 2015 valuation (1.14%) to the ultimate pay growth assumption (2.75%). Emerging plan experience will exactly follow the acturating assumptions. In particular, the investments will ent the assumed rate of return every year. The mortality assumption will be updated effective with the October 1, 2016 valuation to match the non-Special Risk mortality assumptions that were used in the July 1, 2014 valuation of the Florida Retirement System.	
	There are no uner pair changes, assumption changes, using memory changes, or acutanta gains, to assess, except as uscular them. Differences between our projections and actual amounts depend on the event to which future experience conforms to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual experience will not conform exactly to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual and event that actual experience deviates from expected experience. Future actuarial measurements and differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that antic assumptions, changes in economic or demographic assumptions, increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amotization period or additional cost or contribu status), and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. Due to the limited scope of our assignment, we did not perform an analysis of the potential range of such future measurements.	nounts will differ from projected amounts to the sipated by the economic or demographic trion requirements based on the plan's funded
Employee contribution increase	Effective April 1, 2017, the employee contribution rate may be increased to 10%.	
Plan closing	Effective October 1, 2018, the DB plan may be closed and new hires thereafter may be covered by a plan which will cost the City 8% of pay. Such changes are subject to the collective bargaining process.	
Resetting amortization bases	Effective with the October 1, 2017 valuation, all existing amortization bases may be combined and reamortized over 30 years. The salaries of both current plan members as well as new hires who will be covered by the new plan may be included for purposes of determining the payroll growth assumption that is used to calculate the amortization payments. Note that 30 years is longer than the expected working lifetime of members covered by this plan, and therefore falls outside recommended actuarial practice. The Government finance Officers Association in their 2013 "Core Elements of a Pension Funding Policy" best Practice stated that amortization periods should "never exceed 25 years, but ideally fall in the 15-20 year range". The Conference of Consulting Actuaries in their 2014 "Actuarial Funding Policies and Practices for Public Pension Plans" labels amortization periods should "never exceed 25 years as a "Non-recommended Practice". A long amortization period coupled with level percent of payrol amortization produces a stuation known as "negative amortization", where amortization payments are not sufficient to cover interest on the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued The City should therefore be prepared for a long period when the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability may obtentially increase as a dollar amount and the funded ratio may potentially marke very little progress towards 100%, until to Although combining and reamortizing bases reduces City contributions in the short term, this should not be considered a savings, but rather a deferral of contributions. Funding a pension plan is a "pay now or pay more later" propose	d Liability for a number of years. wards the end of the amortization period. sition.
Limitations on our analysis	Our projections are based on a deterministic model that assumes emerging plan experience always exactly matches the actuarial assumptions; in particular the market returns will match the assumed rate year in and year out. Actual experience will not match the actuarial assumptions each and every year. In the past ten years, for instance, market returns for this plan have varied from -15.65% to +18.92%. Such variation will have a significant impact on the financial health of the plan, the liquidity constraints on plan assets, and the City's future contribution levels. We highly recommend that stochastic projections be prepared that would enable the City to understand the potential range of future results based on the expected variability in investment returns.	
	Milliman's work is prepared solely for the internal business use of the City of Jacksonville. To the extent that Milliman's work is not subject to disclosure under applicable public records laws, Milliman's work may not be provided to th written consent. Milliman does not intend to benefit or create a legal duty to any third party recipient of its work product, and Milliman may include a legend on its reports so stating. Milliman's consent to release its work product to an the third party signing a Release, subject to the following exceptions: the City may provide a copy of Milliman's work, in its entirety, to the city's professional service advisors who are subject to a duty of confidentiality and who agree purpose other than to benefit the City; and the City may provide a copy of Milliman's work, in its entirety, to the source advisors who are subject to a Milliman's work product should rely upon Milliman recommends that third parties be aided by their own actuary or other qualified professional when reviewing the Milliman work product.	ird parties without Milliman's prior ny third party may be conditioned on a to not use Milliman's work for any n's work product. Milliman
	I am a member of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.	
	Rebecca A. Sielman, FSA Principal and Consulting Actuary	

This projection is for illustration purposes only, based on Milliman's replication of the October 1, 2015 valuation. This projection assumes that the number of active employees remains constant; terminating and retiring active members are replaced by new members with the same age / service / gender characteristics as members who were hired during the past few plan years; the City always pays the contribution; the investments earn the assumed rate of return: there are no plan changes, assumption changes, funding method changes, or actuarial gains / losses. Differences between our projections and actual amounts depend on the extent to which future experience conforms to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual experience will not conform exactly to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual amounts will differ from projected amounts to the extent that actual experience deviates from expected experience.



# General Employees Retirement Plan - Long-Range Forecast Based on October 1, 2015 Valuation

06/24/2016



City Contribution	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY29	FY30	FY31	FY32	FY33	FY34	FY35	FY36	FY37	FY38	FY39	FY40	FY41	FY42	FY43	FY44	FY45	FY46	FY47	FY48	FY49
Baseline Projection	95	114	118	122	127	129	131	134	136	139	142	146	151	155	160	165	170	175	137	150	156	162	171	158	162	141	130	96	94	103	108	85	81
Increase Employee Contributions to 10% @ 4/1/17	95	111	112	116	121	123	125	127	130	132	135	140	144	148	153	157	162	167	129	142	147	153	162	149	152	131	120	86	83	92	97	74	70
10% Contributions; 8% Plan for New Hires @ 10/1/18	95	111	114	119	123	125	127	129	131	133	136	140	144	148	152	156	160	165	126	138	143	149	157	143	146	124	112	77	73	80	84	60	55
10% Contributions; New Plan; Reset Amortization Bases	95	111	102	106	110	112	113	114	116	118	120	123	127	130	134	138	141	145	149	153	158	162	167	171	176	181	186	191	196	201	207	212	64
@ 10/1/17																																	
Combined impact of all changes from baseline	0	(3)	(16)	(16)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)	(22)	(23)	(24)	(25)	(26)	(27)	(29)	(30)	12	3	2	0	(5)	13	14	40	56	95	102	99	99	127	(16)

This projection is for illustration purposes only, based on Milliman's replication of the October 1, 2015 valuation. This projection assumes that the number of active employees remains constant; terminating and retiring active members are replaced by new members with the same age / service / gender characteristics as members who were hired during the past few plan years; the City always pays the contribution; the investments earn the assumed rate of return: there are no plan changes, assumption changes, funding method changes, or actuarial gains / losses. Differences between our projections and actual amounts depend on the extent to which future experience conforms to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual experience will not conform exactly to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual amounts will differ from projected amounts to the extent that actual experience deviates from expected experience.

We have relied on the valuation results and actuarial methods and assumptions used by Segal Consultants in their October 1, 2015 actuarial valuation report. We make no judgment as to the appropriateness of Segal's actuarial methods or assumptions.

## General Employees Retirement Plan - Long-Range Forecast Based on October 1, 2015 Valuation

06/24/2016

94 67

537 427

6 (25)

71

724 636

550 482 405 314 233 144

931 871 802

985

1.072 1.031

43

(54) (92) (135)

305

9 (29)



#### Estimated Unfunded Accrued Liability

Baseline Projection Increase Employee Contributions to 10% @ 4/1/17 10% Contributions; 8% Plan for New Hires @ 10/1/18 10% Contributions; New Plan; Reset Amortization Bases @ 10/1/17

900 1.085 1.114 1.142 1.189

1,197

1.201 1.206 1.210 1.213

This work was prepared solely for the City of Jacksonville for the purposes described herein and may not be appropriate to use for other purposes. Milliman does not intend to benefit and assumes no duty or liability to other parties who receive this work. Milliman recommends that third parties be aided by their own actuary or other qualified professional when reviewing the Milliman work product.

900 1,085 1,114 1,142 1,177 1,171 1,160 1,148 1,133 1,115 1,094 1,071 1,042 1,007 966 920 865 803 733 653 609

900 1,085 1,114 1,142 1,177 1,173 1,164 1,154 1,141 1,126 1,108 1,086 1,061 1,030 993 950 900 842 776 700 661 606 543 470 384 308 223 154

1.216 1.217 1.216 1.212 1.204 1.194 1.178 1.159 1.135 1.105

This projection is for illustration purposes only, based on Milliman's replication of the October 1, 2015 valuation. This projection assumes that the number of active employees remains constant; terminating and retiring active members are replaced by new members with the same age / service / gender characteristics as members who were hired during the past few plan years; the City always pays the contribution; the investments earn the assumed rate of return: there are no plan changes, assumption changes, funding method changes, or actuarial gains / losses. Differences between our projections and actual amounts depend on the extent to which future experience conforms to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual experience will not conform exactly to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual amounts will differ from projected amounts to the extent that actual experience deviates from expected experience.



## General Employees Retirement Plan - Long-Range Forecast Based on October 1, 2015 Valuation

06/24/2016



#### Estimated Funded Ratio

Baseline Projection Increase Employee Contributions to 10% @ 4/1/17 10% Contributions; 8% Plan for New Hires @ 10/1/18 10% Contributions; New Plan; Reset Amortization Bases @ 10/1/17

This projection is for illustration purposes only, based on Milliman's replication of the October 1, 2015 valuation. This projection assumes that the number of active employees remains constant; terminating and retiring active members are replaced by new members with the same age / service / gender characteristics as members who were hired during the past few plan years; the City always pays the contribution; the investments earn the assumed rate of return: there are no plan changes, assumption changes, funding method changes, or actuarial gains / losses. Differences between our projections and actual amounts depend on the extent to which future experience conforms to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual experience will not conform exactly to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual amounts will differ from projected amounts to the extent that actual experience deviates from expected experience.

We have relied on the valuation results and actuarial methods and assumptions used by Segal Consultants in their October 1, 2015 actuarial valuation report. We make no judgment as to the appropriateness of Segal's actuarial methods or assumptions.

## General Employees Retirement Plan - Long-Range Forecast Based on October 1, 2015 Valuation

# 06/24/2016



#### Estimated benefit payments (\$ millions)

**Baseline Projection** 

Increase Employee Contributions to 10% @ 4/1/17 10% Contributions; 8% Plan for New Hires @ 10/1/18 10% Contributions; New Plan; Reset Amortization Bases @ 10/1/17

#### Estimated assets (\$ millions)

Baseline Projection Increase Employee Contributions to 10% @ 4/1/17 10% Contributions; 8% Plan for New Hires @ 10/1/18 10% Contributions; New Plan; Reset Amortization Bases @ 10/1/17

1.740 1.792 1.855 1.935 2.002

2.068 2.134

2.258

FY17 FY18 FY19 FY20 FY21 FY22 FY23 FY24 FY25 FY26 FY27 FY28 FY29 FY30 FY31 FY32 FY33 FY34 FY35 FY36 FY37 FY38 FY39 FY40 FY41 FY42 FY43 FY45 FY46 FY47 FY48 FY49 182 184 193 201 208 215 222 228 235 241 247 254 261 268 276 284 291 299 305 312 320 328 334 341 349 355 363 367 372 378 382 390 396 182 184 193 202 208 216 222 229 236 242 248 255 262 270 277 285 293 300 307 314 322 329 335 343 351 357 365 369 374 380 384 392 398 182 184 193 202 208 215 222 227 234 240 245 252 257 265 271 279 285 291 296 302 308 313 317 322 327 330 334 333 333 333 330 329 327 182 184 193 202 208 215 222 227 234 240 245 252 257 265 271 279 285 291 296 302 308 313 317 322 327 330 334 333 333 333 330 329 327 FY17 FY18 FY19 FY20 FY21 FY22 FY23 FY24 FY25 FY26 FY27 FY28 FY29 FY30 FY31 FY32 FY33 FY34 FY35 FY36 FY37 FY38 FY39 FY40 FY41 FY42 FY43 FY44 FY45 FY46 FY47 FY48 FY49 1,740 1,792 1,852 1,928 2,007 2,088 2,174 2,263 2,354 2,449 2,547 2,651 2,758 2,872 2,992 3,118 3,250 3,391 3,541 3,701 3,827 3,969 4,120 4,284 4,463 4,634 4,816 4,984 5,149 5,288 5,429 5,587 5,576 1,740 1,792 1,935 2,014 2,096 2,182 2,363 2,458 2,556 2,660 2,767 2,881 3,001 3,127 3,260 3,401 3,551 3,838 4.132 4.296 4.475 4.646 4.829 4.997 5.163 5,601 5,770 ,271 302 5,443 1,740 1,792 1,855 1,935 2,014 2,094 2,176 2,256 2,335 2,414 2,492 2,570 2,648 2,726 2,805 2,884 2,963 3,043 3,125 3,209 3,251 3,301 3,350 3,403 3,461 3,500 3,539 3,552 3,552 3,512 3,464 3,419 3,374

2.650 2.688

819 2.848 2.876 2.905

931 2.959

.987 3.021

.221

568 2.611

This work was prepared solely for the City of Jacksonville for the purposes described herein and may not be appropriate to use for other purposes. Milliman does not intend to benefit and assumes no duty or liability to other parties who receive this work. Milliman recommends that third parties be aided by their own actuary or other qualified professional when reviewing the Milliman work product.

2.473 2.522

2.371 2.424

City of Jacksonville	Corrections Officers Retirement Plan - Long-Range Forecast Based on October 1, 2015 Valuation	06/24/2016
Purpose of the project	Enable the City to better understand the pattern of emerging costs and liabilities due to proposed benefit and financing changes. This project is not intended to provide precise projections of current or future costs; the plan's actuary will prepare annual actuarial valuations which will be the basis for the City's actual contributions.	
Basis for projections	This project is based on Milliman's replication of the October 1, 2015 actuarial valuation prepared by Segal Consultants, as described in their report dated March 22, 2016. We have relied on the valuation results and actuarial methods and assumptions described by Segal in their October 1, 2015 actuarial valuation report and on the census data that Segal supplied to us. We make no judgment as to the appropriatenee that Segal did not explicitly value this pairs DROP provision. We performed a limited review of the data used directly in our analysis for reasonableness and consistency and have not found material defects in the data. If there are material defects in the data, it is possible that they would and comparison of the data to search for data values that are questionable or for relationships that are materially inconsistent. Such a review was beyond the scope of our assignment. If the underlying data or information is in may likewise be inaccurate or incomplete and our calculations may need to be revised.	ss of Segal's actuarial methods or assumptions. Note be uncovered by a detailed, systematic review accurate or incomplete, the results of our analysis
Projection assumptions	The number of active employees remains constant; terminating and retiring active members will be replaced by new members with the same age / service / gender characteristics as members who were hired during the past few plan years. The City always pays the minimum contribution. The payorl growth assumption will grade over the next ten years from the rate used for the October 1, 2015 valuation (0.68%) to the ultimate pay growth assumption (2.75%). Emerging plan experience will exactly follow the actuarial assumptions. In particular, the investments will earn the assumed rate of return every year. The mortality assumption will be updated effective with the October 1, 2016 valuation to match the Special Risk mortality assumptions that were used in the July 1, 2014 valuation of the Florida Retirement System. There are no other plan changes, assumption changes, or actuarial gains / losses, except as described herein.	
	Differences between our projections and actual amounts depend on the extent to which future experience conforms to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual experience will not conform exactly to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual experience will not conform exactly to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual experience will not conform exactly to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual experience will not conform exactly to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual experience will not conform exactly to the assumptions presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that assumptions, changes in economic or demographic asses or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or constants), and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. Due to the limited scope of our assignment, we did not perform an analysis of the potential range of such future measurements.	tual amounts will differ from projected amounts to the t anticipated by the economic or demographic nntribution requirements based on the plan's funded
Employee contribution increase	Effective April 1, 2017, the employee contribution rate may be increased to 10%.	
Plan closing	Effective October 1, 2018, the DB plan may be closed and new hires thereafter may be covered by a new plan which will cost the City 8% of pay. Such changes are subject to the collective bargaining process.	
Resetting amortization bases	Effective with the October 1, 2017 valuation, all existing amortization bases may be combined and reamortized over 30 years. The salaries of both current plan members as well as new hires who will be covered by the new plan may be included for purposes of determining the payroll growth assumption that is used to calculate the amortization payment Note that 30 years is longer than the expected working lifetime of members covered by this plan, and therefore falls outside recommended actuarial practice. The Government Finance Officers Association in their 2013 "Core Elements of a Pension Funding Policy" Best Practice stated that amortization periods should "never exceed 25 years, but ideally fall in the 15-20 year range". The Conference of Consulting Actuaries in their 2014 "Actuarial Funding Policies and Practices for Public Pension Plans" labels amortization periods longer than 25 years as a "Non-recommended Practice". A long amortization period coupled with level percent of payroll amortization produces a situation known as "negative amortization", where amortization payments are not sufficient to cover interest on the Unfunded Actuarial Accured Liability may obtentially increase as a dollar amount and the funded ratio may potentially make very little progress towards 100%. Although combining and reamortizing bases reduces City contributions in the short term, this should not be considered a savings, but rather a deferral of contributions. Funding a pension plan is a "pay now or pay more later" p	nts. ccrued Liability for a number of years. ntil lowards the end of the amortization period. roposition.
Limitations on our analysis	Our projections are based on a deterministic model that assumes emerging plan experience always exactly matches the actuarial assumptions; in particular the market returns will match the assumed rate year in and year out. Actual experience will not match the actuarial assumptions each and every year. In the past ten years, for instance, market returns for this plan have varied from -15.61% to +18.14%. Such variation will have a significant impact on the financial health of the plan, the floudify constraints on plan assets, and the City's future contribution levels. We highly recommend that stochastic projections be prepared that would enable the City to understand the potential range of future results based on the expected variability in investment returns.	
	Milliman's work is prepared solely for the internal business use of the City of Jacksonville. To the extent that Milliman's work is not subject to disclosure under applicable public records laws, Milliman's work may not be provided written consent. Milliman does not intend to benefit or create a legal duty to any third party recipient of its work product, and Milliman may include a legend on its reports so stating. Milliman's consent to release its work product the third party signing a Release, subject to the following exceptions: the City may provide a copy of Milliman's work, in its entirety, to the City's professional service advisors who are subject to a duty of confidentiality and who a purpose other than to benefit the City; and the City may provide a copy of Milliman's work, in its entirety, to the required by law. No third party recipient of Milliman's work, in its entirety, to other governmental entities, as required by law. No third party recipient of Milliman's work product should rely upon Mi	to third parties without Milliman's prior to any third party may be conditioned on agree to not use Milliman's work for any Illiman's work product. Milliman
	I am a member of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.	
	Rebecca A. Sielman, FSA Principal and Consulting Actuary	

This projection is for illustration purposes only, based on Milliman's replication of the October 1, 2015 valuation. This projection assumes that the number of active employees remains constant; terminating and retiring active members are replaced by new members with the same age / service / gender characteristics as members who were hired during the past few plan years; the City always pays the contribution; the investments earn the assumed rate of return: there are no plan changes, assumption changes, funding method changes, or actuarial gains / losses. Differences between our projections and actual amounts depend on the extent to which future experience conforms to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual experience will not conform exactly to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual amounts will differ from projected amounts to the extent that actual experience deviates from expected experience.

We have relied on the valuation results and actuarial methods and assumptions used by Segal Consultants in their October 1, 2015 actuarial valuation report, with the exception that we explicitly valued the cost of the DROP feature, whereas Segal did not. We make no judgment as to the appropriateness of Segal's actuarial methods or assumptions.

## Corrections Officers Retirement Plan - Long-Range Forecast Based on October 1, 2015 Valuation

# 06/24/2016



City	Contribution
------	--------------

City Contribution	FY17	' FY18	3 FY19	9 FY2	0 FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY29	FY30	FY31	FY32	FY33	FY34	FY35	FY36	FY37	FY38	FY39	FY40	FY41	FY42	FY43	FY44	FY45	FY46	FY47	FY48	FY49
Baseline Projection	19	21	21	2	2 22	22	23	23	23	24	24	25	25	26	27	28	28	29	27	28	29	27	27	22	23	21	20	17	17	16	16	14	13
Increase Employee Contributions to 10%	19	21	21	2	1 22	22	22	22	23	23	23	24	25	25	26	27	28	28	27	28	28	26	26	22	22	20	19	17	16	15	15	13	12
10% Contributions; 8.00% Plan for New Hires	19	21	21	2	1 22	22	22	22	22	22	22	23	23	24	25	25	25	26	24	24	25	22	21	17	17	14	13	10	9	8	8	5	5
10% Contributions; New Plan; Reset Amortization Bases	19	21	19	1	9 20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	21	21	22	22	22	23	23	23	24	24	25	25	25	26	26	27	28	28	29	30	5
Combined impact of all changes from baseline	0	(0	) (2	() (	2) (3	) (3	) (3)	(3)	) (4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(4)	(5)	(5)	(3)	(2)	3	3	5	7	9	11	12	13	16	(8)

## Corrections Officers Retirement Plan - Long-Range Forecast Based on October 1, 2015 Valuation

# 06/24/2016

This projection is for illustration purposes only, based on Milliman's replication of the October 1, 2015 valuation. This projection assumes that the number of active employees remains constant; terminating and retiring active members are replaced by new members with the same age / service / gender characteristics as members who were hired during the past few plan years; the City always pays the contribution; the investments earn the assumed rate of return: there are no plan changes, assumption changes, funding method changes, or actuarial gains / losses. Differences between our projections and actual amounts depend on the extent to which future experience conforms to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual experience will not conform exactly to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual amounts will differ from projected amounts to the extent that actual experience deviates from expected experience.

We have relied on the valuation results and actuarial methods and assumptions used by Segal Consultants in their October 1, 2015 actuarial valuation report, with the exception that we explicitly valued the cost of the DROP feature, whereas Segal did not. We make no judgment as to the appropriateness of Segal's actuarial methods or assumptions.



Estimated Unfunded Accrued Liability	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047
Baseline Projection	160	181	184	186	188	186	184	182	180	177	173	169	165	159	153	147	139	130	119	107	96	83	69	56	42	32	22	13	5	(1)	(7)	(12)	(17)
Increase Employee Contributions to 10%	160	180	183	185	187	185	183	181	179	176	173	169	164	159	153	147	139	129	119	107	96	83	68	55	42	32	22	13	5	(1)	(7)	(12)	(17)
10% Contributions; 8.00% Plan for New Hires	160	180	183	185	187	185	183	180	178	174	171	166	162	156	149	143	134	124	113	100	89	75	60	47	33	22	11	1	(8)	(15)	(21)	(27)	(33)
10% Contributions; New Plan; Reset Amortization Bases	160	180	183	185	188	189	189	189	190	190	190	189	189	188	186	186	183	180	176	172	166	159	152	143	133	121	108	94	77	59	39	17	(8)

Corrections Officers Retirement Plan - Long-Range Forecast Based on October 1, 2015 Valuation

06/24/2016

This projection is for illustration purposes only, based on Milliman's replication of the October 1, 2015 valuation. This projection assumes that the number of active employees remains constant; terminating and retiring active members are replaced by new members with the same age / service / gender characteristics as members who were hired during the past few plan years; the City always pays the contribution; the investments earn the assumed rate of return: there are no plan changes, assumption changes, funding method changes, or actuarial gains / losses. Differences between our projections and actual amounts depend on the extent to which future experience conforms to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual experience will not conform exactly to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual amounts will differ from projected amounts to the extent that actual experience deviates from expected experience.

We have relied on the valuation results and actuarial methods and assumptions used by Segal Consultants in their October 1, 2015 actuarial valuation report, with the exception that we explicitly valued the cost of the DROP feature, whereas Secal did not. We make no judgment as to the appropriateness of Segal's actuarial methods or assumptions.



Estimated	Funded	Ratio
Lounateu	i unueu	Natio

Estimated Funded Ratio	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047
Baseline Projection	50	49	51	53	55	57	60	62	64	66	68	70	72	74	76	78	80	82	84	86	88	90	92	94	95	96	98	99	99	100	101	101	102
ncrease Employee Contributions to 10%	50	49	51	53	55	58	60	62	64	66	68	70	72	74	76	78	80	82	84	86	88	90	92	94	95	97	98	99	99	100	101	101	101
10% Contributions; 8.00% Plan for New Hires	50	49	51	53	55	58	60	62	64	66	68	70	72	74	76	78	79	81	83	86	87	89	92	94	96	97	99	100	101	102	103	104	105
10% Contributions; New Plan; Reset Amortization Bases	50	49	51	53	55	57	59	60	62	63	65	66	67	68	70	71	72	73	74	75	77	78	79	80	82	84	85	87	89	92	95	98	101

This projection is for illustration purposes only, based on Milimaris replication of the October 1, 2015 valuation. This projection assumes that the number of active employees remains constant; terminating and retiring active members are replaced by new members with the same age / service / gender characteristics as members who were hired during the past few plan years; the City adways pays the contribution; the investments earn the assumed rate of return; there are no plan changes, assumption changes, funding method changes, or actuatial gains / losses. Differences between our projections and actual amounts depend on the extent to which future experience conforms to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual experience will not conform exactly to the assumptions made for this analysis. Actual amounts will differ from projected amounts to the extent that actual experience deviates from expected experience.

We have relied on the valuation results and actuarial methods and assumptions used by Segal Consultants in their October 1, 2015 actuarial valuation report, with the exception that we explicitly valued the cost of the DROP feature, whereas Segal did not. We make no judgment as to the appropriateness of Segal's actuarial methods or assumptions.

# Estimated benefit payments (\$ millions)

Baseline Projection Increase Employee Contributions to 10% 10% Contributions; 8.00% Plan for New Hires 10% Contributions; New Plan; Reset Amortization Bases

#### Estimated assets (\$ millions)

Baseline Projection Increase Employee Contributions to 10% 10% Contributions; 8.00% Plan for New Hires 10% Contributions; New Plan; Reset Amortization Bases



06/24/2016



FT1	/ FY18	5 FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY29	FY30	FY31	FY32	FY33	FY34	FY35	FY36	FY37	FY38	FY39	FY40	FY41	FY42	FY43	FY44	FY45	FY46	FY4/	FY48	FY49
1	5 16	5 17	18	19	20	21	23	24	26	27	28	30	31	33	36	37	39	41	43	45	47	49	51	53	55	57	59	61	62	64	66	68
1	5 16	5 17	18	19	20	22	23	24	26	27	28	30	31	33	36	37	39	41	43	46	47	49	51	53	55	57	59	61	63	65	66	68
1	5 16	5 17	18	19	20	21	23	24	26	27	28	30	31	33	35	37	39	41	43	45	47	49	50	52	53	55	56	56	57	58	58	58
1	5 16	i 17	18	19	20	21	23	24	26	27	28	30	31	33	35	37	39	41	43	45	47	49	50	52	53	55	56	56	57	58	58	58
FY1	7 FY18	8 FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY29	FY30	FY31	FY32	FY33	FY34	FY35	FY36	FY37	FY38	FY39	FY40	FY41	FY42	FY43	FY44	FY45	FY46	FY47	FY48	FY49
FY1 15	7 FY18	B FY19	<b>FY20</b> 206	<b>FY21</b> 228	<b>FY22</b> 250	<b>FY23</b> 273	FY24 297	FY25 322	<b>FY26</b> 347	FY27 373	<b>FY28</b> 400	<b>FY29</b> 429	<b>FY30</b> 459	FY31 490	FY32 523	FY33 556	FY34 590	FY35 626	FY36 664	FY37 700	FY38 738	FY39 778	FY40 816	FY41 856	FY42 891	<b>FY43</b> 928	<b>FY44</b> 964	FY45 1,000	FY46 1,034	FY47 1,068	FY48 1,103	FY49 1,138
FY1 15	7 FY18 0 168 0 168	<b>FY19</b> 186 186	<b>FY20</b> 206 207	FY21 228 228	<b>FY22</b> 250 251	<b>FY23</b> 273 274	FY24 297 298	FY25 322 322	<b>FY26</b> 347 348	<b>FY27</b> 373 374	<b>FY28</b> 400 401	<b>FY29</b> 429 430	<b>FY30</b> 459 460	<b>FY31</b> 490 491	<b>FY32</b> 523 523	<b>FY33</b> 556 557	<b>FY34</b> 590 591	FY35 626 627	FY36 664 665	<b>FY37</b> 700 701	FY38 738 739	<b>FY39</b> 778 779	<b>FY40</b> 816 817	FY41 856 857	<b>FY42</b> 891 892	<b>FY43</b> 928 930	<b>FY44</b> 964 965	FY45 1,000 1,001	FY46 1,034 1,035	FY47 1,068 1,070	FY48 1,103 1,104	FY49 1,138 1,139
FY1 15 15 15	7 FY18 0 168 0 168 0 168	<b>FY19 186 186 186 186</b>	FY20 206 207 207	FY21 228 228 228	<b>FY22</b> 250 251 251	<b>FY23</b> 273 274 273	FY24 297 298 296	FY25 322 322 320	FY26 347 348 343	<b>FY27</b> 373 374 367	<b>FY28</b> 400 401 391	<b>FY29</b> 429 430 415	<b>FY30</b> 459 460 440	<b>FY31</b> 490 491 467	<b>FY32</b> 523 523 493	<b>FY33</b> 556 557 518	<b>FY34</b> 590 591 544	FY35 626 627 570	FY36 664 665 596	FY37 700 701 619	FY38 738 739 642	<b>FY39</b> 778 779 665	<b>FY40</b> 816 817 684	FY41 856 857 702	<b>FY42</b> 891 892 715	<b>FY43</b> 928 930 726	<b>FY44</b> 964 965 734	FY45 1,000 1,001 740	FY46 1,034 1,035 743	FY47 1,068 1,070 744	FY48 1,103 1,104 743	FY49 1,138 1,139 742

# All Three Plans - Long-Range Forecast Based on October 1, 2015 Valuation

# 06/24/2016

This projection is for illustration purposes only, based on Milliman's replications of the October 1, 2015 valuations of the Police and Fire Pension Plan, the General Employees Retirement Plan, and the Corrections Officers Retirement Plan. Please see the limitations and caveats contained with our projection results for each of the individual plans.



Baseline Projection	
Increase Employee Contributions to 10% @ 4/1/17	
10% Contributions; New Plan for New Hires @ 10/1	/1
10% Contributions; New Plan; Reset Amortization E	За
@ 10/1/17	
Combined impact of all changes from baseline	

**City Contribution** 

	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY29	FY30	FY31	FY32	FY33	FY34	FY35	FY36	FY37	FY38	FY39	FY40	FY41	FY42	FY43	FY44	FY45	FY46	FY47	FY48	FY49
	290	326	350	354	357	361	365	370	374	378	382	364	345	342	349	357	365	375	313	329	339	329	341	292	297	277	187	114	111	122	124	99	94
	290	322	341	345	348	352	356	361	365	369	373	355	336	333	340	348	356	366	304	320	329	319	331	281	286	267	177	102	99	110	112	86	82
8	290	322	343	349	352	356	359	364	368	371	374	357	337	334	340	346	354	363	301	316	324	313	324	273	277	257	166	115	112	119	124	98	94
ses	290	322	293	297	302	304	306	309	310	312	313	317	305	301	306	312	319	327	336	345	353	362	372	383	393	405	417	429	441	453	466	480	104
	0	(4)	(57)	(57)	(55)	(57)	(58)	(61)	(63)	(66)	(68)	(48)	(40)	(41)	(43)	(45)	(46)	(48)	23	16	14	33	31	91	97	127	230	315	330	331	342	381	10

This projection is for illustration purposes only, based on Milliman's replications of the October 1, 2015 valuations of the Police and Fire Pension Plan, the General Employees Retirement Plan, and the Corrections Officers Retirement Plan. Please see the limitations and caveats contained with our projection results for each of the individual plans.



#### Estimated Unfunded Accrued Liability

Baseline Projection

Increase Employee Contributions to 10% @ 4/1/17 10% Contributions; New Plan for New Hires @ 10/1/18 10% Contributions; New Plan; Reset Amortization Bases @ 10/1/17

-500

-1,000

-1,500

2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 2032 2033 2034 2035 2036 2037 2038 2039 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047 2.861 3,123 3,133 3,117 3,087 3,011 2,926 2,832 2,726 2,607 2,476 2,331 2,170 2,024 1,878 1,745 1,595 1,427 1,241 1,032 875 693 489 284 55 (135) (341) (540) (654) (696) (734) (784) (784) (835) 
 2.861
 3.120
 3.124
 3.03
 3.074
 2.98
 2.913
 2.820
 2.757
 2.466
 2.322
 2.162
 2.016
 1.871
 1.739
 1.590
 1.423
 1.237
 1.029
 873
 691
 489
 284
 56
 (134)
 (339)
 (537)
 (651)

 2.861
 3.120
 3.124
 3.03
 3.073
 2.995
 2.907
 2.810
 2.464
 2.927
 2.133
 1.981
 1.693
 1.538
 1.365
 1.173
 960
 788
 609
 401
 190
 (44)
 (239)
 (450)
 (653)
 (773)
(692) (729) (779) (830) (846) (918) (1.001) (1.092) 2.467 2.383 2.287 2.174 2.047 1.903 1.741 1.559 1.355 2.861 3.120 3.124 3.127 3.079 3.048 3.013 2.972 2.926 2.873 2.814 2.754 2.691 2.650 2 508 2.538 1.126 870 586 271 (78) (462)

2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 2032 2033 2034 2035 2036 2037 2038 2039 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047

This work was prepared solely for the City of Jacksonville for the purposes described herein and may not be appropriate to use for other purposes. Milliman does not intend to benefit and assumes no duty or liability to other parties who receive this work. Milliman recommends that third parties be aided by their own actuary or other qualified professional when reviewing the Milliman work product.

All Three Plans - Long-Range Forecast Based on October 1, 2015 Valuation

06/24/2016